

## Common Fixed Point Theorems for Weakly Compatible Mapping by $(CLR)$ Property on Partial Metric Space

Farzaneh Nikbakhtsarvestani<sup>a</sup>, S. Mansour Vaezpour<sup>b</sup>, Mehdi Asadi<sup>c,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Manitoba,  
Winnipeg, MB, Canada.

<sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences,  
Amirkabir University of Technology, Tehran, Iran.

<sup>c</sup>Department of Mathematics, Zanzan Branch, Islamic Azad University,  
Zanzan, Iran.

E-mail: Nikbakhf@myumanitoba.ca; fnikbakht2220@gmail.com

E-mail: vaez@aut.ac.ir

E-mail: masadi@iauz.ac.ir; masadi.azu@gmail.com

**ABSTRACT.** The purpose of this paper is to obtain the common fixed point results for two pair of weakly compatible mapping by using common  $(CLR)$  property in partial metric space. Also we extend the very recent results which are presented in [19] with proofing a new version of the continuity of partial metric.

**Keywords:** Fixed point, Partial metric space,  $(CLR)$ -Property.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 47H10, 54H25.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The partial metric space (briefly  $PMS$ ), which is published for the first time in 1992 by Matthews [16], is an extension of the usual metric space in which  $d(x, x)$  is no necessarily zero. The existence of fixed point for mapping defined on complete metric spaces  $(X, d)$  satisfying a general contractive inequality of

---

\*Corresponding Author

Received 01 November 2016; Accepted 25 July 2017

©2019 Academic Center for Education, Culture and Research TMU

integral type was established by Branciari [6]. This result which involves more general contractive condition of integral type, was used by many authors to obtain some fixed point and common fixed point theorems on various spaces [2, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15, 18, 22]. Most of the common fixed point theorems require compatibility conditions (introduced by Junck [12]) and completeness assumption of the space or subspace or continuity of mappings involved besides some contractive condition. Afterward the notion of compability was extended to *PMS* spaces by Mishra [17]. In the general setting, the notion of  $(E.A)$  and common  $(E.A)$  properties which require the closedness of the subspace was introduced by Aamri, Moutawakil [1]. The *CLR* and common *CLR* properties which is an analogue to  $(E.A)$  property which never requires any condition on closedness of the space or subspace, are obtained by Sintunavarat and Kumam [21] and Imdad et.al [11].

This paper mainly aims to employ the common *CLR* property to obtain common fixed point results for two pair of weakly compatible mappings satisfying contractive condition of integral type on the partial metric space.

**Definition 1.1.** [16], [20, Definition 1.1] A partial metric space (briefly *PMS*) is a pair  $(X, p)$  where  $p : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is continuous map and  $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$  such that for all  $x, y, z \in X$ :

- (p1)  $p(x, x) = p(y, y) = p(x, y) \iff x = y$ ,
- (p2)  $p(x, x) \leq p(x, y)$ ,
- (p3)  $p(x, y) = p(y, x)$ ,
- (p4)  $p(x, y) \leq p(x, z) + p(z, y) - p(z, z)$ .

Each partial metric  $p$  on  $X$  generates a  $T_0$  topology  $\tau_p$  on  $X$  which has the family of open  $p$ -balls

$$\{B_p(x, \varepsilon) : x \in X, \varepsilon > 0\},$$

as a base, where

$$B_p(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in X : p(x, y) < p(x, x) + \varepsilon\}$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

**Definition 1.2.** (1) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a PMS,  $(X, p)$ , converges to a point  $x \in X$  if and only if  $p(x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x, x_n)$ .  
 (2) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a PMS,  $(X, p)$ , is called a Cauchy sequence if  $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_m, x_n)$  exists and is finite.  
 (3) A PMS  $(X, p)$  is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  converges, with respect to  $\tau_p$ , to a point  $x \in X$  such that

$$p(x, x) = \lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_m, x_n).$$

The following lemma states a new version of the continuity of partial metric. And we present two proof, at first directly and second very short proof.

