Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics

Vol. 13, No. 1 (2018), pp 131-138 DOI: 10.7508/ijmsi.2018.1.012

DOI: 10.7508/1JIIIS1.2018.1.012

# Some Algebraic and Combinatorial Properties of the Complete T-Partite Graphs

Seyyede Masoome Seyyedi, Farhad Rahmati\*

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Amirkabir University of Technology, P. O. Box 15875-4413, Tehran, Iran.

E-mail: mseyyedi@aut.ac.ir
E-mail: frahmati@aut.ac.ir

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we characterize the shellable complete t-partite graphs. It is also shown that for these types of graphs the concepts vertex decomposable, shellable and sequentially Cohen-Macaulay are equivalent. Furthermore, we give a combinatorial condition for the Cohen-Macaulay complete t-partite graphs.

**Keywords:** Cohen-Macaulay, shellable, Vertex decomposable, Edge ideal.

2000 Mathematics subject classification: 13C14, 05E99, 13F55

#### 1. Introduction

Let G be a finite simple graph on n vertices. Let  $V_G$  and  $E_G$  denote, respectively, the vertex set and the edge set of G. An independent set in G is a subset of  $V_G$  which none of elements are adjacent and the independence complex  $\Delta_G$  of a graph G is defined by

 $\Delta_G = \{ A \subseteq V_G : A \text{ is an independent set in } A \}.$ 

We denote by MIS(G) the set of all maximal independent sets in G (the set of facets of  $\Delta_G$ ); see [16]. In this paper, we obtain a lower bound for |MIS(G)|. Recently, researchers studied the algebraic properties of a commutative ring by

Received 12 October 2015; Accepted 11 June 2016 ©2018 Academic Center for Education, Culture and Research TMU

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author

its associated combinatorial structure, like for instance zero divisor graph; see [1, 8, 13]. Now let  $R = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  be the polynomial ring over a field k in n variable  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ . Identifying  $i \in V_G$  with the variable  $x_i$  in R, the edge ideal I(G) of G will be defined as the monomial ideal generated by all of monomials  $x_i x_j$  such that  $\{x_i, x_j\} \in E_G$ .

In recent years, researchers tried to identify Cohen-Macaulay graphs in terms of their combinatorial properties. Estrada and Villarreal in [3] showed that Cohen-Macaulayness and shellability of a bipartite graph G are the same. Herzog and Hibi in [6] proved that a bipartite graph G is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if  $|V_1| = |V_2|$  and there is an order on vertices of  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  as  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  and  $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ , respectively, such that:

- i)  $x_i \sim y_i$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ ,
- ii) if  $x_i \sim y_j$ , then  $i \leq j$ ,
- iii) if  $x_i \sim y_j$  and  $x_j \sim y_k$ , then  $x_i \sim y_k$ .

Here, we present a necessary and sufficient condition under which shell ability of a complete t-partite graph is equivalent to Cohen-Macaulayness.

It is known that any vertex decomposable graph is shellable (hence sequentially Cohen-Macaulay), but the converse is not valid in general. So, it is interesting to know a family of graphs in which the property of shellability, vertex decomposability and sequentially Cohen-Macaulayness are the same. Francisco and Van Tuyl in [4] showed that n- cycles for n = 3, 5 belong to this family of graphs. F. Mohammadi and D. Kiani in [10] proved that in  $\theta_{n_1,\ldots,n_k}$  for  $\{n_1,\ldots,n_k\} \neq \{2,5\}$ , vertex decomposability, shellability and sequentially Cohen-Macaulayness are coincide. Van Tuyl in [14] showed that in bipartite graphs, three concepts are equivalent. In this paper, attempts have been particularly made to introduce another member of this family, that is, complete t-partite graphs.

Herzog and Hibi, in [6], showed that a bipartite graph without isolated vertices G is unmixed if and only if there exists a bipartition  $V_1 = \{x_1, \ldots, x_g\}$  and  $V_2 = \{y_1, \ldots, y_g\}$  of  $V_G$  such that:

- i)  $\{x_i, y_i\} \in E_G$  for all i, and
- ii) if  $\{x_i, y_j\}$  and  $\{x_j, y_k\}$  are in  $E_G$  and i, j, k are distinct, then  $\{x_i, y_k\} \in E_G$ .

In the current paper, among other results, we provide a condition for identifying all the unmixed complete t-partite graphs.

