Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics

Vol. 14, No. 1 (2019), pp 147-157

DOI: 10.7508/ijmsi.2019.01.013

A Submodule-Based Zero Divisor Graph for Modules

Sakineh Babaei^a, Shiroyeh Payrovi^{*,a}, Esra Sengelen Sevim^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Imam Khomeini International University, Postal Code: 34149-1-6818, Qazvin, Iran.

 $^b \mbox{Department}$ of Mathematics, Istanbul Bilgi University, Kazim Karabekir Cad. No: 2/13, 34060 Eyup-Istanbul, Turkey.

E-mail: sbabaei@edu.ikiu.ac.ir E-mail: shpayrovi@sci.ikiu.ac.ir E-mail: esra.sengelen@bilgi.edu.tr

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative ring with identity and M be an Rmodule. The zero divisor graph of M is denoted by $\Gamma(M)$. In this study,
we are going to generalize the zero divisor graph $\Gamma(M)$ to submodulebased zero divisor graph $\Gamma(M,N)$ by replacing elements whose product
is zero with elements whose product is in some submodule N of M. The
main objective of this paper is to study the interplay of the properties of
submodule N and the properties of $\Gamma(M,N)$.

Keywords: Zero divisor graph, Submodule-based zero divisor graph, Semisimple module.

2000 Mathematics subject classification: 13A99, 05C75.

1. Introduction

Let R be a commutative ring with identity. The zero divisor graph of R, denoted $\Gamma(R)$, is an undirected graph whose vertices are the nonzero zero divisor of R with two distinct vertices x and y are adjacent by an edge if and only

^{*}Corresponding Author

Received 08 September 2016; Accepted 18 December 2016 © 2019 Academic Center for Education, Culture and Research TMU

if xy = 0. The idea of a zero divisor graph of a commutative ring was introduced by Beck in [3] where he was mainly interested with colorings of rings. The definition above first is appeared in [2], which contains several fundamental results concerning $\Gamma(R)$. The zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring is further examined by Anderson, Levy and Shapiro, Mulay in [1, 9]. Also, the ideal-based zero divisor graph of R is defined by Redmond, in [12].

The zero divisor graph for modules over commutative rings has been defined by Behboodi in [4] as a generalization of zero divisor graph of rings. Let R be a commutative ring and M be an R-module, for $x \in M$, we denote the annihilator of the factor module M/Rx by I_x . An element $x \in M$ is called a zero divisor, if either x = 0 or $I_x I_y M = 0$ for some $y \neq 0$ with $I_y \subset R$. The set of zero divisors of M is denoted by Z(M) and the associated graph to M with vertices in $Z^*(M) = Z(M) \setminus \{0\}$ is denoted by $\Gamma(M)$, such that two different vertices x and y are adjacent provided $I_x I_y M = 0$.

In this paper, we introduce the submodule-based zero divisor graph that is a generalization of zero divisor graph for modules. Let R be a commutative ring, M be an R-module and N be a proper submodule of M. An element $x \in M$ is called zero divisor with respect to N, if either $x \in N$ or $I_x I_y M \subseteq N$ for some $y \in M \setminus N$ with $I_y \subset R$. We denote Z(M, N) for the set of zero divisors of M with respect to N. Also, we denote the associated graph to M with vertices $Z^*(M, N) = Z(M, N) \setminus N$ by $\Gamma(M, N)$, and two different vertices x and y are adjacent provided $I_x I_y M \subseteq N$.

In the second section, we define a submodule-based zero divisor graph for a module and we study basic properties of this graph. In the third section, if M is a finitely generated semisimple R-module such that its homogenous components are simple and N is a submodule of M, we determine some relations between $\Gamma(M,N)$ and $\Gamma(M/N)$, where M/N is the quotient module of M, we show that the clique number and chromatic number of $\Gamma(M,N)$ are equal. Also, we determine some submodule of M such that $\Gamma(M,N)$ is an empty or a complete bipartite graph.

Let Γ be a (undirected) graph. We say that Γ is connected if there is a path between any two distinct vertices. For vertex x the number of graph edges which touch x is called the degree of x and is denoted by $\deg(x)$. For vertices x and y of Γ , we define d(x,y) to be the length of a shortest path between x and y, if there is no path, then $d(x,y) = \infty$. The diameter of Γ is $\dim(\Gamma) = \sup\{d(x,y)|x \text{ and } y \text{ are vertices of } \Gamma\}$. The girth of Γ , denoted by $gr(\Gamma)$, is the length of a shortest cycle in Γ ($gr(\Gamma) = \infty$ if Γ contains no cycle).

