DOI: 10.29252/ijmsi.16.2.163

# $\mathcal{N}$ -Subalgebras of BCK/BCI-Algebras which are Induced from Hyperfuzzy Structures

Hashem Bordbar $^{a*},$  Mohammad Rahim Bordbar $^b,$  Rajab Ali Borzooei $^c$  and Young Bae  $\mathrm{Jun}^d$ 

<sup>a</sup>Center for Information Technologies and Applied Mathematics, University of Nova Gorica, Slovenija. <sup>b</sup>Department of Physics, University of Qom, Qom, Iran

<sup>c</sup>Department of Mathematics, Shahid Beheshti University, Tehran, Iran.

 $^d\mathrm{Department}$  of Mathematics Education, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 52828, Korea.

E-mail: Hashem.bordbar@ung.si E-mail: mbordbar@qom.ac.ir E-mail: borzooei@sbu.ac.ir E-mail: skywine@gmail.com

ABSTRACT. In the paper [J. Ghosh and T.K. Samanta, Hyperfuzzy sets and hyperfuzzy group, Int. J. Advanced Sci Tech. 41 (2012), 27–37], Ghosh and Samanta introduced the concept of hyperfuzzy sets as a generalization of fuzzy sets and interval-valued fuzzy sets, and applied it to group theory. The aim of this manuscript is to study  $\mathcal{N}$ -structures in BCK/BCI-algebras induced from hyperfuzzy structures.

**Keywords:** Hyperfuzzy set, Hyperfuzzy structure, Hyperfuzzy subalgebra,  $\mathcal{N}$ -Subalgebra, Induced  $\mathcal{N}$ -Function.

 $\textbf{2000 Mathematics subject classification:}\ 06F35,\ 03G25,\ 03B52$ 

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author

#### 1. Introduction

Fuzzy set theory is firstly introduced by Zadeh [15] and opened a new path of thinking to mathematicians, physicists, chemists, engineers and many others due to its diverse applications in various fields. Algebraic hyperstructure, which is introduced by the French mathematician Marty [13], represent a natural extension of classical algebraic structures. Since then, many papers and several books have been written in this area. Nowadays, hyperstructures have a lot of applications in several domains of mathematics and computer sciences. In a classical algebraic structure, the composition of two elements is an element, while in an algebraic hyperstructure, the composition of two elements is a set. The study of fuzzy hyperstructures is an interesting research area of fuzzy sets. As a generalization of fuzzy sets and interval-valued fuzzy sets, Ghosh and Samanta [9] introduced the notion of hyperfuzzy sets, and applied it to group theory. Jun et al. [11] applied the hyperfuzzy sets to BCK/BCI-algebras, and introduced the notion of k-fuzzy substructure for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . They introduced the concepts of hyperfuzzy substructures of several types by using k-fuzzy substructures, and investigated their basic properties. They also introduced the notion of hyperfuzzy subalgebras of type (i, j) for  $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Because no negative meaning of information is suggested, we now feel a need to deal with negative information. To do so, we also feel a need to supply mathematical tool. To attain such object, Jun et al. [12] introduced and used a new function which is called negative-valued function. The important achievement of the paper [12] was that one can deal with positive and negative information simultaneously by combining ideas in [12] and already well known positive information.

In this paper, we study  $\mathcal{N}$ -structures in BCK/BCI-algebras induced from hyperfuzzy structures. We introduce the notions of  $\mathcal{N}_k$ -subalgebras in BCK/BCI-algebras for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , and investigate several properties. We investigate relations between  $\mathcal{N}_k$ -subalgebras induced from hyperfuzzy sets and (i, j)-hyperfuzzy subalgebras in BCK/BCI-algebras for  $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .

### 2. Preliminaries

By a BCI-algebra we mean a system  $X:=(X,*,0)\in K(\tau)$  in which the following axioms hold:

- (I) ((x\*y)\*(x\*z))\*(z\*y) = 0,
- (II) (x \* (x \* y)) \* y = 0,
- (III) x \* x = 0,
- (IV)  $x * y = y * x = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . If a BCI-algebra X satisfies 0 \* x = 0 for all  $x \in X$ , then we say that X is a BCK-algebra. We can define a partial ordering  $\leq$  by

$$(\forall x, y \in X) (x \le y \iff x * y = 0).$$

In a BCK/BCI-algebra X, the following hold:

$$(\forall x \in X) \ (x * 0 = x), \tag{2.1}$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X) \ ((x * y) * z = (x * z) * y). \tag{2.2}$$

A non-empty subset S of a BCK/BCI-algebra X is called a subalgebra of  $X \text{ if } x * y \in S \text{ for all } x, y \in S.$ 

We refer the reader to the books [10] and [14] for further information regarding BCK/BCI-algebras.

By a fuzzy structure over a nonempty set X we mean an ordered pair  $(X, \rho)$ of X and a fuzzy set  $\rho$  on X.

