

Generalized Douglas-Weyl Finsler Metrics

Mohammad Hosein Emamian, Akbar Tayebi*

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science University of Qom, Qom,
Iran.

E-mail: hosein.emamian@gmail.com

E-mail: akbar.tayebi@gmail.com

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study generalized Douglas-Weyl Finsler metrics. We find some conditions under which the class of generalized Douglas-Weyl (α, β) -metric with vanishing S-curvature reduce to the class of Berwald metrics.

Keywords: Generalized Douglas-Weyl metrics, S-curvature.

2000 Mathematics subject classification: 53C60, 53C25.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, F) be a Finsler manifold. In local coordinates, a curve $c(t)$ is a geodesic if and only if its coordinates $(c^i(t))$ satisfy $\ddot{c}^i + 2G^i(\dot{c}) = 0$, where the local functions $G^i = G^i(x, y)$ are called the spray coefficients [10]. F is called a Berwald metric, if G^i are quadratic in $y \in T_x M$ for any $x \in M$ or equivalently $G^i = \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{jk}^i(x)y^j y^k$. As a generalization of Berwald curvature, Bácsó-Matsumoto introduced the notion of Douglas metrics which are projective invariants in Finsler geometry [2]. F is called a Douglas metric if $G^i = \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{jk}^i(x)y^j y^k + P(x, y)y^i$.

A Finsler metric F is called generalized Douglas-Weyl metric (briefly, GDW-metric) if $D_{jkl|m}^i y^m = T_{jkl} y^i$ holds for some tensor T_{jkl} , where $D_{jkl|m}^i$ denotes the horizontal covariant derivatives of D_{jkl}^i with respect to the Berwald

*Corresponding Author

connection of F [8][18]. For a manifold M , let $\mathcal{GDW}(M)$ denotes the class of all Finsler metrics satisfying in above relation for some tensor T_{jkl} . In [3], Bácsó-Papp showed that $\mathcal{GDW}(M)$ is closed under projective changes. Then, Najafi-Shen-Tayebi characterized generalized Douglas-Weyl Randers metrics [8]. In [18], it is proved that all generalized Douglas-Weyl spaces with vanishing Landsberg curvature have vanishing the quantity \mathbf{H} . For other works, see [12] and [13].

The notion of S-curvature is originally introduced by Shen for the volume comparison theorem [9]. The Finsler metric F is said to be of isotropic S-curvature if $\mathbf{S} = (n+1)cF$, where $c = c(x)$ is a scalar function on M . In [14], it is shown that every isotropic Berwald metric has isotropic S-curvature. In [4], Cheng-Shen show that every (α, β) -metric with constant Killing 1-form has vanishing S-curvature. Then, Bácsó-Cheng-Shen proved that a Finsler metric $F = \alpha \pm \beta^2/\alpha + \epsilon\beta$ has vanishing S-curvature if and only if β is a constant Killing 1-form [1]. Therefore, the Finsler metrics with vanishing S-curvature are of some important geometric structures which deserve to be studied deeply.

An (α, β) -metric is a Finsler metric on M defined by $F := \alpha\phi(s)$, $s = \beta/\alpha$, where $\phi = \phi(s)$ is a C^∞ function on the $(-b_0, b_0)$ with certain regularity, $\alpha = \sqrt{a_{ij}(x)y^i y^j}$ is a Riemannian metric and $\beta(y) = b_i(x)y^i$ is a 1-form on M [6]. In this paper, we are going to study generalized Douglas-Weyl (α, β) -metrics with vanishing S-curvature.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $F = \alpha\phi(s)$, $s = \beta/\alpha$, be an (α, β) -metric on a manifold M of dimension $n \geq 3$. Suppose that*

$$F \neq c_3\alpha \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{c_2}{1+c_2}} \left(c_1\frac{\beta}{\alpha} + c_2 + 1\right)^{\frac{1}{1+c_2}} \quad \text{and} \quad F \neq d_1\sqrt{\alpha^2 + d_2\beta^2} + d_3\beta.$$

where c_1, c_2, c_3, d_1, d_2 and d_3 are real constants. Let F has vanishing S-curvature. Then F is a GDW-metric if and only if it is a Berwald metric.