## 2. Main Results

A graph G is t-partite if its vertex set can be partitioned into disjoint independent subsets  $V_1, \ldots, V_t$ . Moreover, in this paper, we consider t as the smallest number that has this property. The graph G is called *complete* tpartite graph if its vertex set can be partitioned into disjoint independent subsets  $V_1, \ldots, V_t$  such that for all u and v in different partition sets,  $uv \in E_G$ . A k-coloring of a graph G is a labeling  $f:V(G)\to S$  where |S|=k. The labels are considered as colors and the set of vertices of one given color form a color class. A k-coloring is said to be proper if adjacent vertices have different labels. A graph is k-colorable if it has a proper k-coloring. The chromatic number of graph G,  $\chi(G)$ , is the least k such that G is k-colorable [11].

The following proposition gives a lower bound for cardinality of the set of all maximal independent sets in G, |MIS(G)|, in terms of chromatic number.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let G be a graph. If  $\chi(G) = t$ , then  $|MIS(G)| \ge t$ .

Proof. Since  $\chi(G)=t$ , there exist t color classes for G. Hence, the graph G can be considered as a t-partite graph. Suppose that  $V_i$  is the set of elements of i-th color class. Then  $V_i$  is an independent set of G and there exists a maximal independent set  $F_i$  in G such that  $V_i \subseteq F_i$  for all  $1 \le i \le t$ . Thus, there exists at least t maximal independent set for G.

Remark 2.2. Using the definition of a complete t-partite graph, it follows that |MIS(G)| = t for any complete t-partite graph G.

**Definition 2.3.** A simplicial complex  $\Delta$  is called *shellable* if the facets (maximal faces) of  $\Delta$  can be ordered  $F_1, \ldots, F_s$  such that for all  $1 \leq i < j \leq s$ , there exist some  $v \in F_j \setminus F_i$  and some  $l \in \{1, \ldots, j-1\}$  with  $F_j \setminus F_l = \{v\}$ . We call  $F_1, \ldots, F_s$  to be a *shelling* of a shellable complex,  $\Delta$ , when the facets are ordered as in the definition.

Now by the next theorem, all shellable complete t-partite graphs can be classified.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let G be a complete t-partite graph. G is shellable if and only if G is t-colorable such that exactly one of color classes has arbitrary elements and other classes have only one element.

Proof.  $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume that  $V_G = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$  is the set of vertices. To prove that G is shellable, we have to find a shelling  $F_1, \ldots, F_t$  for  $\Delta_G$ . Since proper t-vertex coloring gives a partition of  $V_G$  into t color classes, we suppose that the set of elements of i-th color class is  $V_i$ . By assumption,  $V_1 = \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$  where m = n - t + 1 and  $V_i = \{x_{m+i-1}\}$  for all  $1 \le i \le t$ . We know that each  $1 \le i \le t$  is an independent set. Now, if  $1 \le i \le t$  is a contradiction. Therefore,  $1 \le i \le t$  is a maximal independent set of  $1 \le i \le t$  for  $1 \le i \le t$ . By the same argument, each  $1 \le i \le t$  is a maximal independent set. We put  $1 \le i \le t$  is a maximal independent set. We put  $1 \le i \le t$  is a maximal independent set. We put  $1 \le t \le t$  is a maximal independent set.

$$F_1 = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}, F_2 = \{x_{m+1}\}, \dots, F_t = \{x_{m+t-1}\}.$$

Since  $F_i \setminus F_1 = \{x_{m+i-1}\}$  for all  $2 \le i \le t$ ,  $F_1, \ldots, F_t$  is a shelling of  $\Delta_G$ .  $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $V_1, \ldots, V_t$  is a partition of  $V_G$ . According to Remark 2.2,

we have |MIS(G)| = t, so  $\Delta_G$  has exactly t facets. On the other hand, G is shellable and we can consider  $F_1, \ldots, F_t$  as a shelling of  $\Delta_G$ . Since  $V_i$ 's are maximal independent sets, we obtain  $\{V_1, \ldots, V_t\} = \{F_1, \ldots, F_t\}$ . Without loss of generality, put  $F_i = V_i$  for all  $1 \le i \le t$ . There exists  $x_2 \in F_2 \setminus F_1$  such that  $F_2 \setminus F_1 = \{x_2\}$  because  $F_1, \ldots, F_t$  is a shelling. Thus  $F_2 = (F_2 \setminus F_1) \cup (F_2 \cap F_1) = \{x_2\}$ .

