Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics Vol. 8, No. 1 (2013), pp 1-13 DOI: 10.7508/ijmsi.2013.01.001

Derivations in Hyperrings and Prime Hyperrings

A. Asokkumar Department of Mathematics, Aditanar College of Arts and Science, Tiruchendur-628216, Tamilnadu, India

E-mail: ashok_a58@yahoo.co.in

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce derivations in Krasner hyperrings and derive some basic properties of derivations. We also prove that for a strongly differential hyperring R and for any strongly differential hyperideal I of R, the factor hyperring R/I is a strongly differential hyperring. Further we prove that a map $d: R \to R$ is a derivation of a hyperring Rif and only if the induced map φ_d is a homomorphism.

Keywords: Canonical hypergroup, hyperring, reduced hyperring, derivation, differential hyperring, differential hyperideal, hderivation.

2000 Mathematics subject classification: 20N20.

1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of hyperstructures was introduced in 1934 by Marty [14] at the 8th congress of Scandinavian Mathematicians. Then several researchers have worked on this new field and developed it. Mittas [16] introduced the notion of canonical hypergroups. Corsini [4] studied the Canonical Hypergroups [6], Feebly Canonical Hypergroups [5], Quasi- Canonical Hypergroups [7]. Krasner [13] introduced the notion of hyperrings and hyperfields. G.G Massouros [15] introduced the theory of hypercompositional structures into the theory of automata. Asokkumar [2] studied the idempotent elements of Krasner hyperrings. Babaei et al. [3] studied **R**-parts in hyperrings.

The notion of derivations of rings plays a significant role in algebra [11]. The study of derivations in rings got interested after Posner [17], who gave

Received 05 June 2012; Accepted 30 August 2012

^{©2013} Academic Center for Education, Culture and Research TMU

striking results on derivations of prime rings. Then the notion of derivations has been developed by many authors in various directions like Jordan derivation, generalised derivation in rings and in near-rings. Ebadian et al. [10] studied Jordan derivations on Banach algebras. From the motivation of derivations, Vougiouklis [19] introduced a hyperoperation called *theta* hyperoperation and studied H_{ν} -structures. Jan Chvalina et al. [12], introduced a hyperoperation * on a differential ring R so that (R, *) is a hypergroup.

In this paper we introduce derivations in Krasner hyperrings and give examples. Also we derive some basic properties of derivations. Further we prove that for a strongly differential hyperring R and for a strongly differential hyperrideal I of R, the factor hyperring R/I is a strongly differential hyperring. We also prove that a map $d: R \to R$ is a derivation of a hyperring R if and only if the induced map φ_d is a homomorphism.

2. Basic definitions and notations

This section explains some basic definitions that have been used in the sequel. A hyperoperation * on a non-empty set H is a mapping of $H \times H$ into the family of non-empty subsets of H (i.e., $x * y \subseteq H$ for every $x, y \in H$). In the sense of Marty [14], a hypergroup (H, *) is a non-empty set H equipped with a hyperoperation * which satisfies the following axioms:

(i) x * (y * z) = (x * y) * z for every $x, y, z \in H($ the associative axiom).

(ii) x * H = H * x = H for every $x \in H$ (the reproductive axiom).

A comprehensive review of the theory of hypergroups appears in [4]. The basic results of hyperstructures and hyperrings are found in [8], [9] and [20].

Definition 2.1. A non-empty set R with a hyperaddition + and a multiplication \cdot is called an *additive hyperring or Krasner hyperring* if it satisfies the following:

(1) (R, +) is a canonical hypergroup, i.e.,

(i) for every $x, y, z \in R, x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$,

(ii) for every $x, y \in R, x + y = y + x$,

(iii) there exists $0 \in R$ such that 0 + x = x for all $x \in R$,

(iv) for every $x \in R$ there exists an unique element denoted by $-x \in R$ such that $0 \in x + (-x)$,

(v) for every $x, y, z \in R$, $z \in x + y$ implies $y \in -x + z$ and $x \in z - y$.

(2) (R, \cdot) is a semigroup having 0 as a bilaterally absorbing element, i.e.,

(i) for every $x, y, z \in R$, $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$,

(ii) $x \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot x = 0$ for all $x \in R$.

(3) The multiplication \cdot is distributive with respect to the hyperoperation +. i.e., for every $x, y, z \in R$, $x \cdot (y+z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$ and $(x+y) \cdot z = x \cdot z + y \cdot z$. A non-empty subset I of a canonical hypergroup R is called a *canonical sub-hypergroup* of R if I itself is a canonical hypergroup under the same hyperoperation as that of R. Equivalently, a non-empty subset I of a canonical hypergroup R is a canonical subhypergroup of R if for every $x, y \in I, x - y \subseteq I$. Here after we denote xy instead of $x \cdot y$. Moreover, for $A, B \subseteq R$ and $x \in R$, by A + B we mean the set $\bigcup_{a \in A, b \in B} (a + b)$ and $AB = \bigcup_{a \in A, b \in B} (ab), A + x = A + \{x\}, x + B = \{x\} + B$ and also $-A = \{-a : a \in A\}$.