2. PRELIMINARY

Given a Finsler manifold (M, F) , then a global vector field \mathbf{G} is induced by F on TM_0 , which in a standard coordinate (x^i, y^i) for TM_0 is given by $\mathbf{G} = y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - 2G^i(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}$, where

$$G^i := \frac{1}{4}g^{il} \left\{ [F^2]_{x^k y^l} y^k - [F^2]_{x^l} \right\}, \quad y \in T_x M.$$

The \mathbf{G} is called the spray associated to F .

Define $\mathbf{B}_y : T_x M \otimes T_x M \otimes T_x M \rightarrow T_x M$ and $\mathbf{E}_y : T_x M \otimes T_x M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\mathbf{B}_y(u, v, w) := B^i_{jkl}(y)u^j v^k w^l \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}|_x$ and $\mathbf{E}_y(u, v) := E_{jk}(y)u^j v^k$ where

$$B^i_{jkl} := \frac{\partial^3 G^i}{\partial y^j \partial y^k \partial y^l}, \quad E_{jk} := \frac{1}{2}B^m_{jkm}.$$

\mathbf{B} and \mathbf{E} are called the Berwald curvature and mean Berwald curvature, respectively. F is called a Berwald and weakly Berwald if $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{E} = 0$, respectively [5][7].

Let

$$D_{j\ kl}^i := \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y^j \partial y^k \partial y^l} \left(G^i - \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{\partial G^m}{\partial y^m} y^i \right).$$

It is easy to verify that $\mathcal{D} := D_{j\ kl}^i dx^j \otimes \partial_i \otimes dx^k \otimes dx^l$ is a well-defined tensor on slit tangent bundle TM_0 . We call \mathcal{D} the Douglas tensor. A Finsler metric with $\mathcal{D} = 0$ is called a Douglas metric. The notion of Douglas metrics was proposed by Bácsó-Matsumoto as a generalization of Berwald metrics [2]. The Douglas tensor \mathcal{D} is a non-Riemannian projective invariant, namely, if two Finsler metrics F and \bar{F} are projectively equivalent, $G^i = \bar{G}^i + P y^i$, where $P = P(x, y)$ is positively y -homogeneous of degree one, then the Douglas tensor of F is same as that of \bar{F} . Finsler metrics with vanishing Douglas tensor are called Douglas metrics [11].

For a Finsler metric F on an n -dimensional manifold M , the Busemann-Hausdorff volume form $dV_F = \sigma_F(x) dx^1 \cdots dx^n$ is defined by

$$\sigma_F(x) := \frac{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{B}^n(1))}{\text{Vol} \left[(y^i) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid F \left(y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_x \right) < 1 \right]}.$$

Let G^i denote the geodesic coefficients of F in the same local coordinate system. The S-curvature is defined by

$$\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{y}) := \frac{\partial G^i}{\partial y^i}(x, y) - y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left[\ln \sigma_F(x) \right],$$

where $\mathbf{y} = y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_x \in T_x M$. \mathbf{S} is said to be isotropic if there is a scalar functions $c = c(x)$ on M such that $\mathbf{S} = (n+1)cF$.

For an (α, β) -metric $F = \alpha\phi(s)$, $s = \beta/\alpha$, put

$$\Phi := -(q - sq')[n\Delta + 1 + sq] - (b^2 - s^2)(1 + sq)q'',$$

where

$$q := \frac{\phi'}{\phi - s\phi'}, \quad \Delta := 1 + sq + (b^2 - s^2)q'.$$

In [4], Cheng-Shen characterize (α, β) -metrics with isotropic S-curvature.

Lemma 2.1. ([4]) Let $F = \alpha\phi(s)$, $s = \beta/\alpha$, be an non-Riemannian (α, β) -metric on a manifold M of dimension $n \geq 3$. Suppose that $\phi \neq c_1\sqrt{1 + c_2s^2} + c_3s$ for any constant $c_1 > 0$, c_2 and c_3 . Then F is of isotropic S-curvature $\mathbf{S} = (n+1)cF$ if and only if one of the following holds

(a) β satisfies

$$r_{ij} = \varepsilon(b^2 a_{ij} - b_i b_j), \quad s_j = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(x)$ is a scalar function, $b := \|\beta_x\|_\alpha$ and $\phi = \phi(s)$ satisfies

$$\Phi = -2(n+1)k \frac{\phi \Delta^2}{b^2 - s^2}, \quad (2.2)$$

where k is a constant. In this case, $\mathbf{S} = (n+1)cF$ with $c = k\varepsilon$.