Denote by  $\mathcal{F}(X, [-1, 0])$  the collection of functions from a set X to [-1, 0]. We say that an element of  $\mathcal{F}(X, [-1, 0])$  is a negative-valued function from X to [-1,0] (briefly,  $\mathcal{N}$ -function on X.) By an  $\mathcal{N}$ -structure we mean an ordered pair  $(X, \lambda)$  of X and an  $\mathcal{N}$ -function  $\lambda$  on X.

Let X be a nonempty set. A mapping  $\lambda: X \to \mathcal{P}([0,1])$  is called a hyperfuzzy set over X (see [9]), where  $\mathcal{P}([0,1])$  is the family of all nonempty subsets of [0,1]. An ordered pair  $(X,\tilde{\lambda})$  is called a hyper structure over X.

Given a hyper structure  $(X,\lambda)$  over a nonempty set X, we consider two fuzzy structures  $(X, \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf})$  and  $(X, \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup})$  over X in which

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{\text{inf}}: X \to [0, 1], \ x \mapsto \inf{\{\tilde{\lambda}(x)\}},$$

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{\text{sup}}: X \to [0, 1], \ x \mapsto \sup{\{\tilde{\lambda}(x)\}}.$$

Given a nonempty set X, let  $\mathcal{B}_K(X)$  and  $\mathcal{B}_I(X)$  denote the collection of all BCK-algebras and all BCI-algebras, respectively. Also  $\mathcal{B}(X) := \mathcal{B}_K(X) \cup$  $\mathcal{B}_I(X)$ .

**Definition 2.1** ([11]). For any  $(X, *, 0) \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ , a fuzzy structure  $(X, \lambda)$  over (X, \*, 0) is called a

• fuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) with type 1 (briefly, 1-fuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) if

$$(\forall x, y \in X) (\lambda(x * y) \ge \min\{\lambda(x), \lambda(y)\}), \tag{2.3}$$

• fuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) with type 2 (briefly, 2-fuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) if

$$(\forall x, y \in X) (\lambda(x * y) \le \min\{\lambda(x), \lambda(y)\}), \qquad (2.4)$$

• fuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) with type 3 (briefly, 3-fuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) if

$$(\forall x, y \in X) (\lambda(x * y) \ge \max\{\lambda(x), \lambda(y)\}), \tag{2.5}$$

• fuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) with type 4 (briefly, 4-fuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) if

$$(\forall x, y \in X) (\lambda(x * y) \le \max\{\lambda(x), \lambda(y)\}). \tag{2.6}$$

**Definition 2.2** ([11]). For any  $(X, *, 0) \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  and  $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , a hyper structure  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  over (X, \*, 0) is called an (i, j)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) if  $(X, \tilde{\lambda}_{inf})$  is an i-fuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) and  $(X, \tilde{\lambda}_{sup})$  is a j-fuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0).

## 3. $\mathcal{N}$ -subalgebras based on hyperfuzzy structures

In what follows, let  $(X, *, 0) \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  unless otherwise specified.

**Definition 3.1.** Given a hyper structure  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  over (X, \*, 0), we define an  $\mathcal{N}$ -function on (X, \*, 0) as follows:

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}: X \to [-1, 0], \ x \mapsto \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x),$$

which is called an induced  $\mathcal{N}$ -function from  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  on (X, \*, 0).

**Definition 3.2.** A hyper structure  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  over (X, \*, 0) is called an

•  $\mathcal{N}_1$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) if

$$(\forall x, y \in X) \left( \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x * y) \ge \min\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y)\} \right), \tag{3.1}$$

•  $\mathcal{N}_2$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) if

$$(\forall x, y \in X) \left( \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x * y) \le \min\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y)\} \right), \tag{3.2}$$

•  $\mathcal{N}_3$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) if

$$(\forall x, y \in X) \left( \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x * y) \ge \max\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y)\} \right), \tag{3.3}$$

•  $\mathcal{N}_4$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) if

$$(\forall x, y \in X) \left( \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x * y) \le \max\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y)\} \right). \tag{3.4}$$

EXAMPLE 3.3. Consider a BCK-algebra  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  with the binary operation \* which is given in Table 1 (see [14]).

Table 1. Cayley table for the binary operation "\*"

*	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	0	0
2	2	2	0	0	0
3	3	3	3	0	0
4	4	3	4	1	0

Let  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  be a hyper structure over (X, \*, 0) in which  $\tilde{\lambda}$  is given as follows:

$$\tilde{\lambda}: X \to \tilde{\mathcal{P}}([0,1]), \ x \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [0.2,0.4) & \text{if } x = 0, \\ (0.1,0.3] \cup [0.5,0.9) & \text{if } x = 1, \\ [0.1,0.3] & \text{if } x = 2, \\ [0.3,0.4) \cup [0.5,0.6] & \text{if } x = 3, \\ [0.3,0.8] & \text{if } x = 4. \end{array} \right.$$

Then the induced  $\mathcal{N}$ -function from  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is given by Table 2

Table 2. Induced N-function from  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$ 

$\overline{X}$	0	1	2	3	4
$\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}$	-0.2	-0.8	-0.2	-0.3	-0.5

Example 3.4. Consider a BCI-algebra  $X = \{0, 1, a, b, c\}$  with the binary operation \* which is given in Table 3 (see [14]).