**Lemma 1.3.** Assume that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $y_n \rightarrow y$  in PMS  $(X, p)$ . Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p(x_n, y_n) - \min\{p(x_n, x_n), p(y_n, y_n)\}) = p(x, y) - \min\{p(x, x), p(y, y)\}. \quad (1.1)$$

*Proof.* Put

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &:= \min\{p(x_n, x_n), p(y_n, y_n)\}, \\ a &:= \max\{p(x, x), p(y, y)\} \\ b &:= p(x, x) + p(y, y) \\ b_n &:= p(x_n, x_n) + p(y_n, y_n) \end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned} b - a &= \min\{p(x, x), p(y, y)\} \\ a &\leq b \\ a_n &\leq b_n. \end{aligned}$$

Now we show that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_n) = p(x, x)$ . Since  $p(x_n, x) \rightarrow p(x, x)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  therefore

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists N_1 \quad \forall n \quad (n \geq N_1 \Rightarrow |p(x_n, x) - p(x, x)| < \varepsilon).$$

So we get

$$p(x_n, x_n) \leq p(x_n, x) \leq p(x, x) + \varepsilon, \quad \forall n \geq N_1, \quad (1.2)$$

likewise

$$p(y_n, y_n) \leq p(y_n, y) \leq p(y, y) + \varepsilon, \quad \forall n \geq N_2, \quad (1.3)$$

means  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_n) = p(x, x)$  and  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(y_n, y_n) = p(y, y)$ .

Also by (1.2) and (1.3)

$$a_n \leq b - a + 2\varepsilon, \quad \forall n \geq N, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ .

Put

$$A_n := a_n - b_n - (b - a) = -\max\{p(x_n, x_n), p(y_n, y_n)\} - \min\{p(x, x), p(y, y)\}, \quad (1.5)$$

now if  $p(x_n, x_n) \leq p(y_n, y_n)$ , then by taking upper limit  $p(x, x) \leq p(y, y)$  so  $A_n = -p(y_n, y_n) - p(x, x)$  and if  $p(y_n, y_n) \leq p(x_n, x_n)$ , then  $p(y, y) \leq p(x, x)$  which implies  $A_n = -p(x_n, x_n) - p(y, y)$ . Therefore

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p(x, x_n) + p(y_n, y) + A_n) = 0. \quad (1.6)$$

Thus by (1.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(x_n, y_n) &\leq p(x_n, x) + p(x, y_n) - p(x, x) \\
 &\leq p(x_n, x) + p(x, y) + p(y, y_n) - p(y, y) - p(x, x) \\
 &\quad - a_n + a_n - (b - a) + (b - a) \\
 p(x_n, y_n) - a_n &\leq (p(x, y) - (b - a)) + p(x_n, x) - p(x, x) + p(y, y_n) - p(y, y) \\
 &\quad - a_n + (b - a) \\
 p(x_n, y_n) - a_n &\leq (p(x, y) - (b - a)) + p(x_n, x) - p(x, x) + p(y, y_n) \\
 &\quad - p(y, y) + 2\varepsilon,
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $n \geq N$ . On the other hand, by (1.6)

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(x, y) &\leq p(x, x_n) + p(x_n, y) - p(x_n, x_n) \\
 &\leq p(x, x_n) + p(x_n, y_n) + p(y_n, y) - p(y_n, y_n) - p(x_n, x_n) \\
 p(x, y) - (b - a) &\leq p(x, x_n) + p(x_n, y_n) + p(y_n, y) - b_n - (b - a) - a_n + a_n \\
 &\leq (p(x_n, y_n) - a_n) + p(x, x_n) + p(y_n, y) + a_n - b_n - (b - a) \\
 &\leq (p(x_n, y_n) - a_n) + p(x, x_n) + p(y_n, y) + A_n
 \end{aligned}$$

Now by above inequalities we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p(x_n, y_n) - a_n) \leq p(x, y) - (b - a), \quad (1.7)$$

$$p(x, y) - (b - a) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p(x_n, y_n) - a_n). \quad (1.8)$$