A graph Γ is *complete* if any two distinct vertices are adjacent. The complete graph with n vertices is denoted by K^n (we allow n to be an infinite cardinal). The *clique number*, $\omega(\Gamma)$, is the greatest integer n > 1 such that $K^n \subseteq \Gamma$, and $\omega(\Gamma) = \infty$ if $K^n \subseteq \Gamma$ for all $n \ge 1$. A *complete bipartite* graph is a graph Γ which may be partitioned into two disjoint nonempty vertex sets V_1 and V_2

such that two distinct vertices are adjacent if and only if they are in different vertex sets. If one of the vertex sets is a singleton, then we call that Γ is a *star graph*. We denote the complete bipartite graph by $K^{m,n}$, where $|V_1| = m$ and $|V_2| = n$ (again, we allow m and n to be infinite cardinals); so a star graph is $K^{1,n}$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The chromatic number, $\chi(\Gamma)$, of a graph Γ is the minimum number of colors needed to color the vertices of Γ , so that no two adjacent vertices share the same color. A graph Γ is called *planar* if it can be drawn in such a way that no two edges intersect.

Throughout this study, R is a commutative ring with nonzero identity, M is a unitary R-module and N is a proper submodule of M. Given any subset S of M, the annihilator of S is denoted by $\operatorname{ann}(S) = \{r \in R | rs = 0 \text{ for all } s \in S\}$ and the cardinal number of S is denoted by |S|.

2. Submodule-based Zero Divisor Graph

Recall that R is a commutative ring, M is an R-module and N is a proper submodule of M. For $x \in M$, we denote $\operatorname{ann}(M/Rx)$ by I_x .

Definition 2.1. Let M be an R-module and N be a proper submodule of M. An $x \in M$ is called a zero divisor with respect to N if $x \in N$ or $I_xI_yM \subseteq N$ for some $y \in M \setminus N$ with $I_y \subset R$.

We denote the set of zero divisors of M with respect to N by Z(M,N) and $Z^*(M,N) = Z(M,N) \setminus N$. The submodule-based zero divisor graph of M with respect to N, $\Gamma(M,N)$, is an undirected graph with vertices $Z^*(M,N)$ such that distinct vertices x and y are adjacent if and only if $I_x I_y M \subseteq N$.

The following example shows that Z(M/N) and Z(M,N) are different from each other.

EXAMPLE 2.2. Let $M = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ and $N = 2\mathbb{Z} \oplus 0$. Then $I_{(m,n)} = 0$, for all $(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. But $I_{(m,n)+N} = 2n\mathbb{Z}$ whenever $m \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ and $I_{(m,n)+N} = 2\mathbb{Z}$ whenever $m \notin 2\mathbb{Z}$. Thus $(1,0),(1,1) \in Z^*(M,N)$ are adjacent in $\Gamma(M,N)$, but $(1,0)+N,(1,1)+N \notin Z^*(M/N)$.

Proposition 2.3. If $Z^*(M, N) = \emptyset$, then ann(M/N) is a prime ideal of R.

Proof. Suppose that $\operatorname{ann}(M/N)$ is not prime. Then there are ideals I and J of R such that $IJM \subset N$ but $IM \not\subseteq N$ and $JM \not\subseteq N$. Let $x \in IM \setminus N$ and $y \in JM \setminus N$. Then $I_xJ_yM \subseteq IJM \subseteq N$ and $I_y \subset R$. Thus $x \in Z^*(M,N)$, a contradiction. Hence, $\operatorname{ann}(M/N)$ is a prime ideal of R.

Lemma 2.4. Let $x, y \in Z^*(M, N)$. If x - y is an edge in $\Gamma(M, N)$, then for each $0 \neq r \in R$, either $ry \in N$ or x - ry is also an edge in $\Gamma(M, N)$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in Z^*(M, N)$ and $r \in R$. Assume that x - y is an edge in $\Gamma(M, N)$ and $ry \notin N$. Then $I_x I_y M \subseteq N$. It is clear that $I_{rx} \subseteq I_x$. So that $I_x I_{ry} M \subseteq I_x I_y M \subseteq N$ and therefore, x - ry is an edge in $\Gamma(M, N)$.

It is shown that the graphs are defined in [12] and [4], are connected with diameter less than or equal to three. Moreover, it shown that if those graphs contain a cycle, then they have the girth less than or equal to four. In the next theorems, we extend these results to a submodule-based zero divisor graph.

Theorem 2.5. $\Gamma(M, N)$ is a connected graph and diam $(\Gamma(M, N)) \leq 3$.