Table 3. Cayley table for the binary operation "\*"

*	0	1	a	b	c
0	0	0	a	b	c
1	1	0	a	b	c
a	a	a	0	c	b
b	b	b	c	0	a
c	c	c	b	a	0

Let  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  be a hyper structure over (X, \*, 0) in which  $\tilde{\lambda}$  is given as follows:

$$\tilde{\lambda}: X \to \tilde{\mathcal{P}}([0,1]), \ x \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [0.2,1.0) & \text{if } x = 0, \\ (0.1,0.4] \cup [0.5,0.8] & \text{if } x = 1, \\ [0.4,0.9] & \text{if } x = a, \\ [0.3,0.6) & \text{if } x \in \{b,c\} \end{array} \right.$$

The induced  $\mathcal{N}$ -function from  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is given by Table 4.

Table 4. Induced  $\mathcal{N}$ -function from  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$ 

$\overline{X}$	0	1	a	b	c
$\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}$	-0.8	-0.7	-0.5	-0.3	-0.3

It is routine to verify that  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_4$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0).

Given a hyper structure  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  over (X, \*, 0) and  $t \in [-1, 0]$ , consider the following sets:

$$U_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{\lambda};t) := \{ x \in X \mid \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x) \ge t \}, \tag{3.5}$$

$$L_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{\lambda};t) := \{ x \in X \mid \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x) \le t \}. \tag{3.6}$$

**Theorem 3.5.** A hyper structure  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  over (X, \*, 0) is an  $\mathcal{N}_1$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) if and only if the following assertion is valid.

$$(\forall t \in [-1,0]) \left( U_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{\lambda};t) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow U_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{\lambda};t) \text{ is a subalgebra of } (X,*,0) \right).$$
 (3.7)

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  be an  $\mathcal{N}_1$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) and let  $t \in [-1, 0]$  be such that  $U_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{\lambda}; t)$  is nonempty. If  $x, y \in U_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{\lambda}; t)$ , then  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x) \geq t$  and  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y) \geq t$ . It follows from (3.1) that

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x * y) \ge \min{\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y)\}} \ge t$$

and so that  $x * y \in U_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{\lambda}; t)$ . Hence  $U_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{\lambda}; t)$  is a subalgebra of (X, \*, 0).

Conversely, assume that  $U_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{\lambda};t)$  is a subalgebra of (X,\*,0) for all  $t \in [-1,0]$  with  $U_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{\lambda};t) \neq \emptyset$ . If there exist  $a,b \in X$  such that

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(a*b) < \min{\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(a), \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(b)\}},$$

then  $a, b \in U_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{\lambda}; t)$  and so  $a * b \in U_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{\lambda}; t)$  by taking  $t := \min{\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(a), \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(b)\}}$ . Thus  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(a * b) \geq t$ , which is a contradiction. Hence

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x * y) \ge \min{\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y)\}}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Therefore  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_1$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0).

**Corollary 3.6.** If a hyper structure  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  over (X, \*, 0) is an  $\mathcal{N}_3$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0), then the assertion (3.7) is valid.

The converse of Corollary 3.6 may not be true as seen in the following example.

EXAMPLE 3.7. Consider a BCI-algebra  $X = \{0, 1, 2, a, b\}$  with the binary operation \* which is given in Table 5 (see [14]).

Table 5. Cayley table for the binary operation "\*"

*	0	1	2	a	b
0	0	0	0	a	a
1	1	0	1	b	a
2	2	2	0	a	a
a	a	a	a	0	0
b	b	a	b	1	0

Let  $(X, \lambda)$  be a hyper structure over (X, \*, 0) in which  $\lambda$  is given as follows:

$$\tilde{\lambda}: X \to \tilde{\mathcal{P}}([0,1]), \ x \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [0.2,0.4) & \text{if } x = 0, \\ (0.1,0.4] \cup [0.5,0.7] & \text{if } x = 1, \\ [0.5,0.8] & \text{if } x = 2, \\ [0.4,0.5) \cup (0.6,0.8] & \text{if } x = a, \\ [0.3,0.9) & \text{if } x = b \end{array} \right.$$

The induced N-function from  $(X, \lambda)$  is given by Table 6

Table 6. Induced N-function from  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$ 

$\overline{X}$	0	1	2	a	b
$\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}$	-0.2	-0.6	-0.3	-0.4	-0.6

Hence we have

$$U_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{\lambda};t) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } t \in (-0.2,0], \\ \{0\} & \text{if } t \in (-0.3,-0.2], \\ \{0,2\} & \text{if } t \in (-0.4,-0.3], \\ \{0,2,a\} & \text{if } t \in (-0.6,-0.4], \\ X & \text{if } t \in [-1,-0.6], \end{cases}$$

and so  $U_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{\lambda};t)$  is a subalgebra of (X,\*,0) for all  $t \in [-1,0]$  with  $U_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{\lambda};t) \neq \emptyset$ . But  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is not an  $\mathcal{N}_3$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) since