By equations (1.7) and (1.8) assertion is clear.  $\square$

EXAMPLE 1.4. Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(1, 1) &= 1, \quad p(2, 2) = 2, \quad p(3, 3) = 3, \\
 p(1, 2) &= 2, \quad p(2, 3) = 3, \quad p(1, 3) = 3, \\
 p(x, y) &= p(y, x) \quad x \neq y,
 \end{aligned}$$

for every  $x, y \in X$ .  $(X, p)$  is PMS. Assume  $x_n = 1$ ,  $x = 2$ ,  $y_n = 2$  and  $y = 3$ . So  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $y_n \rightarrow y$  in PMS.  $p(x_n, y_n) = 2$ ,  $p(x_n, x_n) = 1$ ,  $p(y_n, y_n) = 2$ ,  $p(x, x) = 2$ ,  $p(y, y) = 3$  and  $p(x, y) = 3$ . So Lemma 1.3 holds, but  $p(x_n, y_n) \not\rightarrow p(x, y)$ .

*Remark 1.5.* If we consider the following definition, then Lemma 1.3 has simple and short proof, since every partial metric  $p$  is  $m$ -metric by [5, Lemma 1.1] and assertion obtain by [5, Lemma 2.2].

**Definition 1.6.** ([5]) Let  $X$  be a non empty set. A function  $m : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is called  $M$ -metric if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (m1)  $m(x, x) = m(y, y) = m(x, y) \iff x = y$ ,
- (m2)  $m_{xy} \leq m(x, y)$ ,
- (m3)  $m(x, y) = m(y, x)$ ,

$$(m4) \quad (m(x, y) - m_{xy}) \leq (m(x, z) - m_{xz}) + (m(z, y) - m_{zy}).$$

Where

$$m_{xy} := \min\{m(x, x), m(y, y)\} = m(x, x) \vee m(y, y),$$

Then the pair  $(X, m)$  is called a  $M$ -metric space.

*Remark 1.7.* Let

$$p^*(x, y) = p(x, y) - \min\{p(x, x), p(y, y)\} \quad \forall x, y \in X. \quad (1.9)$$

Therefore by Lemma 1.3

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p^*(x_n, y_n) = p^*(x, y),$$

when  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $y_n \rightarrow y$  in PMS.

Let  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^+)$  denote the Lebesgue integrable functions with finite integral and  $USC(\mathbb{R}^+)$  denote the upper semi-continuous functions.

$$\Phi := \left\{ \varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ : \varphi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^+), \int_0^\varepsilon \varphi(t) dt > 0, \varepsilon > 0 \right\}$$

and

$$\Psi := \left\{ \psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ : \psi \in USC(\mathbb{R}^+), \psi(0) = 0 \text{ and } \psi(t) < t; \forall t > 0 \right\}.$$

**Definition 1.8.** A pair of self-mappings  $F$  and  $G$  on  $X$  is weakly compatible if there exists a point  $x \in X$  such that  $Fx = Gx$  implies  $FGx = GFx$  i.e., they commute at their coincidence points.

The following definitions are partial metric version of metric ones in ([1, 11, 21]).

**Definition 1.9.** Let  $(X, p)$  be a partial metric space for the self mappings  $F, G, S, T : X \rightarrow X$ . If there exist two sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Gx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ty_n = t \in X,$$

then the pairs  $(F, G)$  and  $(S, T)$  satisfy the common  $(E.A)$  property.

**Definition 1.10.** Let  $(X, p)$  be a partial metric space for the self mappings  $F, G, S, T : X \rightarrow X$ . If there exist two sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Gx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ty_n = t \in G(X) \cap T(X),$$

then pairs  $(F, G)$  and  $(S, T)$  satisfy the common limit range property with respect to the mappings  $G$  and  $T$ , denoted by  $(CLR_{GT})$ .