The following elementary facts in a hyperring easily follow from the axioms: (i) -(-a) = a for every $a \in R$; (ii) 0 is the unique element such that for every $a \in R$, there is an element $-a \in R$ with the property $0 \in a + (-a)$ and -0 = 0; (iii) -(a + b) = -a - b for all $a, b \in R$; (iv) -(ab) = (-a)b = a(-b) for all $a, b \in R$.

In a hyperring R, if there exists an element $1 \in R$ such that 1a = a1 = a for every $a \in R$, then the element 1 is called the *identity element* of the hyperring R. In fact, the element 1 is unique. Further, if ab = ba for every $a, b \in R$ then the hyperring R is called a *commutative* hyperring. Throughout this paper, by a hyperring we mean the Krasner hyperring.

Example 2.2. The set $R = \{0,1\}$ with the following hyperoperations is a hyperring.

+	0	1		0	
0	$\{\theta\}$	{1}	0	$\{\theta\}$	$\{\theta\}$
1	{1}	$\{0,1\}$	1	$\{\theta\}$	{1}

Example 2.3. M.Krasner [13] constructed a class of hyperrings as follows.

Let (R, +, .) be a ring and G be a normal subgroup of the multiplicative semigroup (R, .), that is, $\{xG = Gx \text{ for every } x \in R\}$. Consider the set $\overline{R} = \{\overline{x} = xG : x \in R\}$ of classes modulo G. If we define hyperaddition \oplus and multiplication \odot on \overline{R} as $\overline{x} \oplus \overline{y} = xG \oplus yG = \{(xp + yq)G : p, q \in G\}$ and $\overline{x} \odot \overline{y} = xG \odot yG = xyG$ for all $\overline{x}, \overline{y} \in \overline{R}$, then $(\overline{R}, \oplus, \odot)$ is a hyperring.

Definition 2.4. Let R be a hyperring. A non-empty subset S of R is called a *subhyperring* of R if $x - y \subseteq S$ and $xy \in S$ for all $x, y \in S$.

Definition 2.5. Let R be a hyperring and I be a non-empty subset of R. I is called a *left* (resp. *right*) *hyperideal* of R if (i) (I, +) is a canonical subhypergroup of R, i.e., for every $x, y \in I, x - y \subseteq I$ and (ii) for every $a \in I, r \in R, ra \subseteq I$ (resp. $ar \subseteq I$). A *hyperideal* of R is one which is a left as well as a right hyperideal of R.

Definition 2.6. Let R and S be hyperrings, where both additions and multiplications are hyperoperations. A mapping $\phi : R \to S$ is called a *homomorphism* from R to S if for all $x, y \in R$, (i) $\phi(x+y) \subseteq \phi(x) + \phi(y)$, (ii) $\phi(xy) \subseteq \phi(x)\phi(y)$ and (iii) $\phi(0) = 0$ hold. If R is a Krasner hyperring, then the condition (ii)

becomes $\phi(xy) \in \phi(x)\phi(y)$. If both R and S are Krasner hyperrings, then the condition (ii) is $\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y)$.

Definition 2.7. A hyperring R is said to be a prime hyperring if aRb = 0 for $a, b \in R$ implies either a = 0 or b = 0.

Definition 2.8. A hyperring R is said to be a reduced hyperring if it has no nilpotent elements. That is, if $x^n = 0$ for $x \in R$ and a natural number n, then x = 0.

Definition 2.9. A hyperring R is said to be 2-torsion free if $0 \in x + x$ for $x \in R$ implies x = 0.

3. Derivation of hyperrings and examples

In this section we define derivation and strong derivation of hyperrings and give examples.

Definition 3.1. Let R be a hyperring. A map $d : R \to R$ is said to be a *derivation of* R if d satisfies:

(i) $d(x+y) \subseteq d(x) + d(y)$ and

(ii) $d(xy) \in d(x)y + xd(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$.

The hyperring R equipped with a derivation d is called a d-differential hyperring. If the map d is such that d(x + y) = d(x) + d(y) for all $x, y \in R$ and satisfies the condition (ii), then d is called a strong derivation of R. In this case, the hyperring is called strongly d-differential hyperring. Since the hyperaddition of the hyperring R is commutative, the condition (ii) of the derivation is equivalent to $d(xy) \in xd(y) + d(x)y$ for all $x, y \in R$.

When there is no confusion regarding the derivation d, we simply write differential hyperring instead of d-differential hyperring. For $a \in R$, we call the element d(a) by the *derivative* of a and we write $d^2(a), d^3(a), \dots, d^n(a)$ for the successive derivatives of a.