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(b*a) = \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(1) = -0.6 < -0.4 = \max\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(b), \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(a)\}.$$

**Theorem 3.8.** A hyper structure  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  over (X, \*, 0) is an  $\mathcal{N}_4$ -subalgebra of (X,\*,0) if and only if the following assertion is valid.

$$(\forall t \in [-1,0]) \left( L_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{\lambda};t) \neq \emptyset \ \Rightarrow \ L_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{\lambda};t) \ is \ a \ subalgebra \ of \ (X,*,0) \right). \ \ (3.8)$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $(X, \lambda)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_4$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) and let  $t \in [-1, 0]$ be such that  $L_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{\lambda};t)$  is nonempty. If  $x,y\in L_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{\lambda};t)$ , then  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x)\leq t$  and  $\lambda_{\mathcal{N}}(y) \leq t$ . It follows from (3.4) that

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x * y) \leq \max{\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y)\}} \leq t$$

and so that  $x * y \in L_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{\lambda};t)$ . Hence  $L_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{\lambda};t)$  is a subalgebra of (X,\*,0).

Conversely, suppose that  $L_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{\lambda};t)$  is a subalgebra of (X,\*,0) for all  $t \in$ [-1,0] with  $L_{\mathcal{N}}(\lambda;t)\neq\emptyset$ . Assume that there exist  $a,b\in X$  such that

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(a*b) > \max\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(a), \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(b)\}.$$

If we take  $t := \max\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(a), \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(b)\}$ , then  $a, b \in L_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{\lambda}; t)$  and so  $a * b \in L_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{\lambda}; t)$ . Thus  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(a*b) \leq t$ , which is a contradiction. Hence

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x * y) \leq \max{\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y)\}}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Therefore  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_4$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0).  **Corollary 3.9.** If a hyper structure  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  over (X, \*, 0) is an  $\mathcal{N}_2$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0), then the assertion (3.8) is valid.

The converse of Corollary 3.9 may not be true as seen in the following example.

EXAMPLE 3.10. Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, a, b\}$  be the *BCI*-algebra in Example 3.7. Consider a hyper structure  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  over (X, \*, 0) in which  $\tilde{\lambda}$  is given as follows:

$$\tilde{\lambda}: X \to \tilde{\mathcal{P}}([0,1]), \ x \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [0.1,0.3] \cup (0.4,0.7) & \text{if } x = 0, \\ (0.2,0.5] & \text{if } x = 1, \\ [0.3,0.7] & \text{if } x = 2, \\ [0.4,0.5) \cup (0.5,0.6] & \text{if } x = a, \\ [0.5,0.7) & \text{if } x = b \end{array} \right.$$

Then  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  induces the  $\mathcal{N}$ -function given by Table 7,

Table 7. Induced  $\mathcal{N}$ -function from  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$ 

$\overline{X}$	0	1	2	a	b
$\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}$	-0.6	-0.3	-0.4	-0.2	-0.2

and so

$$L_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{\lambda};t) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } t \in [-1, -0.6), \\ \{0\} & \text{if } t \in [-0.6, -0.4), \\ \{0, 2\} & \text{if } t \in [-0.4, -0.3), \\ \{0, 1, 2\} & \text{if } t \in [-0.3, -0.2), \\ X & \text{if } t \in [-0.2, 0]. \end{cases}$$

Thus  $L_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{\lambda};t)$  is a subalgebra of (X,\*,0) for all  $t \in [-1,0]$  with  $L_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{\lambda};t) \neq \emptyset$ . Since

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(b*1) = \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(a) = -0.2 > -0.3 = \min\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(b), \tilde{\lambda}(1)\},\$$

 $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is not an  $\mathcal{N}_2$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0).

**Theorem 3.11.** Given a subalgebra A of (X, \*, 0) and  $B_1, B_2 \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}([0, 1])$  with  $B_1 \subsetneq B_2$ , the hyper structure  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  over (X, \*, 0) given by

$$\tilde{\lambda}: X \to \tilde{\mathcal{P}}([0,1]), \ x \mapsto \begin{cases} B_2 & \text{if } x \in A, \\ B_1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (3.9)

is an  $\mathcal{N}_4$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0).

*Proof.* From (3.9), we have

$$(\forall x \in X) \left( \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \inf\{B_2\} - \sup\{B_2\} & \text{if } x \in A, \\ \inf\{B_1\} - \sup\{B_1\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right).$$
 (3.10)

Since  $B_1 \subseteq B_2$ , we have  $\inf\{B_2\} - \sup\{B_2\} \le \inf\{B_1\} - \sup\{B_1\}$ . For any  $x, y \in X$ , if  $x, y \in A$ , then  $x * y \in A$  and so

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x * y) = \inf\{B_2\} - \sup\{B_2\} = \max\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y)\}.$$

If  $x, y \notin A$ , then  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x * y) \leq \inf\{B_1\} - \sup\{B_1\} = \max\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y)\}.$ Assume that  $x \in A$  and  $y \notin A$  (or,  $x \notin A$  and  $y \in A$ ). Then

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x * y) \leq \inf B_1 - \sup B_1 = \max{\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y)\}}.$$

Therefore  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_4$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0).