(b) β satisfies

$$r_{ij} = 0, \quad s_j = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

In this case, $\mathbf{S} = 0$.

The characterization of Finsler metrics with isotropic S-curvature in Cheng-Shen's paper is not complete [4]. Their result is correct for dimension $n \geq 3$. For the case $\text{dimension}(M) = 2$, see [16].

3. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

Let $F := \alpha\phi(s)$, $s = \beta/\alpha$, be an (α, β) -metric on a manifold M , where $\alpha = \sqrt{a_{ij}(x)y^i y^j}$ and $\beta(y) = b_i(x)y^i$. Define $b_{i|j}$ by $b_{i|j}\theta^j := db_i - b_j\theta_i^j$, where $\theta^i := dx^i$ and $\theta_i^j := \tilde{\Gamma}_{ik}^j dx^k$ denote the Levi-Civita connection forms of α . Let

$$\begin{aligned} r_{ij} &:= \frac{1}{2} [b_{i|j} + b_{j|i}], & s_{ij} &:= \frac{1}{2} [b_{i|j} - b_{j|i}], \\ r_{i0} &:= r_{ij}y^j, & r_{00} &:= r_{ij}y^i y^j, & r_j &:= b^i r_{ij}, & t_j^i &:= s^i_m s_j^m \\ s_{i0} &:= s_{ij}y^j, & s_j &:= b^i s_{ij}, & r_0 &:= r_j y^j, & s_0 &:= s_j y^j. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\beta = b_i(x)y^i$ is a constant Killing one-form on M if $r_{ij} = s_j = 0$ hold. By definition, we have

$$b_{i|j} = s_{ij} + r_{ij}.$$

Since $y^i|_s = 0$, then for a constant Killing 1-form β we have

$$r_{00} = 0, \quad r_i + s_i = 0.$$

For an (α, β) -metric $F = \alpha\phi(s)$, $s = \beta/\alpha$, the following hold.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $F = \alpha\phi(s)$, $s = \beta/\alpha$, be an (α, β) -metric on an n -dimensional manifold M of dimension $n \geq 3$, where $\alpha = \sqrt{a_{ij}(x)y^i y^j}$ is a Riemannian metric and $\beta = b_i(x)y^i$ is a one-form on M . Suppose that F is of vanishing S-curvature. Then F is a GDW-metric if and only if the following holds*