Proposition 3.2. Let R be a hyperring and $d: R \to R$ be a derivation of R. Then (i) d(0) = 0, (ii) d(-a) = -d(a) for all $a \in R$, (iii) if 1 is the identity element of R, then $d(1) \in d(1) + d(1)$.

Proof. It is clear that d(0) = 0. Now, for each $a \in R$, $0 = d(0) \in d(a - a) = d(a + (-a)) \subseteq d(a) + d(-a)$. That is, $d(a) \in 0 - d(-a)$. Hence d(a) = -d(-a). Therefore, -d(a) = -(-d(-a)) = d(-a). Also, $d(1) = d(1.1) \in d(1).1 + 1.d(1) = d(1) + d(1)$. That is, $d(1) \in d(1) + d(1)$. □

Remark 3.3. Let R be a hyperring $c \in R$ and $d : R \to R$ is a map defined by d(x) = c for all $x \in R$. Then d is a derivation if and only if c = 0. This derivation is a strong derivation, called the *trivial derivation*.

Example 3.4. Let R be a hyperring such that $x \in x + x$ for every $x \in R$. Then the identity map, i(x) = x for every $x \in R$, is a strong derivation of R.

Example 3.5. In the hyperring given in the example 2.2, we have two derivations namely (i) d(x) = 0 for all $x \in R$ and (ii) d(x) = x for all $x \in R$.

Example 3.6. Consider the hyperring $R = \{0, a, b\}$ with the hyperaddition and the multiplication defined as follows.

		a					a	
0	$\{\theta\}$	$\{a\}$	${b}$	-0	1	0	0	0
a	$\{a\}$	$\{a,b\}$	R	a	;	0	b	a
b	$\{b\}$	R	$\{a,b\}$	b		0	a	b

Define a map $d : R \to R$ by d(0) = 0, d(a) = b, d(b) = a. Clearly, d is a well defined map. Now, d(a + b) = d(R) = R = b + a = d(a) + d(b) and $d(ab) = d(a) = b \in b + b = bb + aa = d(a)b + ad(b)$. Thus $d(ab) \in d(a)b + ad(b)$. Also, $d(a + a) = d(\{a, b\}) = \{a, b\} = d(a) + d(a)$ and $d(aa) = d(b) = a \in \{a, b\} = a + a = ba + ab = d(a)a + ad(a)$. Further, $d(b+b) = d(\{a, b\}) = \{a, b\} = d(b) + d(b)$ and $d(bb) = d(b) = a \in \{a, b\} = a + a = ab + ba = d(b)b + bd(b)$. Hence d is a derivation of R. Here d is a strong derivation of R.

Example 3.7. Let R be a hyperring and $M(R) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in R \right\}$ be a collection of 2×2 matrices over R. A hyperaddition \oplus is defined on M(R) by $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : x \in a + c, y \in b + d \right\}$ for all $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M(R)$. Clearly, this hyperaddition is well defined and $(M(R), \oplus)$ is a canonical hypergroup. The matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is the additive identity of M(R). Also, for each matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} of M(R)$, there exists a unique matrix $\begin{pmatrix} -a & -b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M(R)$, such that $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} -a & -b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Now, a multiplication \otimes is defined on M(R) by $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ac & ad \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for all $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M(R)$. Clearly, the multiplication \otimes is well defined and associative. Therefore, $(M(R), \otimes)$ is a semigroup. Let $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M(R)$. Then $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r & s \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : r \in x + p, s \in y + q \right\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} Also, \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \oplus \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} = \begin{pmatrix} ax & ay \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} ap & aq \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} l & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : l \in ax + ap, m \in ay + aq \right\}. By the left distributive axiom of R, \\ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \oplus \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show that the right distributive law is also satisfied on M(R). Thus M(R) is a Krasner hyperring.

Now, define a function d on M(R) by $d\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Clearly, this map is well defined. We shall now show that d is a derivation. For $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M(R)$, the set $d\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ and the set $d\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus d\left(\begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$ are equal and equal to the set $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : x \in b + d \right\}$. Also, $d\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ad \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $= \left\{ d\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \oplus \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes d\left(\begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \right\}$. Thus d is a derivation on M(R). Here d is a strong derivation of R.

Proposition 3.8. In any hyperring with a derivation, the elements with derivative 0, form a subhyperring.

Proof. Let R be a hyperring and d be a derivation of R. Let $S = \{x \in R : d(x) = 0\}$. Since d(0) = 0, we see that S is non-empty. Let $a, b \in S$. Then d(a) = 0, d(b) = 0. Now, $d(a + b) \subseteq d(a) + d(b) = 0 + 0 = 0$. Further, for any $a \in S$, d(-a) = -d(a) = 0. Also, $d(ab) \in d(a)b + ad(b) = 0 + 0 = 0$. Thus for any $a, b \in A$, $a + b \subseteq S$, $-a \in S$, $ab \in S$. So, S is a subhyperring of R.