## 2. COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS

In this section, we study common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings using common  $(CLR)$  and common  $(E.A)$  properties.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $(X, p)$  be a partial metric space and  $F, G, S$  and  $T$  be four self-mappings on  $X$  satisfying in the following conditions:*

- (1) *The pair  $(F, G)$  and  $(S, T)$  share  $(CLR_{GT})$  property;*
- (2)

$$\int_0^{p(Fx, Sy)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{C_{F,G,S,T}^1(x,y)} \varphi(t) dt \right) \quad \forall x, y \in X,$$

where  $(\varphi, \psi) \in \Phi \times \Psi$  and

$$\begin{aligned} C_{F,G,S,T}^1(x, y) = & \max \left\{ p(Gx, Ty), p(Gx, Fx), p^*(Ty, Sy), \right. \\ & \frac{1}{2} [p^*(Fx, Ty) + p(Sy, Gx)], \\ & \frac{p(Fx, Gx)p^*(Sy, Ty)}{1 + p(Gx, Ty)}, \\ & \frac{p^*(Fx, Ty)p(Sy, Gx) + p^*(Fx, Sy)}{1 + p(Gx, Ty)}, \\ & \left. p(Fx, Gx) \frac{1 + p(Gx, Sy) + p^*(Ty, Fx)}{1 + p(Gx, Fx) + p^*(Ty, Sy)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If the pairs  $(F, G)$  and  $(S, T)$  are weakly compatible, then  $F, S, T$  and  $G$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

*Proof.* By  $(CLR_{GT})$  property for  $(F, G)$  and  $(S, T)$ , there exist two sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Gx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ty_n = z, \quad (2.1)$$

for some  $z \in T(X) \cap G(X)$ .

Since  $z \in G(X)$ , then there exists a point  $u \in X$  such that  $Gu = z$ .

Now we claim that  $Fu = Gu$ . To prove the claim, let  $Fu \neq Gu$ .

By putting  $x = u$  and  $y = y_n$  in condition (2) of Theorem 2.1 we have

$$\int_0^{p(Fu, Sy_n)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{C_{F,G,S,T}^1(u, y_n)} \varphi(t) dt \right). \quad (2.2)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_{F,G,S,T}^1(u, y_n) &= \max \left\{ p(z, z), p(z, Fu), p(z, z), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{2}[p^*(Fu, z) + p(z, z)], 0, \right. \\ &\quad \left. p^*(Fu, z), p(z, Fu) \frac{1 + p(z, z), p^*(Fu, z)}{1 + p(Fu, z) + 0} \right\} \\ &= p(Fu, z), \end{aligned}$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} p(Gu, Ty_n) &= p(z, Ty_n) \rightarrow p(z, z), \\ p(Gu, Fu) &= p(z, Fu), \\ p(Sy_n, Gu) &= p(Sy_n, z) \rightarrow p(z, z), \\ p^*(Ty_n, Sy_n) &\rightarrow p^*(z, z) = 0, \\ p^*(Fu, Ty_n) &\rightarrow p^*(Fu, z) \leq p(Fu, z), \\ p^*(Fu, Sy_n) &\rightarrow p^*(Fu, z) \leq p(Fu, z), \\ p^*(Fu, Ty_n) &\rightarrow p^*(Fu, z) \leq p(Fu, z), \end{aligned}$$

also

$$p^*(Fu, Ty_n) \rightarrow p^*(Fu, z) = p(Fu, z) - \min\{p(z, z), p(Fu, Fu)\}.$$

If  $p(z, z) \leq p(Fu, Fu)$  then  $p^*(Fu, z) = p(Fu, z) - p(z, z)$  which implies that

$$p(Fu, z) \frac{1 + p(z, z) + p^*(Fu, z)}{1 + p(Fu, z)} = p(Fu, z),$$

and if  $p(Fu, Fu) \leq p(z, z)$ , then

$$p^*(Fu, z) = p(Fu, z) - p(Fu, Fu) \leq p(Fu, z) - p(z, z),$$

which implies that

$$p(Fu, z) \frac{1 + p(z, z) + p^*(Fu, z)}{1 + p(Fu, z)} \leq p(Fu, z).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^{p(Fu,z)} \varphi(t)dt &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{p(Fu,Sy_n)} \varphi(t)dt \\
 &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi \left( \int_0^{C_{F,G,S,T}^1(u,y_n)} \varphi(t)dt \right) \\
 &\leq \psi \left( \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{C_{F,G,S,T}^1(u,y_n)} \varphi(t)dt \right) \\
 &= \psi \left( \int_0^{p(Fu,z)} \varphi(t)dt \right) \\
 &< \int_0^{p(Fu,z)} \varphi(t)dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction, thus  $Fu = Gu$  and hence,