Now, suppose by induction that  $F_1 = \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$ ,  $F_2 = \{x_{m+1}\}$ ,  $\ldots$ ,  $F_i = \{x_{m+i-1}\}$ . Since  $F_1, \ldots, F_t$  is a shelling of  $\Delta_G$ , there exists  $x_{i+1} \in F_{i+1} \setminus F_1$  and  $l \in \{1, \ldots, i\}$  such that  $F_{i+1} \setminus F_l = \{x_{i+1}\}$ , then  $F_{i+1} = (F_{i+1} \setminus F_l) \cup (F_{i+1} \cap F_l) = \{x_{i+1}\}$ . Hence, one of the color classes has arbitrary elements and the other classes have exactly one element.

**Definition 2.5.** A simplicial complex  $\Delta$  is recursively defined to be *vertex decomposable* if it is either a simplex, or else has some vertex v so that

- i) both  $\Delta \setminus v$  and  $link_{\Delta}^{v}$  are vertex decomposable and
- ii) no face of  $link_{\Delta}^{v}$  is a facet of  $\Delta \setminus v$ , where

$$link_{\Delta}^{F}=\{G:G\cap F=\emptyset,G\cup F\in\Delta\}.$$

A graph G is called vertex decomposable if the simplicial complex  $\Delta_G$  is vertex decomposable.

The following theorem is one of the main results of this paper which characterizes all vertex decomposable complete t-partite graphs.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let G be a complete t-partite graph. Then, G is vertex decomposable if and only if G is t-colorable such that exactly one of the color classes has arbitrary elements and the other classes have only one element.

 $Proof. \Rightarrow$ ) Assume that for any proper t-vertex coloring of G, there exists at least two classes with at least two elements. By Theorem 2.4, G is not shellable and hence is not vertex decomposable, by ([17], Corollary 7).

 $\Leftarrow$ ) By assumption, it follows that G is a chordal graph, so G is vertex decomposable by ([17], Corollary 7).

**Definition 2.7.** A subset  $C \subset V_G$  is a minimal vertex cover of G if:

- i) every edge of G is incident with one vertex in C, and
- ii) there is no proper subset of C with the first property.

If C satisfies only condition (i), it is called a vertex cover of G. A graph G is said to be unmixed if all the minimal vertex covers of G have the same number of elements.

By the next theorem, we present a combinatorial characterization of all the unmixed complete *t*-partite graphs.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let G be a complete t-partite graph. G is unmixed if and only if G is t-colorable such that all color classes have the same number of elements.

*Proof.* Since any minimal vertex cover of a complete t-partite graph G contains all the elements of (t-1) classes, then each selected (t-1) of color classes has the same number of elements if and only if all the classes have the same cardinality.

**Definition 2.9.** ([15], Definition 3.3.8) A pure d-dimensional complex  $\Delta$  is called *strongly connected* if each pair of facets F, G can be connected by a sequence of facets  $F = F_0, F_1, \ldots, F_s = G$  such that  $dim(F_i \cap F_{i-1}) = d-1$  for  $1 \le i \le s$ .

**Lemma 2.10.** ([2], Proposition 11.7) Every Cohen-Macaulay complex is strongly connected.

**Lemma 2.11.** ([15], Corollary 3.3.7) A Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complex  $\Delta$  is pure, that is, all its maximal faces have the same dimension.

The following theorem is an effective combinatorial criterion for Cohen-Macaulayness of the complete t-partite graphs.

**Theorem 2.12.** Let G be a complete t-partite graph. G is Cohen-Macaulay graph if and only if G is t-colorable such that all color classes have exactly one element.

*Proof.*  $\Leftarrow$ ) By assumption, we have  $G = K_t$ , so G is a chordal graph. By [7], G is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if G is unmixed. Thus, the assertion follows from Theorem 2.8.

 $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that for any proper t-vertex coloring of G, there exists at least two classes with at least two elements. Since G is Cohen-Macaulay,  $\Delta_G$  is pure, by Lemma 2.11. Therefore, all the color classes have the same number of elements. Assume that their size is d+1, hence all facets of  $\Delta_G$  are of dimension d and then  $dim(\Delta_G) = d$ . We will show that it is not possible that  $d+1 \geq 2$ . For any two facets F and E, ( $F \neq E$ ), we have  $F \cap E = \phi$ , then  $dim(F \cap E) = -1 \neq d-1$ , because of  $d+1 \geq 2$ . Therefore,  $\Delta_G$  is not strongly connected, a contradiction to Lemma 2.10. It follows that all the color classes have exactly one element.