4. Properties of derivations of prime hyperrings and differential hyperideals

In this section we prove two simple properties of derivations in prime hyperrings. The results are analogues results of Posner [17]. Also, we define differential hyperideal and prove the existence of a differential hyperideal in a differential hyperring. Further, we prove that if R is a strongly differential hyperring and I is a strongly differential hyperring R/I is a strongly differential hyperring.

Proposition 4.1. Let d be a derivation of a prime hyperring R and $a \in R$ such that ad(u) = 0 (or d(u)a = 0) for all $u \in R$. Then either a = 0 or d = 0.

Proof. Let $x, y \in R$. Suppose ad(u) = 0 for every $u \in R$, then

$$0 = ad(xy) \in a(d(x)y + xd(y))$$
$$= ad(x)y + axd(y)$$
$$= 0 + axd(y)$$
$$= axd(y).$$

Thus axd(y) = 0. Since R is a prime hyperring, a = 0 or d(y) = 0. If $a \neq 0$, then d(y) = 0 for every $y \in R$. That is, d = 0. Suppose d(u)a = 0 for every $u \in R$, then

$$0 = d(yx)a \in (d(y)x + yd(x))a$$
$$= d(y)xa + yd(x)a$$
$$= d(y)xa.$$

Thus d(y)xa = 0. Now, the primeness of R implies that a = 0 or d(y) = 0. If $a \neq 0$, then d(y) = 0 for every $y \in R$. That is, d = 0.

Proposition 4.2. Let d be a derivation of a 2-torsion free prime hyperring R. If $d^2 = 0$, then d = 0.

Proof. Let $d^2 = 0$. Suppose $d \neq 0$, then there exists an element $a \in R$ such that $d(a) \neq 0$. Then for every $y \in R$,

$$d^{2}(ay) = 0 = d(d(ay))$$

$$\in d(d(a)y + ad(y))$$

$$\subseteq d(d(a)y) + d(ad(y))$$

$$\subseteq d^{2}(a)y + d(a)d(y) + d(a)d(y) + ad^{2}(y)$$

$$= d(a)d(y) + d(a)d(y).$$

Since R is 2-torsion free hyperring, d(a)d(y) = 0. Since R is prime hyperring, by the Proposition 4.1, d(y) = 0 for every $y \in R$. That is, we get d = 0, which is a contradiction to the assumption. Hence d = 0.

Proposition 4.3. Let d_1, d_2 be derivations of a 2-torsion free prime hyperring R. If $d_1d_2 = 0$, then $d_1 = 0$ or $d_2 = 0$.

Proof. For $x, y \in R$ we have

$$d_1d_2(xy) = 0 = d_1(d_2(xy))$$

$$\in d_1(d_2(x)y + xd_2(y))$$

$$\subseteq d_1(d_2(x)y) + d_1(xd_2(y))$$

$$\subseteq d_1d_2(x)y + d_2(x)d_1(y) + d_1(x)d_2(y) + xd_1d_2(y)$$

$$= d_2(x)d_1(y) + d_1(x)d_2(y).$$

Replace x by $d_2(x)$, we get $0 \in d_2(d_2(x))d_1(y) + d_1(d_2(x))d_2(y) = d_2^2(x)d_1(y)$. Now, by the Proposition 4.1, one can obtain $d_1 = 0$ or $d_2^2 = 0$. If $d_2^2 = 0$, then by the Proposition 4.2, we have $d_2 = 0$. This completes the proof of the Proposition.

Definition 4.4. Let d be a non-trivial derivation (resp. strong derivation) of a hyperring R. A hyperideal I of R is said to be a d-differential (resp. strongly d-differential) hyperideal of R if $d(I) \subseteq I$.

Let S be a non-empty subset of a hyperring R. The set $Ann_l(S) = \{x \in R : xS = 0\}$ is called the *left annihilator* of S in R. Similarly, we have the right annihilator $Ann_r(S)$ of S in R. In a reduced hyperring R, if ab = 0 for all $a, b \in R$, then ba = 0 and therefore, there is no distinction from a left annihilator of S and a right annihilator of S in R. In this case, we just call it by the *annihilator* of S in R and is denoted by Ann(S). The following results of reduced hyperrings follows from [1].

Proposition 4.5. Let R be a reduced hyperring. (i) If S is a non-empty subset of R, then Ann(S) is a hyperideal of R. (ii) If S_1 and S_2 are subsets of R such that $S_1 \subseteq S_2$, then $Ann(S_2) \subseteq Ann(S_1)$.