$$Fu = Gu = z. \quad (2.3)$$

Similarly, it can be shown that  $Sv = Tv$  and hence

$$Sv = Gv = z. \quad (2.4)$$

Therefore from (2.3) and (2.4) one can write

$$Fu = Gu = Sv = Tv = z. \quad (2.5)$$

Next, we show that  $z$  is a common fixed point of  $F, S, T$  and  $G$ . For this, since the pairs  $(F, G)$  and  $(S, T)$  are weakly compatible, then using (2.5) we have

$$Fu = Gu \Rightarrow GFu = FG u \Rightarrow Fz = Gz, \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$Sv = Tv \Rightarrow TSv = STv \Rightarrow Sz = Tz. \quad (2.7)$$

We will show next that  $Fz = z$ . Otherwise, if  $Fz \neq z$ , using condition (2) of Theorem 2.1 with  $x = z$  and  $y = v$ , we have

$$\int_0^{p(Fz,Sv)} \varphi(t)dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{C_{F,G,S,T}^1(z,v)} \varphi(t)dt \right).$$



In the light of (2.5) and (2.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} C_{F,G,S,T}^1(z, v) &= \max \left\{ p(Fz, z), p(Fz, Fz), p(z, z), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{2}[p^*(Fz, z) + p(z, Fz)], 0, p^*(Fz, z), \right. \\ &\quad \left. p(Fz, Fz) \frac{1 + p(z, Fz) + p^*(z, Fz)}{1 + p(Fz, Fz) + 0} \right\} \\ &= p(Fz, z) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_0^{p(Fz, z)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{p(Fz, z)} \varphi(t) dt \right) < \int_0^{p(Fz, z)} \varphi(t) dt,$$

which is a contradiction. Thus  $Fz = z$  and from (2.6), we can write

$$Fz = Gz = z. \quad (2.8)$$

Similarly, setting  $x = u$  and  $y = z$  in condition (2) of theorem 2.1 and using (2.5), (2.6), one can get

$$Sz = Tz = z. \quad (2.9)$$

Therefore from (2.8) and (2.9), it follows that

$$Fz = Sz = Tz = Gz = z, \quad (2.10)$$

that is,  $z$  is a common fixed point of  $F, S, T$  and  $G$ .

Finally, we prove the uniqueness of the common fixed point of  $F, S, T$  and  $G$ . Assume that  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  are two distinct common fixed points of  $F, S, T$  and  $G$ . Then replacing  $x$  by  $z_1$  and  $y$  by  $z_2$  in condition (2) of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\int_0^{p(z_1, z_2)} \varphi(t) dt = \int_0^{p(Fz_1, Sz_2)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{C_{F,G,S,T}^1(z_1, z_2)} \varphi(t) dt \right).$$

Since  $C_{F,G,S,T}^1(z_1, z_2) = p(z_1, z_2)$  So

$$\int_0^{p(z_1, z_2)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{p(z_1, z_2)} \varphi(t) dt \right) < \int_0^{p(z_1, z_2)} \varphi(t) dt,$$

which is a contradiction and thus  $z_1 = z_2$ . Hence  $F, S, T$  and  $G$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .  $\square$

EXAMPLE 2.2. Suppose  $X = \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $p(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}$ ; then  $(X, p)$  is a *PMS* (See e.g. [3]). Define four self mappings  $F, S, T$  and  $G$  on  $X$  by

$$F(x) = \frac{x}{2} + \frac{1}{2}, \quad G(x) = x^2, \quad S(x) = x, \quad T(x) = \frac{2}{x+1}$$

Let  $x_n = \{1 + \frac{1}{n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $y_n = \{\frac{n}{n+1}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be two sequences, so we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(y_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(y_n) = 1$$

Also

$$1 \in T(X) \cap G(X) = (0, 2] \cap \mathbb{R}^+,$$

Hence  $(F, G)$  and  $(S, T)$  satisfy  $CLR_{GT}$  property. It is easy to check that the pair  $(F, G)$  and  $(S, T)$  is weakly compatible at  $x = 1$  as a coincidence point.