The hyper structure  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  in Theorem 3.11 is not an  $\mathcal{N}_2$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) as seen in the following example.

EXAMPLE 3.12. Consider the BCK-algebra (X, \*, 0) in Example 3.3, and take a subalgebra  $A = \{0, 1, 2\}$  of (X, \*, 0). Let  $(X, \lambda)$  be a hyper structure over (X, \*, 0) given by

$$\tilde{\lambda}: X \to \tilde{\mathcal{P}}([0,1]), \ x \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (0.2,0.7) & \text{if } x \in A, \\ [0.3,0.6) & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$$

Then  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_4$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) by Theorem 3.11. But it is not an  $\mathcal{N}_2$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) since

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(3*1) = \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(3) = -0.3 > -0.5 = \min\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(3), \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(1)\}.$$

**Theorem 3.13.** If  $B_2 \subseteq B_1$  in Theorem 3.11, then  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_1$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0).

*Proof.* If  $B_2 \subseteq B_1$ , then  $\inf\{B_2\} - \sup\{B_2\} \ge \inf\{B_1\} - \sup\{B_1\}$ . For any  $x, y \in X$ , the following assertion is clear.

$$x, y \in A \implies \tilde{\lambda}(x * y) = \min{\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y)\}}.$$

If  $x \notin A$  or  $y \notin A$ , then  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x) = \inf\{B_1\} - \sup\{B_1\}$  or  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y) = \inf\{B_1\} - \sup\{B_1\}$  $\sup\{B_1\}$ . It follows that

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x * y) \ge \inf\{B_1\} - \sup\{B_1\} = \min\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y)\}.$$

Therefore  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_1$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0).

The hyper structure  $(X, \lambda)$  in Theorem 3.13 is not an  $\mathcal{N}_3$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) as seen in the following example.

Example 3.14. Consider the BCK-algebra (X, \*, 0) in Example 3.3. Given a subalgebra  $A = \{0,1,2\}$  of (X,\*,0), let  $(X,\tilde{\lambda})$  be a hyper structure over (X,\*,0) given by

$$\tilde{\lambda}: X \to \tilde{\mathcal{P}}([0,1]), \ x \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \{0.3n \mid n \in (0.4,0.7]\} & \text{if } x \in A, \\ \{0.3n \mid n \in [0.2,0.9)\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$$

Then  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_1$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) by Theorem 3.13. But it is not an  $\mathcal{N}_3$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) since

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(3*1) = \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(3) = -0.21 < -0.09 = \max{\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(3), \tilde{\lambda}(1)\}}.$$

**Theorem 3.15.** Let  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  be a hyper structure over (X, \*, 0) in which  $(X, \tilde{\lambda}_{inf})$  satisfies the condition (2.4). If  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is a (k, 1)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , then it is an  $\mathcal{N}_4$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0).

*Proof.* Assume that  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is a (k, 1)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  in which  $(X, \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf})$  satisfies the condition (2.4). Then  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x*y) \leq \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x)$  and  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x*y) \leq \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , and  $(X, \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup})$  is a 1-fuzzy subalgebra of X. It follows from (2.3) that

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x*y) &= \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x*y) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x*y) \\ &\leq \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x*y) - \min\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(y)\} \\ &= \max\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x*y) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x), \ \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x*y) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(y)\} \\ &\leq \max\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x), \ \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(y) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(y)\} \\ &= \max\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y)\} \end{split}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Therefore  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_4$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0).

Corollary 3.16. Let  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  be a hyper structure over (X, \*, 0) in which  $(X, \tilde{\lambda}_{inf})$  satisfies the condition (2.4). If  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is a (k, 3)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , then it is an  $\mathcal{N}_4$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0).

In general, any  $\mathcal{N}_4$ -subalgebra may not be a (k, 1)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  as seen in the following example.

EXAMPLE 3.17. In Example 3.4, the  $\mathcal{N}_4$ -subalgebra  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  of (X, \*, 0) is not a (k, 1)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  since

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(b*b) &= \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(0) = 0.2 < 0.3 = \min\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(b), \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(b)\}, \\ \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(b*c) &= \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(a) = 0.4 > 0.3 = \min\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(b), \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(c)\}, \\ \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(a*a) &= \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(0) = 0.2 < 0.4 = \max\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(a), \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(a)\}, \\ \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(b*c) &= \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(a) = 0.4 > 0.3 = \max\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(b), \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(c)\}. \end{split}$$

We consider a condition for an  $\mathcal{N}_4$ -subalgebra to be a (k,1)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra for  $k \in \{1,2,3,4\}$ .