Now, we give a special condition for complete t-partite graphs under which shellability is equal to Cohen-Macaulayness.

Corollary 2.13. Let G be a complete t-partite graph. The property of being Cohen-Macaulay for G is equivalent to being shellable if and only if G is t-colorable such that all color classes have exactly one element.

**Definition 2.14.** Let k be a field and  $R = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  be the polynomial ring over k. A graded R-module M is called *sequentially Cohen-Macaulay* (over k) if there exists finite filtration of graded R-modules

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset M_2 \subset \ldots \subset M_r = M$$

such that each  $M_i/M_{i-1}$  is Cohen-Macaulay, and the Krull dimensions of the quotients are increasing:

$$dim(M_1/M_0) < dim(M_2/M_1) < \dots < dim(M_r/M_{r-1}).$$

We call a graph G sequentially Cohen-Macaulay over the field k if R/I(G) is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.

Suppose that I is a homogeneous ideal of R. The ideal generated by all homogeneous degree d elements of I is denoted by  $(I_d)$ . The concept of componentwise linear was introduced by Herzog and Hibi [5]. A homogeneous ideal I is componentwise linear if  $(I_d)$  has a linear resolution for all d. Let I be a square-free monomial ideal of R and  $I_{[d]}$  be the ideal generated by the square-free monomials of degree d of I. Herzog and Hibi ([5], Proposition 1.5) have shown that the square-free monomial ideal I is componentwise linear if and only if  $I_{[d]}$  has a linear resolution for all d. In [5], it is also shown that:

**Theorem 2.15.** Let I be a square-free monomial ideal in a polynomial ring. Then  $I^{\vee}$  is componentwise linear if and only if R/I is sequentially Cohen-Macaulau.

In [12], Stanley showed that shellability implies the sequentially Cohen-Macaulayness.

**Theorem 2.16.** Let  $\Delta$  be a simplicial complex, and suppose that  $R/I_{\Delta}$  is the associated Stanley Reisner ring. If  $\Delta$  is shellable, then  $R/I_{\Delta}$  is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.

In the following theorem, we show that being sequentially Cohen-Macaulay of the complete t-partite graph is really a combinatorial property.

**Theorem 2.17.** Let G be a complete t-partite graph. G is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay if and only if G is t-colorable such that exactly one of color classes has arbitrary elements and other classes have only one element.

*Proof.*  $\Leftarrow$ ) It follows from Theorems 2.4 and 2.16.

 $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume that  $V_G = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  and  $V_1, \dots, V_t$  is a partition of  $V_G$  where  $V_i$  is the set of elements in *i*-th color class. We proceed by contradiction. One may consider the following cases:

Case(1): Suppose that there exist at least two parts  $V_i$  and  $V_j$  with  $|V_i| \geq 2$  and  $|V_j| \geq 3$  and r is the maximum cardinality of parts of G which is at least 3. Let  $J = I(G)_{[d]}^{\vee}$  where d = n - r + 1. Using Theorem 2.15, to show that G is not sequentially Cohen-Macaulay, it suffices to prove that J does not have a linear resolution.

We use simplicial homology to compute the Betti numbers of J. A square-free vector is a vector that its entries are in  $\{0,1\}$ . For a monomial ideal I and

a degree  $b \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , define

$$K^b(I) = \{squarefree\ vectors\ c \in \{0,1\}^n\ such\ that\ \frac{x^b}{x^c} \in I\}$$

to be the upper Koszul simplicial complex of I in degree b ([9], Definition 1.33). For a vector  $b \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , the Betti numbers of I in degree b can be expressed as  $\beta_{i,b}(I) = \dim_k H_{i-1}^{\sim}(K^b(I),k)$  ([9], Theorem 1.34). The sum of  $\beta_{i,b}(I)$  over all square-free vectors b of degree j is equal to  $\beta_{i,j}(I)$ .