To verify condition (2) of theorem 2.1, let us define  $\varphi, \psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  by  $\varphi(t) = t$  and  $\psi(t) = \frac{t}{2}$ .

So

$$F(2) = \frac{3}{2}, \quad G(2) = 4, \quad S\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad T\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{4}{3},$$

$$\int_0^{p(F(2), S(\frac{1}{2}))} \varphi(t) dt = \int_0^{\frac{3}{2}} t dt = \frac{9}{8} \quad \text{and} \quad C_1\left(2, \frac{1}{2}\right) = 4.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\psi \left( \int_0^{C_1(2, \frac{1}{2})} \varphi(t) dt \right) = \psi \left( \int_0^4 t dt \right) = \psi(8) = 4.$$

Hence from above we have

$$\int_0^{p(F(2), S(\frac{1}{2}))} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{C_1(2, \frac{1}{2})} \varphi(t) dt \right).$$

So according to theorem 2.1  $F, S, T$  and  $G$  have a common fixed point.

From Theorem 2.1, we easily deduce the following corollaries.

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $(X, p)$  be a partial metric space and  $F, G$  and  $T$  be three self-mappings on  $X$  satisfying the following condition:*

- (1) *The pair  $(F, G)$  and  $(F, T)$  share  $(CLR_{GT})$  property.*
- (2)

$$\int_0^{p(Fx, Fy)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{C_{F, G, F, T}^1(x, y)} \varphi(t) dt \right), \quad \forall x, y \in X$$

where  $(\varphi, \psi) \in \Phi \times \Psi$ .

*If the pairs  $(F, G)$  and  $(F, T)$  are weakly compatible, then  $F, G$  and  $T$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .*

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $(X, p)$  be a partial metric space and  $F, T$  be two self-mappings on  $X$  satisfying the following condition:*

- (1) *The pair  $(F, T)$  share  $(CLR_T)$  property.*
- (2)

$$\int_0^{p(Fx, Fy)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{C_{F, T, F, T}^1(x, y)} \varphi(t) dt \right), \quad \forall x, y \in X,$$

where  $(\varphi, \psi) \in \Phi \times \Psi$ .

*If the pairs  $(F, T)$  are weakly compatible, then  $F$  and  $T$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .*

In a similar method as in Theorem 2.1 the following result can be concluded and proved.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $(X, p)$  be a partial metric space and  $F, S, T$  and  $G$  be for self-mappings on  $X$  satisfying in following conditions:*

- (1) *The pair  $(F, G)$  and  $(S, T)$  share  $(CLR_{GT})$  property.*
- (2)

$$\int_0^{P(Fx, Sy)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{C_{F,G,S,T}^2(x,y)} \varphi(t) dt \right) \quad \forall x, y \in X,$$

where  $(\varphi, \psi) \in \Phi \times \Psi$  and

$$C_{F,G,S,T}^2(x, y) = \max \left\{ p(Gx, Ty), p(Gx, Fx), p(Gy, Sy), \right. \\ \frac{1}{2}[p^*(Fx, Ty) + p(Sy, Gx)], \\ \frac{p(Fx, Gx)p^*(Sy, Ty)}{1 + p(Fx, Sy)}, \\ \frac{p^*(Fx, Ty)p(Sy, Gx) + P^*(Fx, Sy)}{1 + p(Fx, Sy)}, \\ \left. p(Gx, Fx) \frac{1 + p(Gx, Sy) + p^*(Ty, Fx)}{1 + p(Gx, Fx) + p^*(Ty, Sy)} \right\}.$$

If the pairs  $(F, G)$  and  $(S, T)$  are weakly compatible, then  $F, S, T$  and  $G$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

Obviously,  $(CLR_{GT})$  property implies the common property  $(E.A)$  but the converse is not true in general. So replacing  $(CLR_{GT})$  property by common property  $(E.A)$  in Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.5, we get the following results, the proofs of which can be easily done by following the lines of the proof of Theorem 2.1, because the  $(E.A)$  property together with the closedness property of a suitable subspace gives rise to the closed range property.