Proof. The proof of (i) is obvious. Let $x \in Ann(S_2)$. Then $S_2x=0$. That is, $s_2x = 0$ for all $s_2 \in S_2$. This means that x annihilates all elements of S_2 . In particular, x annihilates all elements of S_1 . Therefore, $x \in Ann(S_1)$. This completes the proof of (ii).

Corollary 4.6. Let R be a reduced hyperring and I be a differential hyperideal of R, then $Ann(I) \subseteq Ann(d(I))$.

Proof. Since I is a differential ideal of R, we have $d(I) \subseteq I$, by the Proposition 4.5, we get $Ann(I) \subseteq Ann(d(I))$.

Theorem 4.7. Let d be a derivation of a reduced hyperring R. Then for any subset S of R, $d(Ann(S)) \subseteq Ann(S)$.

Proof. If $x \in Ann(S)$, then Sx = 0. Now, for $s \in S$, $0 = d(sx) \in d(s)x + sd(x)$. Multiplying by s from the right, we get $0 \in d(s)xs + sd(x)s$. Since sx = 0, we have xs = 0. Therefore, sd(x)s = 0. Multiply by d(x) from the right, we get sd(x)sd(x) = 0. That is, $(sd(x))^2 = 0$. Since R is reduced, we obtain sd(x) = 0. This means that $d(x) \in Ann(S)$. Thus we have $d(Ann(S)) \subseteq Ann(S)$.

Theorem 4.7 shows the existence of a differential hyperideal in a differential hyperring. As an illustration we have the following example.

Example 4.8. Consider the reduced hyperring $R = \{0, a, b, c, \}$ with the hyperaddition \oplus and the multiplication \odot defined as follows.

Derivations in Hyperrings and Prime Hyperrings

\oplus	0	a	b	С	\odot	0	a	b	c
0	$\{ \theta \}$	$\{a\}$	$\{b\}$	{ <i>c</i> }	0	$\{ \theta \}$	$\{ \ \theta \ \}$	$\{ \theta \}$	$\{ \theta \}$
a	$\{a\}$	$\{0, b\}$	$\{a, c\}$	$\{b\}$	a	$\{ \ \theta \ \}$	$\{a\}$	$\{b\}$	$\{ c \}$
b	$\{b\}$	$\{a, c\}$	$\{0, b\}$	$\{a\}$	b	$\{ \ \theta \ \}$	$\{b\}$	$\{b\}$	$\{ \ \theta \ \}$
		$\{b\}$			С	$\{ 0 \}$	$\{ c \}$	$\{ \ \theta \ \}$	$\{ c \}$

It is clear that the map $d: R \to R$ defined by d(0) = 0, d(a) = b, d(b) = b, d(c) = 0, is a derivation of R. Now, $Ann(0, c) = \{0, b\}$ is a hyperideal of R. Since $d(Ann(0, c)) = d(\{0, b\}) = \{0, b\} = (Ann(0, c))$, we see that $Ann(0, c) = \{0, b\}$ is a differential hyperideal of R.

Let H be a canonical hypergroup and N be a canonical subhypergroup of H. For any two elements $a, b \in H$, we define $a \sim b$ if $a \in b+N$. Then \sim is an equivalence relation on H. We denote the equivalence class determined by the element $x \in H$ by the equivalence relation \sim by \overline{x} . It is clear that $\overline{x} = x + N$. We denote the collection of all equivalence classes $\{\overline{x} : x \in H\}$ induced by the equivalence relation \sim by H/N. If we define $\overline{x} \oplus \overline{y} = \{\overline{z} : z \in x + y\}$ for all $\overline{x}, \overline{y} \in H/N$, then H/N is a canonical hypergroup. Let R be a hyperring and I be a hyperideal of R. Since I is a canonical subhypergroup of R, $R/I = \{\overline{x} : x \in R\}$ is a canonical hypergroup under the hyperaddition defined above. Now, we define $\overline{x} \otimes \overline{y} = \overline{xy} = xy + I$ for all $\overline{x}, \overline{y} \in R/I$, then R/I is a Krasner hyperring [18].

Proposition 4.9. Let R be a strongly differential hyperring. Then for any strongly differential hyperideal I of R, the factor hyperring R/I is a strongly differential hyperring.

Proof. Let d be a strong derivation of R. Let us define a map $D: R/I \to R/I$ by D(a+I) = d(a) + I for every $a+I \in R/I$. If $a, b \in R$ such that a+I = b+I, then $a \in b+I$. Since $d(I) \subseteq I$, we get $d(a) \in d(b)+I$. That is, d(a)+I = d(b)+I. Hence D(a+I) = D(b+I). Therefore, D is a well defined map.