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 s_{j0|0} y^i - (C_2 y_j + C_3 b_j) y^i t_{00} &= C_4 y_j s^i_{0|0} + C_5 (b_j s^i_{0|0} + s_{j0} s^i_0) \\ &+ C_6 s^i_{j|0} + C_7 (y_j t^i_0 + s_{j0} s^i_0) + C_8 b_j t^i_0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1 &:= -\left[(n+1)Q_\alpha + 2\beta Q_{\alpha\beta}\right]\alpha^{-3} - \left[Q_{\alpha\alpha} + b^2 Q_{\beta\beta}\right]\alpha^{-2}, \\
C_2 &:= (n+1)\left[Q_\alpha^2 + QQ_{\alpha\alpha} - \alpha^{-1}QQ_\alpha\right]\alpha^{-4} - 2\left[Q_\alpha Q_\beta + QQ_{\alpha\beta}\right]\beta\alpha^{-5} \\
&\quad + 2\left[2Q_\alpha Q_{\alpha\beta} + Q_{\alpha\alpha}Q_\beta + QQ_{\alpha\alpha\beta}\right]\beta\alpha^{-4} + b^2\left[2Q_{\alpha\beta}Q_\beta + Q_\alpha Q_{\beta\beta}\right]\alpha^{-3} \\
&\quad + \left[b^2 QQ_{\alpha\beta\beta} + 3Q_\alpha Q_{\alpha\alpha} + QQ_{\alpha\alpha\alpha}\right]\alpha^{-3}, \\
C_3 &:= (n+3)\left[Q_\alpha Q_\beta + QQ_{\alpha\beta}\right]\alpha^{-3} + 2\left[Q_\alpha Q_{\beta\beta} + QQ_{\alpha\beta\beta}\right]\beta\alpha^{-3} \\
&\quad + \left[2Q_\alpha Q_{\alpha\beta} + Q_\beta Q_{\alpha\alpha} + QQ_{\alpha\alpha\beta} + 4\beta\alpha^{-1}Q_\beta Q_{\alpha\beta}\right]\alpha^{-2} \\
&\quad + b^2\left[3Q_\beta Q_{\beta\beta} + QQ_{\beta\beta\beta}\right]\alpha^{-2}, \\
C_4 &:= -\left[(n+1)Q_\alpha + 2\beta Q_{\alpha\beta}\right]\alpha^{-3} + 2\left[\beta Q_{\alpha\alpha\beta} + Q_{\alpha\alpha}\right]\alpha^{-2} \\
&\quad + \left[b^2 Q_{\alpha\beta\beta} + Q_{\alpha\alpha\alpha}\right]\alpha^{-1}, \\
C_5 &:= (n+3)\alpha^{-1}Q_{\alpha\beta} + Q_{\alpha\alpha\beta} + 2\beta\alpha^{-1}Q_{\alpha\beta\beta} + b^2 Q_{\beta\beta\beta}, \\
C_6 &:= (n+1)\alpha^{-1}Q_\alpha + Q_{\alpha\alpha} + 2\beta\alpha^{-1}Q_{\alpha\beta} + b^2 Q_{\beta\beta}, \\
C_7 &:= (n+1)\alpha^{-3}QQ_\alpha - (n+1)\alpha^{-2}(Q_\alpha^2 + QQ_{\alpha\alpha}) - 2\beta\alpha^{-2}QQ_{\alpha\alpha\beta} \\
&\quad + 2\left[QQ_{\alpha\beta} + Q_\alpha Q_\beta\right]\beta\alpha^{-3} - b^2\left[QQ_{\alpha\beta\beta} + 2Q_{\alpha\beta}Q_\beta\right]\alpha^{-1} \\
&\quad - 2\left[2Q_\alpha Q_{\alpha\beta} + Q_\beta Q_{\alpha\alpha}\right]\beta\alpha^{-2} \\
&\quad - b^2\alpha^{-1}Q_\alpha Q_{\beta\beta} - 3\alpha^{-1}Q_\alpha Q_{\alpha\alpha} - 2\alpha^{-1}QQ_{\alpha\alpha\alpha}, \\
C_8 &:= -(n+3)\left[QQ_{\alpha\beta} + Q_\alpha Q_\beta\right]\alpha^{-1} - 2\left[2Q_\beta Q_{\alpha\beta} + QQ_{\alpha\beta\beta} + Q_\alpha Q_{\beta\beta}\right]\beta\alpha^{-1} \\
&\quad - b^2\left[QQ_{\beta\beta\beta} + 3Q_\beta Q_{\beta\beta}\right] - Q_\beta Q_{\alpha\alpha} - QQ_{\alpha\alpha\beta} - 2Q_\alpha Q_{\alpha\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let G^i and G_α^i denote the spray coefficients of F and α , respectively, in the same coordinate system. Then, we have

$$G^i = G_\alpha^i + Py^i + Q^i, \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
Q &:= \alpha q = \frac{\alpha\phi'}{\phi - s\phi'}, \\
P &:= \alpha^{-1}\Theta(r_{00} - 2Qs_0), \quad Q^i := Qs^i_0 + \Psi(r_{00} - 2Qs_0)b^i, \\
\Theta &= \frac{q - sq'}{2\Delta} = \frac{\phi\phi' - s(\phi\phi'' + \phi'\phi')}{2\phi[(\phi - s\phi') + (b^2 - s^2)\phi'']} \\
\Psi &:= \frac{q'}{2\Delta} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\phi''}{(\phi - s\phi') + (b^2 - s^2)\phi''}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have $r_{00} = s_0 = 0$. Then (3.2) reduces to following