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $(X, p)$  be a partial metric space and  $F, S, T$  and  $G$  be for self-mappings on  $X$  satisfying:*

- (1) *The pair  $(F, G)$  and  $(S, T)$  share  $(E.A)$  property such that  $T(X)$  (or  $G(X)$ ) is closed subspace of  $X$ ;*
- (2)

$$\int_0^{p(Fx, Sy)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{C_{F,G,S,T}^1(x,y)} \varphi(t) dt \right) \quad \forall x, y \in X$$

where  $(\varphi, \psi) \in \Phi \times \Psi$ .

If the pairs  $(F, G)$  and  $(S, T)$  are weakly compatible, then  $F, S, T$  and  $G$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

**Corollary 2.7.** Let  $(X, p)$  be a partial metric space and  $F, S, T$  and  $G$  be for self-mappings on  $X$  satisfying:

- (1) The pair  $(F, G)$  and  $(S, T)$  share common (E.A) property such that  $T(X)$  (or  $G(X)$ ) is closed subspace of  $X$ .
- (2)

$$\int_0^{p(Fx, Sy)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{C_{F,G,S,T}^2(x,y)} \varphi(t) dt \right) \quad \forall x, y \in X,$$

where  $(\varphi, \psi) \in \Phi \times \Psi$ .

If the pairs  $(F, G)$  and  $(S, T)$  are weakly compatible, then  $F, S, T$  and  $G$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

One can obtained other consequences from Theorem 2.5 and Corollaries 2.6 and 2.7 in a similar way as obtained from Theorem 2.1.

*Remark 2.8.* Theorem 2.1 and 2.6 are still valid, if we replace  $C_{F,G,S,T}^1(x, y)$  by  $C_{F,G,S,T}^3(x, y)$ . Similarly, Theorem 2.5 and Corollary 2.7 are still valid, if we replace  $C_{F,G,S,T}^2(x, y)$  by  $C_{F,G,S,T}^4(x, y)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} C_{F,G,S,T}^3(x, y) = & \max \left\{ p(Gx, Ty), p(Gx, Fx), p(Ty, Sy), \right. \\ & \frac{1}{2} [p^*(Fx, Ty) + p(Sy, Gx)], \\ & \min \left\{ \frac{p(Fx, Gx)p^*(Sy, Ty)}{1 + p(Gx, Ty)}, \frac{p^*(Fx, Ty)p(Sy, Gx) + p^*(Fx, Sy)}{1 + p(Gx, Ty)}, \right. \\ & \left. \left. p(Gx, Fx) \frac{1 + p(Gx, Sy) + p^*(Ty, Fx)}{1 + p(Gx, Fx) + p^*(Ty, Sy)} \right\} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} C_{F,G,S,T}^4(x, y) = & \max \left\{ p(Gx, Ty), p(Gx, Fx), p(Ty, Sy), \right. \\ & \frac{1}{2} [p^*(Fx, Ty) + p(Sy, Gx)], \\ & \min \left\{ \frac{p(Fx, Gx)p^*(Sy, Ty)}{1 + p(Fx, Sy)}, \frac{p^*(Fx, Ty)p(Sy, Gx) + p^*(Fx, Sy)}{1 + p(Fx, Sy)}, \right. \\ & \left. \left. p(Gx, Fx) \frac{1 + p(Gx, Sy) + p^*(Ty, Fx)}{1 + p(Gx, Fx) + p^*(Ty, Sy)} \right\} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by choosing  $F = S$  and  $G$  and  $T$  as identity mappings, we conclude some fixed point theorems for integral type contraction from our main Theorem 2.1 which can be listed as follows:

**Corollary 2.9.** Let  $(X, p)$  be a partial metric space and  $F : X \rightarrow X$  be a self mapping satisfying:

$$\int_0^{p(Fx, Fy)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{C_{F, id, F, id}^1(x, y)} \varphi(t) dt \right) \quad \forall x, y \in X,$$

where  $(\varphi, \psi) \in \Phi \times \Psi$ . Then  $F$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

**Corollary 2.10.** Let  $(X, p)$  be a partial metric space and  $F : X \rightarrow X$  be a self mapping satisfying:

$$\int_0^{p(Fx, Fy)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{C_{F, id, F, id}^2(x, y)} \varphi(t) dt \right) \quad \forall x, y \in X$$

Where  $(\varphi, \psi) \in \Phi \times \Psi$ . Then  $F$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

**Remark 2.11.** Replacing the partial metric  $p$  in  $(X, p)$  by metric  $d$  we can get the similar results which are given in [19].