Let r + I, $s + I \in R/I$. Now, $D((r + I) + (s + I)) = D(\{x + I : x \in r + s\}) = \{d(x) + I : x \in r + s\}$. Further, $D(r + I) + D(s + I) = (d(r) + I) + (d(s) + I) = \{x + I : x \in d(r) + d(s)\} = \{x + I : x \in d(r + s)\} = \{d(y) + I : \text{for } y \in r + s\}$. Since d is a strong derivation of R, we get D((r + I) + (s + I)) = D(r + I) + D(s + I).

Also, we have D((r+I)(s+I)) = D(rs+I) = d(rs)+I. But, $D(r+I)(s+I)+(r+I)D(s+I) = (d(r)+I)(s+I)+(r+I)(d(s)+I) = \{x+I : x \in d(r)s+rd(s)\}$. Since $d(rs) \in d(r)s + rd(s)$, we get $d(rs) + I \in \{x + I : x \in d(r)s + rd(s)\}$. That is, $D((r+I)(s+I)) \in D(r+I)(s+I) + (r+I)D(s+I)$. Thus D is a strong derivation of R/I.

Proposition 4.10. Let R be a differential hyperring and I a differential hyperideal of R. Then there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the set of all differential hyperideals of R containing I and the the set of all differential hyperideals of R/I.

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be the set of all differential hyperideals of R containing I and \mathcal{F} be the set of all differential hyperideals of R/I. Define a map $f : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{F}$ by f(J) = J/I, where J is a differential hyperideals of R containing I. Since J is a differential hyperideal of R, it is clear that J/I is a differential hyperideal of R/I. Therefore, the map f is well defined.

Let J, K be two differential hyperideals of R containing I such that f(J) = f(K). Then J/I = K/I. Now, for $x \in J$, we have $x+I \in J/I = K/I$. Therefore, x+I = y+I for some $y \in K$. That is, $x \in y+I \subseteq K$. Hence $J \subseteq K$. Similarly, we can prove that $K \subseteq J$ and hence K = J. Therefore, the function f is one-to-one. Clearly, the map f is on to. Hence f is a bijective map. \Box

Let R be a Krasner hyperring. Then, $M_2(R)$, the set of all 2×2 matrices over R, is a hyperring under the usual hyperaddition of matrices and the usual hypermultiplication of matrices.

Proposition 4.11. Let R be a hyperring and d be a map from R to R. Let φ_d be a map from R to $M_2(R)$ defined by $\varphi_d(r) = \begin{pmatrix} r & d(r) \\ 0 & r \end{pmatrix}$. The map d is a derivation of R if and only if the map φ_d is a homomorphism.

Proof. Suppose that d is a derivation of R and $x, y \in R$. If $a \in \varphi_d(x+y)$, then $a = \varphi_d(r) = \begin{pmatrix} r & d(r) \\ 0 & r \end{pmatrix}$ for some $r \in x + y$. Since $r \in x + y$, we get $d(r) \in d(x+y) \subseteq d(x) + d(y)$. Thus the element $a = \begin{pmatrix} r & d(r) \\ 0 & r \end{pmatrix}$, where $d(r) \in d(x) + d(y)$. But, $\varphi_d(x) + \varphi_d(y)$ $= \begin{pmatrix} x & d(x) \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} y & d(y) \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}$ $= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ 0 & w \end{pmatrix} : u, w \in x + y, v \in d(x) + d(y) \right\}.$ Therefore, $a \in \varphi_d(x) + \varphi_d(y)$ and hence $\varphi_d(x+y) \subseteq \varphi_d(x) + \varphi_d(y)$. Now, $\varphi_d(xy) = \begin{pmatrix} xy & d(xy) \\ 0 & xy \end{pmatrix}$. But, $\varphi_d(x)\varphi_d(y) = \begin{pmatrix} x & d(x) \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & d(y) \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}$ $= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} xy & c \\ 0 & xy \end{pmatrix} : c \in xd(y) + d(x)y_r \right\}.$

Since $d(xy) \in d(x)y + xd(y)$, we get $\varphi_d(xy) \in \varphi_d(x)\varphi_d(y)$. Thus the map φ_d is a hyperring homomorphism. Conversely, assume that φ_d is a hyperring homomorphism. Let $u \in d(x+y)$. Then there exists some $r \in x + y$ such that u = d(r). Now, $\begin{pmatrix} r & d(r) \\ 0 & r \end{pmatrix} = \varphi_d(r) \in \varphi_d(x+y) \subseteq \varphi_d(x) + \varphi_d(y)$ = $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} : a, c \in x + y, b \in d(x) + d(y) \right\}$. This means that $u = d(r) \in d(x) + d(y)$. Thus $d(x+y) \subseteq d(x) + d(y)$. Now, $\begin{pmatrix} xy & d(xy) \\ 0 & xy \end{pmatrix} = \varphi_d(xy) \in d(x) + d(y)$. $\varphi_d(x)\varphi_d(y) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} xy & c \\ 0 & xy \end{pmatrix} : c \in xd(y) + d(x)y \right\}.$ Thus $d(xy) \in xd(y) + d(x)y.$ Thus d is a derivation.