Proof. Let x and y be distinct vertices of $\Gamma(M,N)$. Then, there are $a,b \in Z^*(M,N)$ with $I_aI_xM \subseteq N$ and $I_bI_yM \subseteq N$ (we allow $a,b \in \{x,y\}$). If $I_aI_bM \subseteq N$, then x-a-b-y is a path, thus $d(x,y) \leq 3$. If $I_aI_bM \nsubseteq N$, then $Ra \cap Rb \nsubseteq N$, and for every $d \in (Ra \cap Rb) \setminus N$, x-d-y is a path of length 2, $d(x,y) \leq 2$, by Lemma 2.4. Hence, we conclude that $diam(\Gamma(M,N)) \leq 3$. \square

Theorem 2.6. If $\Gamma(M, N)$ contains a cycle, then $gr(\Gamma(M, N)) \leq 4$.

Proof. We have $\operatorname{gr}(\Gamma(M,N)) \leq 7$, by Proposition 1.3.2 in [7] and Theorem 2.5. Assume that $x_1 - x_2 - \cdots - x_7 - x_1$ is a cycle in $\Gamma(M,N)$. If $x_1 = x_4$ then it is clear that $\operatorname{gr}(\Gamma(M,N)) \leq 3$. So, suppose that $x_1 \neq x_4$. Then we have the following two cases:

Case 1. If x_1 and x_4 are adjacent in $\Gamma(M, N)$, then $x_1 - x_2 - x_3 - x_4 - x_1$ is a cycle and $gr(\Gamma(M, N)) \leq 4$.

Case 2. Suppose that x_1 and x_4 are not adjacent in $\Gamma(M, N)$. Then $I_{x_1}I_{x_4}M \nsubseteq N$ and so there is a $z \in (Rx_1 \cap Rx_4) \setminus N$. If $z = x_1$, then $z \neq x_4$ and $x_3 - x_4 - x_5 - z - x_3$ is a cycle in $\Gamma(M, N)$, by Lemma 2.4. If $z \neq x_1$, then by Lemma 2.4, $x_1 - x_2 - z - x_7 - x_1$ is a cycle and $\operatorname{gr}(\Gamma(M, N)) \leq 4$.

For cycles with length 5 or 6, by using a similar argument as above, one can shows that $gr(\Gamma(M, N)) \leq 4$.

EXAMPLE 2.7. Assume that $M = \mathbb{Z}$ and p, q are two prime numbers. If $N = p\mathbb{Z}$, then $\Gamma(M, N) = \emptyset$. If $N = pq\mathbb{Z}$, then $\Gamma(M, N)$ is an infinite complete bipartite graph with vertex set $V_1 \cup V_2$, where $V_1 = p\mathbb{Z} \setminus pq\mathbb{Z}$ and $V_2 = q\mathbb{Z} \setminus pq\mathbb{Z}$ and so $\operatorname{gr}(\Gamma(M, N)) = 4$.

Corollary 2.8. If N is a prime submodule of M, then $\operatorname{diam}(\Gamma(M,N)) \leq 2$ and $\operatorname{gr}(\Gamma(M,N)) = 3$, whenever it contains a cycle.

Proof. Let x,y be two distinct vertices which are not adjacent in $\Gamma(M,N)$. Thus there is an $a \in M \setminus N$ such that $I_aI_xM \subseteq N$. Since N is a prime submodule, then $I_aM \subseteq N$. Thus $I_aI_yM \subseteq N$, and then x-a-y is a path in $\Gamma(M,N)$. Then $\operatorname{diam}(\Gamma(M,N)) \leq 2$.

Lemma 2.9. Let $|\Gamma(M,N)| \geq 3$, $\operatorname{gr}(\Gamma(M,N)) = \infty$ and $x \in Z^*(M,N)$ with $\operatorname{deg}(x) > 1$. Then $Rx = \{0, x\}$ and $\operatorname{ann}(x)$ is a prime ideal of R.

Proof. First we show that $Rx = \{0, x\}$. Let u - x - v be a path in $\Gamma(M, N)$. Then u - v is not an edge in $\Gamma(M, N)$ since $\operatorname{gr}(\Gamma(M, N)) = \infty$. If $x \neq rx$ for some $r \in R$ and $rx \notin N$, then by Lemma 2.4, rx - u - x - v - rx is a cycle in

Downloaded from ijmsi.ir on 2024-05-02]

 $\Gamma(M,N)$, that is a contradiction. So, for every $r \in R$ either rx = x or $rx \in N$. If there is an $r \in R$ such that $rx \in N$, then we have either $(1+r)x \in N$ or (1+r)x = x. These imply that $x \in N$ or rx = 0. Therefore, we have shown that $Rx = \{0, x\}$.