**Remark 2.12.** Notice that several fixed point theorems such as the celebrated Banach fixed point theorem, fixed point theorems for Kannan, Chatterjee and Reich type mappings and others can be deduced as particular cases of Corollary 2.9.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to the learned referee for his/her valuable suggestions for improving the content of the paper.

#### REFERENCES

1. M. Aamri, D. El Moutawakil, Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **270**(1), (2002), 181–188.
2. H. H. Alsulami, E. Karapinar, D. O Regan, P. Shahi, Fixed point of generalized contractive mappings Of integral type, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, (2014), 2014:213.
3. I. Altun, A. Erduran, Fixed point theorems for monotone mappings on partial metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, (2011), 2011:508730.
4. I. Altun, D. Turkoglu, B. E. Rhoades, Fixed points of weakly compatible maps satisfying a general contractive of integral type, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, (2007), 2007:17301.
5. M. Asadi, E. Karapinar, P. Salimi, New extension of  $p$ -metric spaces with some fixed-point results on  $M$ -metric spaces, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, (2014), 2014:18.
6. A. Branciari, A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **29**(9), (2002), 531–536.
7. S. Chauhan, E. Karapinar, Some integral type common fixed point theorems satisfying  $\Psi$ -Contractive conditions, *Bulletin of Belgian Mathematical Society-Simon Stevin*, **21**(4), (2014), 593–612.

8. S. Chauhan, M. Imdad, E. Karapinar and B. Fisher, An integral type fixed pint theorem for multi-valued mappings employing strongly tangential property, *Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society*, **22**(2), (2014), 258-264.
9. M. Eslamian, A. Abkar, Generalized weakly contractive multivalued mappings and common fixed points, *Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics*, **8**(2), (2013), 75-84.
10. S. Gulyaz, E. Karapinar, V. Rakocevie, P. Salimi, Existence of a solution of integral equations via fixed point theorems, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, (2013), 2013:529.
11. M. Imdad, B. D. Pant, S. Chauhan, Fixed point theorems in Menger spaces using the  $CLR_{ST}$  property and Applications, *Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Optimization: Theory & Applications*, **3**(2), (2012), 225-237.
12. G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **9**(4), (1986), 771-779.
13. E. Karapinar, Fixed points results for  $\alpha$ -admissible mapping of integral type on generalized metric spaces, *Abstact and Appied Analysis*, (2015), 2015:141409.
14. Z. Liu, X. Li, S. M. Kang, S. y. Cho, Fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying contractive conditions of integral type and applications, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, (2011), 2011:64.
15. Z. Liu, X. Zou, S. M. Kang, J. S. Ume, Common fixed point for a pair of mappings satisfying contractive condition of integral type, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, (2014), 2014:132.
16. SG. Matthews, Partial metric topology, *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.*, **15**(1), (2004), 135-149.
17. S. N. Mishra, Common fixed points of compatible mappings in  $PM$  spaces, *Math. Japon*, **36**, (1991), 283-289.
18. H. R. Sahebi, A. Razani, An Explicit Viscosity Iterative Algorithm for Finding fixed points of two noncommutative nonexpansive mappings, *Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics* **11**(1), (2016), 69-83.
19. M. Sarwar, M. Bahadur Zada, I. M. Erhan, Common fixed point theorems of integral type on metric spaces and application to system of functional equations, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, (2015), 2015:217.
20. W. Shatanawi, M. Postolache, Coincidence and fixed point results for generalized weak contractions in the sense Of berinde on partial metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* (2013), 2013:54.
21. W. Sintunavarat, P. Kumam, Common fixed point theorem for a pair of weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric space, *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, (2011), 2011:637958.
22. W. Sintunavarat, P. Kumam, Gregus-type common fixed point theorems for tangential multi-valued mappings in fuzzy metric space, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, (2011), 2011:923458.