5. Hyperderivations of hyperrings

In a ring R, for a fixed $r \in R$, the map $f_r : R \to R$ for all $x \in R$ defined by $f_r(x) = xr - rx$ is a derivation which is called an inner derivation of the ring R. If R is a hyperring, then for $x, r \in R$, xr - rx is a set. That is, we associate a set for each element x of the hyperring R. This motivates to define hyperderivation on a hyperring R. In this section we define hyperderivation and give examples. Further, we prove that the collection of all hyperderivations of a hyperring R is an additive semigroup.

Definition 5.1. A map f from a hyperring R to $\mathcal{P}^*(R)$ is said to be a hyperderivation or hderivation if it satisfies:

> (i) $f(x+y) \subseteq f(x) + f(y)$ and (ii) $f(xy) \in f(x)y + xf(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$.

If the map f is such that f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y) for all $x \in R$ and satisfies the condition (ii) then f is called a *strong hderivation of R*. The collection of all hderivations of R is denoted by \mathcal{D} .

Example 5.2. Let R be a hyperring. Now, select $r \in R$ and fix it. Define a map $f_r : R \to \mathcal{P}^*(R)$ by $f_r(x) = xr - rx$ for every $x \in R$. That is, for every $x \in R$, we associate a non-empty set xr - rx by f_r . Clearly, this map is well defined.

If $a \in f_r(x+y)$, then $a \in f_r(s)$ for some $s \in x+y$. So, $a \in sr - rs \subseteq (x+y)r - r(x+y) = xr + yr - rx - ry = xr - rx + yr - ry = f_r(x) + f_r(y)$. Therefore, $f_r(x+y) \subseteq f_r(x) + f_r(y)$.

Also, if $a \in f_r(xy) = xyr - rxy = xyr - rxy + (0) \subseteq xyr - rxy + xry - xry = xry - rxy + xyr - xry = (xr - rx)y + x(yr - ry) = f_r(x)y + xf_r(y)$. Thus $f_r(xy) \subseteq f_r(x)y + xf_r(y)$. That is, f_r is a haderivation. This f_r is called an inner haderivation of R.

Example 5.3. Let $d : R \to R$, be a derivation of a hyperring R. Now, define a map D from R to $\mathcal{P}^*(R)$ by D(x) = d(x) + d(x) for all $x \in R$. That is, for every $x \in R$, we associate a non-empty set d(x) + d(x) by D.

Let $r \in D(x + y)$. Then there exists $s \in x + y$ such that $r \in D(s) = d(s) + d(s) \subseteq d(x+y) + d(x+y) \subseteq d(x) + d(y) + d(x) + d(y) = d(x) + d(x) + d(y) + d(y) = D(x) + D(y)$. Thus $D(x + y) \subseteq D(x) + D(y)$.

Also, $D(xy) = d(xy) + d(xy) \subseteq d(x)y + xd(y) + d(x)y + xd(y) = d(x)y + d(x)y + xd(y) + xd(y) = D(x)y + xD(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$. This D is a hadrivation induced by d.

Remark 5.4. In the Example 3.6, $D(a + a) = D(\{a, b\}) = D(a) \cup D(b) = (d(a) + d(a)) \cup (d(b) + d(b)) = (b + b) \cup (a + a) = \{a, b\} \cup \{a, b\} = \{a, b\}.$

Moreover, $D(a) + D(a) = (d(a) + d(a)) + (d(a) + d(a)) = \{a, b\} + \{a, b\} = R$. So this hderivation D is not a strong hderivation even though d is a strong derivation.

Example 5.5. Let d be a derivation of a hyperring R. If we define D' from R to $\mathcal{P}^*(R)$ by D'(x) = d(x) - d(x) for all $x \in R$, then $D'(x+y) \subseteq D'(x) + D'(y)$ and $D'(xy) \in xD'(y) + D'(x)y$ for all $x, y \in R$. This D' is a haderivation induced by d. The collection of all hederivations induced by a derivation d is denoted by \mathcal{D}_d . It is clear that $\mathcal{D}_d \subseteq \mathcal{D}$.

Proposition 5.6. The collection of all hderivations of a hyperring R is an additive semigroup.