To prove that J does not have a linear resolution, we will show that  $\beta_{1,n}(J) \neq 0$ . We associate to the monomial  $m = x_1 \dots x_n$  a unique square-free vector  $b = (1, \dots, 1)$ . We have a chain complex

$$\ldots \to C_2(K^b(J)) \stackrel{\partial_2}{\to} C_1(K^b(J)) \stackrel{\partial_1}{\to} C_0(K^b(J)) \stackrel{\partial_0}{\to} C_{-1}(K^b(J)) \to 0.$$

The s-dimensional faces  $[x_{i_0}, \ldots, x_{i_s}]$  of  $K^b(J)$  are the basis of  $C_s(K^b(J))$  and

$$\partial_s([x_{i_0},\ldots,x_{i_s}]) = \sum_{t=0}^s (-1)^t [x_{i_0},\ldots,\hat{x_{i_t}},\ldots,x_{i_s}].$$

The above chain complex is introduced in ([4], Proposition 4.1). To obtain  $\beta_{1,n}(J)$ , we need to compute  $\dim_k H_0^{\sim}(K^b(M),k) = \dim_k(\ker\partial_0/im\partial_1)$ . If we can find an element in  $\ker\partial_0$  that is not in  $im\partial_1$ , we have shown that  $\beta_{1,n}(J) > 0$ . We suppose that  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  belong to the part with maximum cardinality. Put  $f = [x_1]$ . Then,  $\partial_0(f) = 0$  and hence f is in the  $\ker$  of  $\partial_0$ . We claim that f is not in the image of  $\partial_1$ . To prove, assume that  $\partial_1([x_l, x_s]) = [x_1]$ . Then,  $[x_s] - [x_l] = [x_1]$ , hence  $[x_s] - [x_l] = 0$  that is a contradiction because  $[x_l], [x_s], [x_1]$  are linear independent.

**Case(2)**: Assume that for any  $1 \le i \le t$ , we have  $|V_i| = 2$ . The proof of this case is similar to that of case 1. It suffices to consider  $J = I(G)_{[d]}^{\vee}$  where d = n - 2

Case(3): Suppose that there exist at least two parts  $V_i$  and  $V_j$  with  $|V_i| = |V_j| = 2$  and at least one part  $V_r$  with  $|V_r| = 1$ . One can apply the same argument to case 2.

**Corollary 2.18.** Let G be a complete t-partite graph. The followings are equivalent:

- (1) G is shellable.
- (2) G is vertex decomposable.
- (3) G is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are highly grateful to referees for their valuable comments and suggestions for improving the paper.

#### References

- A. Abbasi, H. Roshan-Shekalgourabi, D. Hassanzadeh-Lelekaami, Associated graphs of Modules over commutative rings, *Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Infor*matics, 10(1), (2015), 45-58.
- A. Björner, Topological methods, Handbook of combinatorics, Elsevier, Amsterdam,2, (1995), 1819-1872.
- M. Estrada, R. H. Villarreal, Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graphs, Arch. Math., 68, (1997), 124-128.
- C. A. Francisco, A. Van Tuyl, Sequentially Cohen-Macaulay edge ideals, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 135(8), (2007), 2327-2337.
- 5. J. Herzog, T. Hibi, Componentwise linear ideals, Nagoya Math. J., 153, (1999), 141-153.
- J. Herzog, T. Hibi, Distributive lattices, bipartite graphs, and Alexander duality, J. Algebraic Comb., 22, (2005), 289-302.
- J. Herzog, T. Hibi and X. Zheng, Cohen-Macaulay chordal graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 113(5), (2006), 911-916.
- H. R. Maimani, Median and center of zero-devisor graph of commutative semigroups, Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics, 3(2), (2008), 69-76.
- 9. E. Miller, B. Strumfels, Combinatorial Commutative Algebra, Springer, 2004.
- 10. F. Mohammadi, D. Kiani, Sequentially Cohen-Macaulay graphs of form  $\theta_{n_1,...,n_k}$ , Bull. Iran. Math. Soc., **36**(2), (2010), 109-118.
- C. Promsakon, C. Uiyyasathian, Edge-chromatic numbers of glued graphs, Thai J. Math.,
   4(2), (2010), 395-401.
- R. P. Stanleyl, Combinatorics and Commutative Algebra. Second edition, Progress in Mathematics. 41.Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996.
- A. Tehranian, H. R. Maimani, A study of the total graph, Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics, 6(2), (2011), 75-80.
- A. Van Tuyl, Sequentially Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graphs, vertex decomposability and regularity, Archiv der Mathematik, 93(5), (2009), 451-459.
- R. H. Villarreal, Combinatorial Optimization Methods in Commutative Algebra, Preliminary version, 2012.
- D. R. Wood, On the number of maximal independent sets in a graph, Discrete Math. and Theor. Computer Science, 13(3), (2011), 17-20.
- R. Woodroofe, Vertex decomposable graphs and obstructions to shellability, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 137(8), (2009), 3235-3246.