$$G^i = G_\alpha^i + Qs_0^i. \quad (3.3)$$

Let “||” and “|” denote the covariant differentiations with respect to G^i and G_α^i respectively. Then by (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{jkl||m}^i y^m &= D_{jkl|m}^i y^m - 2Qs_0^p \frac{\partial D_{jkl}^i}{\partial y^p} + D_{jkl}^p \tilde{N}_p^i - D_{pkl}^i \tilde{N}_j^p \\ &\quad - D_{jpl}^i \tilde{N}_k^p - D_{jkp}^i \tilde{N}_l^p, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D_{jkl|m}^i y^m &= \alpha^{-4}(Q_{\alpha\alpha} - \alpha^{-1}Q_\alpha)(A_{jk}y_l + A_{kl}y_j + A_{jl}y_k)s_{0|0}^i \\ &\quad + \alpha^{-3}Q_\alpha(A_{jk}s_{l|0}^i + A_{kl}s_{j|0}^i + A_{jl}s_{k|0}^i) \\ &\quad + \alpha^{-3}Q_{\alpha\beta}[(A_{jk}b_l + A_{kl}b_j + A_{jl}b_k)s_{0|0}^i \\ &\quad + (A_{jk}s_{l0} + A_{kl}s_{j0} + A_{jl}s_{k0})s_{0|0}^i] \\ &\quad + \alpha^{-2}Q_{\alpha\alpha\beta}[(y_j y_k b_l + y_k y_l b_j + y_j y_l b_k)s_{0|0}^i \\ &\quad + (y_j y_k s_{l0} + y_k y_l s_{j0} + y_j y_l s_{k0})s_{0|0}^i] \\ &\quad + \alpha^{-1}Q_{\alpha\beta\beta}[(y_j b_k b_l + y_k b_j b_l + y_l b_k b_j)s_{0|0}^i \\ &\quad + ((y_j b_l + y_l b_j)s_{k0} + (y_j b_k + y_k b_j)s_{l0} \\ &\quad + (y_k b_l + y_l b_k)s_{j0})s_{0|0}^i] + \alpha^{-2}Q_{\alpha\alpha}(y_j y_k s_{l|0}^i + y_k y_l s_{j|0}^i + y_j y_l s_{k|0}^i) \\ &\quad + Q_{\beta\beta\beta}(b_k b_l s_{j0} + b_j b_l s_{k0} + b_j b_k s_{l0})s_{0|0}^i + \alpha^{-3}Q_{\alpha\alpha\alpha}y_j y_k y_l s_{0|0}^i \\ &\quad + \alpha^{-1}Q_{\alpha\beta}[(y_j b_k + y_k b_j)s_{l|0}^i + (y_k b_l + y_l b_k)s_{j|0}^i + (y_l b_j + y_j b_l)s_{k|0}^i \\ &\quad + (y_j s_{k0} + y_k s_{j0})s_{l|0}^i + (y_k s_{l0} + y_l s_{k0})s_{j|0}^i + (y_l s_{j0} + y_j s_{l0})s_{k|0}^i] \\ &\quad + Q_{\beta\beta} [b_j b_k s_{l|0}^i + b_k b_l s_{j|0}^i + b_j b_l s_{k|0}^i + (s_{j0} b_k + b_j s_{k0})s_{l|0}^i \\ &\quad + (s_{k0} b_l + b_k s_{l0})s_{j|0}^i + (b_l s_{j0} + b_j s_{l0})s_{k|0}^i] + Q_{\beta\beta\beta} b_j b_k b_l s_{0|0}^i \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$A_{ij} = \alpha^2 a_{ij} - y_i y_j, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\tilde{N}_p^i = Qs_p^i + [\alpha^{-1}Q_\alpha y_p + Q_\beta b_p]s_{0|0}^i, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\frac{\partial D_{jkl}^i}{\partial y^p} = Q_{jklp} s_{0|0}^i + Q_{jkl} s_p^i + Q_{jkp} s_l^i + Q_{jlp} s_k^i + Q_{klp} s_j^i. \quad (3.8)$$