Proof. Suppose that $D_1, D_2 \in \mathcal{D}$. Now, for any $x \in R$ we define $(D_1 + D_2)x = D_1(x) + D_2(x)$. Let $x, y \in R$ and $r \in (D_1 + D_2)(x + y)$. Then $r \in (D_1 + D_2)(s)$ for some $s \in x + y$. But, $(D_1 + D_2)(s) = D_1(s) + D_2(s) \subseteq D_1(x + y) + D_2(x + y) \subseteq D_1(x) + D_1(y) + D_2(x) + D_2(y) = D_1(x) + D_2(x) + D_1(y) + D_2(y) = (D_1 + D_2)(x) + (D_1 + D_2)(y)$. So $r \in (D_1 + D_2)(x) + (D_1 + D_2)(y)$. That is, $(D_1 + D_2)(x + y) \subseteq (D_1 + D_2)(x) + (D_1 + D_2)(y)$.

Also, $(D_1 + D_2)(xy) = D_1(xy) + D_2(xy) \subseteq D_1(x)y + xD_1(y) + D_2(x)y + xD_2(y) = D_1(x)y + D_2(x)y + xD_1(y) + xD_2(y) = (D_1(x)y + D_2(x))y + x(D_1(y) + D_2(y)) = ((D_1 + D_2)(x))y + x((D_1 + D_2))(y).$ Thus $(D_1 + D_2)(xy) \subseteq ((D_1 + D_2)(x))y + x((D_1 + D_2)(y))$ Hence $D_1 + D_2 \in \mathcal{D}$.

Moreover, if $D_1, D_2, D_3 \in \mathcal{D}$, then $(D_1 + (D_2 + D_3))x = D_1(x) + (D_2 + D_3)x = D_1(x) + D_2(x) + D_3(x)$ for all $x \in R$. Similarly, $((D_1 + D_2) + D_3)x = D_1(x) + D_2(x) + D_3(x)$ for every $x \in R$. Thus $D_1 + (D_2 + D_3) = (D_1 + D_2) + D_3$. Further, the map $0 : R \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^*(R)$ defined by $0(x) = \{0\}$ for all $x \in R$ is the additive identity of \mathcal{D} .

Acknowledgement. I wish to express my heart thanks to the referee for his interest in my work and spending his valuable time in reading this manuscript and his useful comments which were very helpful to improve this paper.

References

- A. Asokkumar, Note on σ- derivations in near-rings and reduced near-rings, Kyungpook Math. J., 47, (2007), 151-154.
- A. Asokkumar, Hyperlattice formed by the idempotents of a hyperring, Tamkang J. Math., 38(3), (2007), 209 - 215.
- H. Babaei, M. Jafarpour and S. Sh. Mousavi, R-parts in hyperrings, Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics, 7(1), (2012), 59-71.
- 4. P. Corsini, Prolegomena of hypergroup theory, Second edition, Aviani editore, 1993.
- P. Corsini, *Feebly canonical and 1-hypergroups*, Acta Universitatis Carolinae, Math. et Phys., Vol. 24, no. 2, 1983.
- P. Corsini, Sugli ipergruppi canonici finiti con identiti parziali scalari, Rend. Circ. Mat. di Palermo, serie II, t. XXXVI, 1987.

- P. Corsini, P. Bonansinga, Sugli omomorfismi di semi-ipergruppi e di ipergruppi, B.U.M.I., 1-B, 1982.
- P. Corsini, V. Leoreanu, Applications of Hyperstructure Theory, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Advances in Math, Vol. 5, 2003.
- 9. B. Davvaz and V. Leoreanu-Fotea, *Hyperring Theory and Applications*, International Academic Press, Palm Harbor, USA, 2007.
- A. Ebadian and M. Eshaghi Gordji, Left Jordan derivations on Banach algebras, Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics, 6(1), (2011), 1-6.
- K. R. Goodearl, R. B. Warfield J.R, An introduction to Noncommutative Noetherian Rings, Cambridge University, Cambridge 1989.
- Jan Chvalina, Ludmila Chvalinová, Multistructures determined by differential rings, Archivum Mathematicum, 36(5), (2000), 429-434.
- M. Krasner, A class of hyperrings and hyperfields, Int. J. Math and Math. Sci., 2, (1983), 307-312.
- F. Marty, Sur une generalization de la notion de groupe, 8th Congress Math. Scandenaves, Stockholm, pp. 45-49, 1934.
- G.G. Massouros, Hypercompositional Structures from the Computer Theory, *Ratio Mathematica*, 13, (1999) 37-42.
- 16. J. Mittas, Sur les hyperanneaux et les hypercorps, Mat Balk, t. 3, Beograd, 1983.
- 17. E.C. Posner, Derivations in prime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 8, (1957), 1093-1100.
- M. Velrajan and A. Asokkumar, Note on isomorphism theorems of hyperrings, Int. J. Math. and Math. Sci., (2010), Article ID 376985.
- 19. T. Vougiouklis, The Hyper Theta-Algebras, Advances in Algebra, 1(1), (2008), 67-78.
- T. Vougiouklis, Hyperstructures and their representations, Hadronic Press, Inc., 115, Palm Harber, USA, 1994.