**Theorem 3.18.** If  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_4$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) in which  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}$  is constant on X, then it is a (k, 1)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_4$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) in which  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}$  is constant on X. It is clear that  $(X, \lambda_{\inf})$  is a k-fuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Let  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x) = t$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x*y) &= \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x*y) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x*y) \\ &= t - \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x*y) \\ &\geq t - \max\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y)\} \\ &= \min\{t - \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x), t - \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y)\} \\ &= \min\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(y)\} \end{split}$$

for all  $x,y\in X$ . Thus  $(X,\tilde{\lambda}_{\sup})$  is a 1-fuzzy subalgebra of X. Therefore  $(X,\tilde{\lambda})$ is a (k, 1)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .

Corollary 3.19. If  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_2$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) in which  $\tilde{\lambda}_{inf}$  is constant on X, then it is a (k,1)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra of (X,\*,0) for  $k \in$  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}.$ 

**Theorem 3.20.** Let  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  be a hyper structure over (X, \*, 0) in which  $(X, \lambda_{\sup})$ satisfies the condition (2.5). If  $(X, \lambda)$  is a (4,k)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra of (X,\*,0) for  $k \in \{1,2,3,4\}$ , then it is an  $\mathcal{N}_4$ -subalgebra of (X,\*,0).

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  be a (4, k)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) in which  $(X, \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup})$ satisfies the condition (2.5). Then  $\lambda_{\sup}(x*y) \geq \lambda_{\sup}(x)$  and  $\lambda_{\sup}(x*y) \geq \lambda_{\sup}(x*y)$  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\text{sup}}(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Since  $(X, \tilde{\lambda}_{\text{inf}})$  is a 4-fuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0), we have

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x*y) &= \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x*y) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x*y) \\ &\leq \max\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(y)\} - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x*y) \\ &= \max\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x*y), \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(y) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x*y)\} \\ &\leq \max\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(y) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(y)\} \\ &= \max\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y)\} \end{split}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Therefore  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_4$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0). 

Corollary 3.21. Let  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  be a hyper structure over (X, \*, 0) in which  $(X, \tilde{\lambda}_{sup})$ satisfies the condition (2.5). If  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is a (2, k)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra of (X,\*,0) for  $k \in \{1,2,3,4\}$ , then it is an  $\mathcal{N}_4$ -subalgebra of (X,\*,0).

**Theorem 3.22.** If  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_4$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) in which  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\text{sup}}$  is constant on X, then it is a (4,k)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra of (X,\*,0) for  $k \in$  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}.$ 

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  be an  $\mathcal{N}_4$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) in which  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}$  is constant on X. It is clear that  $(X, \lambda_{\sup})$  is a k-fuzzy subalgebra of X for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .

Let  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x) = t$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x*y) &= \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x*y) + \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x*y) \\ &\leq \max\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y)\} + t \\ &= \max\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x) + t, \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y) + t\} \\ &= \max\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(y)\} \end{split}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , that is,  $(X, \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf})$  is a 4-fuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0). Hence  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is a (4, k)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .

Corollary 3.23. If  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_2$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) in which  $\tilde{\lambda}_{sup}$  is constant on X, then it is a (4, k)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .

**Theorem 3.24.** Let  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  be a hyper structure over (X, \*, 0) in which  $(X, \tilde{\lambda}_{inf})$  satisfies the condition (2.5). If  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is a (k, 4)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , then it is an  $\mathcal{N}_1$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0).

*Proof.* Assume that  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is a (k, 4)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  in which  $(X, \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf})$  satisfies the condition (2.5). Then  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x*y) \geq \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x)$  and  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x*y) \geq \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , and  $(X, \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup})$  is a 4-fuzzy subalgebra of X. Hence

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x*y) &= \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x*y) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x*y) \\ &\geq \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x*y) - \max\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(y)\} \\ &= \min\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x*y) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x), \ \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x*y) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(y)\} \\ &\geq \min\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x), \ \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(y)\} \\ &= \min\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y)\} \end{split}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , and so  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_1$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0).

Corollary 3.25. Let  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  be a hyper structure over (X, \*, 0) in which  $(X, \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf})$  satisfies the condition (2.5). If  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is a (k, 2)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , then it is an  $\mathcal{N}_1$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0).

**Theorem 3.26.** Let  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  be a hyper structure over (X, \*, 0) in which  $\tilde{\lambda}_{inf}$  is constant. Then every  $\mathcal{N}_1$ -subalgebra is a (k, 4)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  be an  $\mathcal{N}_1$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) in which  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x) = t$  for all  $x \in X$ . It is obvious that  $(X, \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf})$  is a k-fuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) for

 $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Also we have

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x*y) &= \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x*y) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x*y) = t - \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x*y) \\ &\leq t - \min\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y)\} \\ &= \max\{t - \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x), t - \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y)\} \\ &= \max\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(y)\} \end{split}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , and hence  $(X, \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup})$  is a 4-fuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0). Therefore  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is a (k, 4)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .  $\square$ 

Corollary 3.27. Let  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  be a hyper structure over (X, \*, 0) in which  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}$ is constant. Then every  $\mathcal{N}_3$ -subalgebra is a (k,4)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}.$ 

**Theorem 3.28.** Let  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  be a hyper structure over (X, \*, 0) in which  $(X, \tilde{\lambda}_{SUD})$ satisfies the condition (2.4). For every  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , every (1, k)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra is an  $\mathcal{N}_1$ -subalgebra.