Let F is a GDW -metric. Then there exists a tensor D_{jkl} such that

$$D_{jkl||m}^i y^m = D_{jkl} y^i.$$

By (3.4), we have

$$D_{jkl}y^i = D_{jkl|m}y^m - 2Q \frac{\partial D_{jkl}^i}{\partial y^p} s^p_0 + D_{jkl}^p \tilde{N}_p^i - D_{pkl}^i \tilde{N}_j^p - D_{jpl}^i \tilde{N}_k^p - D_{jkp}^i \tilde{N}_l^p. \quad (3.9)$$

By contracting (3.9) with y_i and using (3.5), (3.7) and (3.8) we get the following

$$\begin{aligned} D_{jkl} = & D_1 [A_{jk}s_{l0|0} + A_{kl}s_{j0|0} + A_{jl}s_{k0|0}] \\ & + D_2 [y_j y_k s_{l0|0} + y_k y_l s_{j0|0} + y_j y_l s_{k0|0}] \\ & + D_3 [(y_j b_k + y_k b_j) s_{l0|0} + (y_k b_l + y_l b_k) s_{j0|0} + (y_j b_l + y_l b_j) s_{k0|0}] \\ & + D_4 [b_j b_k s_{l0|0} + b_k b_l s_{j0|0} + b_j b_l s_{k0|0}] \\ & + D_5 [A_{jk}y_l + A_{kl}y_j + A_{jl}y_k] t_{00} \\ & + D_6 [A_{jk}b_l + A_{kl}b_j + A_{jl}b_k] t_{00} \\ & + D_7 [y_j y_k b_l + y_k y_l b_j + y_j y_l b_k] t_{00} \\ & + D_8 [y_l b_j b_k + y_j b_k b_l + y_k b_j b_l] t_{00} \\ & + D_9 y_j y_k y_l t_{00} + D_{10} b_j b_k b_l t_{00} \\ & + D_{11} [y_l s_{j0} s_{k0} + y_j s_{k0} s_{l0} + y_k s_{j0} s_{l0}] \\ & + D_{12} [b_l s_{j0} s_{k0} + b_j s_{k0} s_{l0} + b_k s_{j0} s_{l0}], \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 & := -\alpha^{-5} Q_\alpha, \\ D_2 & := -\alpha^{-4} Q_{\alpha\alpha}, \\ D_3 & := -\alpha^{-3} Q_{\alpha\beta}, \\ D_4 & := -\alpha^{-2} Q_{\beta\beta}, \\ D_5 & := -\alpha^{-6} Q_\alpha^2 - \alpha^{-6} Q Q_{\alpha\alpha} + \alpha^{-7} Q Q_\alpha, \\ D_6 & := -\alpha^{-5} Q_\alpha Q_\beta - \alpha^{-5} Q Q_{\alpha\beta}, \\ D_7 & := -\alpha^{-4} Q_{\alpha\alpha} Q_\beta - 2\alpha^{-4} Q_{\alpha\beta} Q_\alpha - \alpha^{-4} Q Q_{\alpha\alpha\beta}, \\ D_8 & := -\alpha^{-3} Q_{\beta\beta} Q_\alpha - 2\alpha^{-3} Q_{\alpha\beta} Q_\beta - \alpha^{-3} Q Q_{\alpha\beta\beta}, \\ D_9 & := -3\alpha^{-5} Q_{\alpha\alpha} Q_\alpha - \alpha^{-5} Q Q_{\alpha\alpha\alpha}, \\ D_{10} & := -3\alpha^{-2} Q_{\beta\beta} Q_\beta - \alpha^{-2} Q Q_{\beta\beta\beta}, \\ D_{11} & := -2\alpha^{-3} Q_{\alpha\beta} + 2\alpha^{-4} Q_\alpha^2 + 2\alpha^{-4} Q Q_{\alpha\alpha} - 2\alpha^{-5} Q Q_\alpha, \\ D_{12} & := -2\alpha^{-2} Q_{\beta\beta} + 2\alpha^{-3} Q Q_{\alpha\beta} + 2\alpha^{-3} Q_\alpha Q_\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by plugging (3.10) into (3.9), and contracting the obtained result with a^{kl} , we get (3.1). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Let $F = \alpha\phi(s)$, $s = \beta/\alpha$, be an (α, β) -metric on an n -dimensional manifold M . By multiplying (3.1) with y_i and y^j , we get

$$-\alpha Q Q_{\alpha\alpha\alpha} t_{00} = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