*Proof.* For every  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , let  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  be a (1, k)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) in which  $(X, \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup})$  satisfies the condition (2.4). Then  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x * y) \leq$  $\lambda_{\sup}(x)$  and  $\lambda_{\sup}(x*y) \leq \lambda_{\sup}(y)$  for all  $x,y \in X$ . Since  $(X,\lambda_{\inf})$  is a 1-fuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0), we have

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x*y) &= \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x*y) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x*y) \\ &\geq \min\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(y)\} - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x*y) \\ &= \min\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x*y), \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(y) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x*y)\} \\ &\geq \min\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(y) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(y)\} \\ &= \min\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y)\} \end{split}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Thus  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_1$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0). 

Corollary 3.29. Let  $(X, \lambda)$  be a hyper structure over (X, \*, 0) in which  $(X, \lambda_{\text{sup}})$ satisfies the condition (2.4). For every  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , every (3, k)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra is an  $\mathcal{N}_1$ -subalgebra.

**Theorem 3.30.** Let  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  be an  $\mathcal{N}_1$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0). If  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\text{sup}}$  is constant on X, then  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is a (1,k)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) for  $k \in$  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}.$ 

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  be an  $\mathcal{N}_1$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) such that  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x) = t$  for all  $x \in X$ . Obviously,  $(X, \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup})$  is a k-fuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) for  $k \in$ 

 $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , and

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x*y) &= \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x*y) + \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x*y) \\ &\geq t + \min\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y)\} \\ &= \min\{t + \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x), t + \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y)\} \\ &= \min\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(y)\} \end{split}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , and so  $(X, \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf})$  is a 1-fuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0). Therefore  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is a (1, k)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .

Corollary 3.31. Let  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  be an  $\mathcal{N}_3$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) in which  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}$  is constant on X. Then  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is a (1, k)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .

**Theorem 3.32.** Given a hyper structure  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  over (X, \*, 0) in which  $\tilde{\lambda}_{inf}$  is constant on X, if  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_2$ -subalgebra (resp.,  $\mathcal{N}_3$ -subalgebra) of (X, \*, 0), then  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is a (k, 3)-hyperfuzzy (resp., (k, 2)-hyperfuzzy) subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  be an  $\mathcal{N}_2$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) such that  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x) = t$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then  $(X, \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf})$  is a k-fuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , and

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x*y) &= \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x*y) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x*y) = t - \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x*y) \\ &\geq t - \min\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y)\} \\ &= \max\{t - \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x), t - \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y)\} \\ &= \max\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(y)\} \end{split}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , and so  $(X, \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup})$  is a 3-fuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0). Therefore  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is a (k, 3)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Similarly, if  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_3$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) such that  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x) = t$  for all  $x \in X$ , then  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is a (k, 2)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .  $\square$ 

**Theorem 3.33.** Let  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  be a (k, 2)-hyperfuzzy (resp., (k, 3)-hyperfuzzy ) subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) in which  $(X, \tilde{\lambda}_{inf})$  satisfies the condition (2.5) (resp., (2.4)) for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Then  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_3$ -subalgebra (resp.,  $\mathcal{N}_2$ -subalgebra) of (X, \*, 0).

*Proof.* Assume that  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is a (k, 2)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) in which  $(X, \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf})$  satisfies the condition (2.5). Then  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x*y) \geq \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x)$  and  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x*y) \geq \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Since  $(X, \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup})$  is a 2-fuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0), it

follows that

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x*y) &= \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x*y) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x*y) \\ &\geq \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x*y) - \min\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(y)\} \\ &= \max\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x*y) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x*y) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(y)\} \\ &\geq \max\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(y) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(y)\} \\ &= \max\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y)\} \end{split}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Hence  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_3$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0). Similarly, if  $(X,\lambda)$  is a (k,3)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra of (X,\*,0) for  $k \in \{1,2,3,4\}$  in which  $(X, \tilde{\lambda}_{inf})$  satisfies the condition (2.4), then it is an  $\mathcal{N}_2$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0).

**Theorem 3.34.** Let  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  be a hyper structure over (X, \*, 0) in which  $(X, \tilde{\lambda}_{sup})$ satisfies the condition (2.5) (resp., (2.4)). If  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is a (2, k)-hyperfuzzy (resp., (3,k)-hyperfuzzy) subalgebra of (X,\*,0) for  $k \in \{1,2,3,4\}$ , then  $(X,\lambda)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_2$ -subalgebra (resp.,  $\mathcal{N}_3$ -subalgebra) of (X, \*, 0).