If $Q_{\alpha\alpha\alpha} = 0$ then

$$Q = c_1\alpha + c_2\frac{\alpha^2}{\beta},$$

where c_1 and c_2 are real constants. Thus, we get

$$F = c_3\alpha\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{c_2}{1+c_2}}\left(c_1\frac{\beta}{\alpha} + c_2 + 1\right)^{\frac{1}{1+c_2}},$$

where c_3 is a real constant. This is a contradiction with our assumption. Then by (3.11), we get $t_{00} = 0$ which results that $s_{i0} = 0$. This means that β is a closed one-form. By assumption, β is parallel one-form and then F reduces to a Berwald metric. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are very grateful to the anonymous referee for his or her comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. S. Bácsó, X. Cheng, Z. Shen, Curvature Properties of (α, β) -Metrics, *Adv. Stud. Pure. Math.*, **48**, (2007), 73-110.
2. S. Bácsó, M. Matsumoto, On Finsler Spaces of Douglas Type, A Generalization of Notion of Berwald Space, *Publ. Math. Debrecen.*, **51**, (1997), 385-406.
3. S. Bácsó, I. Papp, A Note on a Generalized Douglas Space, *Periodica. Math. Hungarica.*, **48**, (2004), 181-184.
4. X. Cheng, Z. Shen, A Class of Finsler Metrics with Isotropic S-curvature, *Israel. J. Math.*, **169**, (2009), 317-340.
5. P. Habibi, A. Razavi, Generalized Symmetric Berwald Spaces, *Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics*, **9**(1), (2014), 63-69.
6. M. Matsumoto, Theory of Finsler Spaces with (α, β) -Metric, *Rep. Math. Phys.*, **31**, (1992), 43-84.
7. B. Najafi, A. Tayebi, Finsler Metrics of Scalar Flag Curvature and Projective Invariants, *Balkan J. Geom. Appl.*, **15**, (2010), 90-99.
8. B. Najafi, Z. Shen, A. Tayebi, On a Projective Class of Finsler Metrics, *Publ. Math. Debrecen.*, **70**, (2007), 211-219.
9. Z. Shen, *Differential Geometry of Spray and Finsler Spaces*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2001.
10. A. Tayebi, E. Peyghan, On C3-like Finsler Metrics, *Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics*, **7**(1), (2012), 1-6.
11. A. Tayebi, E. Peyghan, On Douglas Surfaces, *Bull. Math. Soc. Science. Math. Roumanie*, Tome 55 (103), No 3, (2012), 327-335.

12. A. Tayebi, E. Peyghan, On a Subclass of Generalized Douglas-Weyl Metrics, *J. Cont. Math. Analysis*, **47**(2), (2012), 69-80.
13. A. Tayebi, E. Peyghan, On Special Generalized Douglas-Weyl Metrics, *J. Sci. Islamic Republic of Iran*, **23**(2), (2012), 179-184.
14. A. Tayebi, M. Rafie. Rad, S-curvature of Isotropic Berwald Metrics, *Sci. China. Series A: Mathematics.*, **51**, (2008), 2198-2204.
15. A. Tayebi, H. Sadeghi, E. Peyghan, On Generalized Douglas-Weyl Spaces, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.*, **36**(3), (2013), 587-594.
16. G. Yang, A Note on a Class of Finsler Metrics of Isotropic S-curvature, preprint. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1310.3463>
17. H. Shimada S. V. Sabau, An Introduction to Matsumoto Metric, *Nonlinear Analysis: RWA.*, **63**, (2005), 165-168.
18. A. Tayebi, H. Sadeghi and E. Peyghan, On Generalized Douglas-Weyl Spaces, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.*, **36**(3), (2013), 587-594.
19. C. H. Xiang X. Cheng, On a Class of Weakly-Berwald (α, β) -Metrics, *J. Math. Res. Expos.*, **29**, (2009), 227-236.