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  be a (2, k)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ in which  $(X, \hat{\lambda}_{\sup})$  satisfies the condition (2.5). Then  $\hat{\lambda}_{\sup}(x * y) \geq \hat{\lambda}_{\sup}(x)$  and  $\lambda_{\sup}(x*y) \geq \lambda_{\sup}(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Since  $(X, \lambda_{\inf})$  is a 2-fuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0), we have

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x*y) &= \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x*y) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x*y) \\ &\leq \min\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(y)\} - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x*y) \\ &= \min\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x*y), \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(y) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x*y)\} \\ &\leq \min\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(y) - \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(y)\} \\ &= \min\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y)\} \end{split}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Thus  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_2$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0). Similarly, we can verify that if  $(X, \lambda)$  is a (3, k)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  in which  $(X, \lambda_{\text{sup}})$  satisfies the condition (2.4), then  $(X, \lambda)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_3$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0).

**Theorem 3.35.** Given a hyper structure  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  over (X, \*, 0) in which  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\text{sup}}$ is constant on X, if  $(X, \lambda)$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_2$ -subalgebra (resp.,  $\mathcal{N}_3$ -subalgebra) of (X,\*,0), then  $(X,\tilde{\lambda})$  is a (2,k)-hyperfuzzy (resp., (3,k)-hyperfuzzy ) subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_2$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) such that  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x) =$ t for all  $x \in X$ . Obviously,  $(X, \lambda_{\text{sup}})$  is a k-fuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , and

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x*y) &= \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x*y) + \tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x*y) = \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x*y) + t \\ &\leq \min\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y)\} + t \\ &= \min\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(x) + t, \tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{N}}(y) + t\} \\ &= \min\{\tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(x), \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf}(y)\} \end{split}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Hence  $(X, \tilde{\lambda}_{\inf})$  is a 2-fuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0), and therefore  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is a (2, k)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Similarly, we can prove that if  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_3$ -subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) such that  $\tilde{\lambda}_{\sup}(x) = t$  for all  $x \in X$ , then  $(X, \tilde{\lambda})$  is a (3, k)-hyperfuzzy subalgebra of (X, \*, 0) for  $k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .

#### Acknowledgments

The research is supported by the Iranian National Science Foundation (Grant No. 96008529).

## References

- H. Bordbar, R. A. Borzooei, Y. B. Jun, Uni-Soft Commutative Ideals and Closed Uni-Soft Ideals in BCI-Algebras, New Mathematics and Natural Computation, 14(2), (2018), page 1-13.
- H. Bordbar, S. S. Ahn, M. M. Zahed, Y. B. Jun, Semiring structures based on meet and plus ideals in lower BCK-semilattices, *Journal of Computational Analysis and Applica*tions, 23(5), (2017), 945-954.
- H. Bordbar, M. Novak, I. Cristea, Properties of reduced meet ideals in lower BCKsemilattices, APLIMAT 2018, 97-109.
- H. Bordbar, I. Cristea, Height of Prime Hyperideals in Krasner Hyperrings, Filomat, 19(31), 6153-6163.
- H. Bordbar, M. M. Zahedi, A Finite type Closure Operations on BCK-algebras, Applied Math. Inf. Sci. Lett., 4(2), (2016), 1-9.
- H. Bordbar, M. M. Zahedi, Semi-prime Closure Operations on BCK-algebra, Commun. Korean Math. Soc., 30(4), (2015), 385-402.
- H. Bordbar, M. M. Zahedi, S. S. Ahn, Y. B. Jun, Weak closure operations on ideals of BCK-algebras, *Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications*, 23(2), (2017), 51-64.
- H. Bordbar, M. M. Zahedi, Y. B. Jun, Relative annihilators in lower BCK-semilattices, Math. Sci. Lett., 6(2), (2017), 1-7.
- J. Ghosh, T. K. Samanta, Hyperfuzzy sets and hyperfuzzy group, Int. J. Advanced Sci Tech., 41, (2012), 27–37.
- 10. Y. S. Huang, BCI-algebra, Science Press, Beijing, 2006.
- 11. Y. B. Jun, K. Hur, K. J. Lee, Hyperfuzzy subalgebras of *BCK/BCI*-algebras, **Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.**, (in press).
- 12. Y. B. Jun, K. J. Lee, S. Z. Song,  $\mathcal{N}$ -ideals of BCK/BCI-algebras, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc., **22**, (2009), 417–437.
- 13. F. Marty, Sur une generalization de la notion de groupe, 8th Congress Math. Scandenaves, Stockholm (1934) 45–49.

- 14. J. Meng, Y. B. Jun, BCK-algebras,  $Kyungmoon\ Sa\ Co.,\ Seoul,\ 1994.$
- 15. L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform. Control,  $\boldsymbol{8},$  (1965), 338–353.