Let $a, b \in R$ and abx = 0. Then bx = 0 or bx = x. Hence, bx = 0 or ax = 0. So, ann(x) is a prime ideal of R.

Theorem 2.10. If N is a nonzero submodule of M and $gr(\Gamma(M, N)) = \infty$, then $\Gamma(M, N)$ is a star graph.

Proof. Suppose that $\Gamma(M,N)$ is not a star graph. Then there is a path in $\Gamma(M,N)$ such as u-x-y-v. By Lemma 2.9, we have $Ry=\{0,y\}$ and by assumption u and y are not adjacent, thus $I_yM\neq 0$. So that $I_yM=Ry$. Also, x-y-v is a path, thus $I_vI_yM\subseteq N$ and $I_xI_yM\subseteq N$. Hence, $I_vRy\subseteq N$ and $I_xRy\subseteq N$. On the other hand, for every nonzero $n\in N$, we have

$$I_v I_{y+n} M \subseteq I_v R(y+n) \subseteq I_v (Ry+N) \subseteq N$$

and similarly $I_x I_{y+n} M \subseteq N$. So that x - y - v - (y + n) - x is a cycle in $\Gamma(M, N)$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\Gamma(M, N)$ is a star graph.

Theorem 2.11. Let N be a nonzero submodule of M, $|\Gamma(M,N)| \geq 3$ and $\Gamma(M,N)$ is a star graph. Then the following statements are true:

- (i) If x is the center vertex, then $I_x = \text{ann}(M)$.
- (ii) $\Gamma(M, N)$ is a subgraph of $\Gamma(M)$.

Proof. (i) By Lemma 2.9, we have $Rx = \{0, x\}$. Thus either $I_xM = 0$ or $I_xM = Rx$. Assume that $I_xM = Rx$. If y is a vertex of $\Gamma(M, N)$ such that $y \neq x$, then $\deg(y) = 1$ and $I_xI_yM \subseteq N$. Thus $I_yRx \subseteq N$. Since $I_{x+n}I_yM \subseteq I_yR(x+n) \subseteq N$ for every nonzero element $n \in N$ it concludes that y = x + n. In this case, every other vertices of $\Gamma(M, N)$ are adjacent to y, a contradiction. Hence, $I_xM = 0$ and $I_x = \operatorname{ann}(M)$.

(ii) It is obvious.
$$\Box$$

Theorem 2.12. If $|N| \ge 3$ and $\Gamma(M, N)$ is a complete bipartite graph which is not a star graph, then $I_x^2M \subseteq N$, for every $x \in Z^*(M, N)$.

Proof. Let $Z^*(M,N) = V_1 \cup V_2$, where $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$. Suppose that $I_x^2M \subseteq N$ for some $x \in Z^*(M,N)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $x \in V_1$. By a similar argument with Lemma 2.9, either $Rx = \{0,x\}$ or there is an $r \in R$ such that $x \neq rx$ and $rx \in N$. If $Rx = \{0,x\}$, then $I_xM = Rx$. Thus $I_xRx \subseteq N$. Now, for every $y \in V_2$ and $n \in N$ we get

$$I_y I_{x+n} M \subseteq I_y R(x+n) \subseteq I_y (Rx+N) \subseteq N$$

and $I_xI_{x+n}M\subseteq N$. Then, $x+n\in V_1\cap V_2$, a contradiction. So, assume that $x\neq rx$ and $rx\in N$ for some $r\in R$. Since $I_{rx+x}\subseteq I_x$, then $I_xI_{rx+x}M\subseteq N$ and for all $y\in V_2$, $I_yI_{rx+x}M\subseteq N$. Thus $rx+x\in V_1\cap V_2$, a contradiction. \square

An R-module X is called a *multiplication-like* module if, for each nonzero submodule Y of X, $\operatorname{ann}(X) \subset \operatorname{ann}(X/Y)$. Multiplication-like module have been studied in [8, 13].

A vertex x of a connected graph G is a *cut-point*, if there are vertices u, v of G such that x is in every path from u to v and $x \neq u, x \neq v$. For a connected graph G, an edge E of G is defined to be a *bridge* if $G - \{E\}$ is disconnected, see [6].

Theorem 2.13. Let M be a multiplication-like module and N be a nonzero submodule of M. Then $\Gamma(M, N)$ has no cut-points.

Proof. Suppose that x is a cut-point of $\Gamma(M,N)$. Then there exist vertices $u,v\in M\setminus N$ such that x lies on every path from u to v. By Theorem 2.5, the shortest path from u to v has length 2 or 3.

Case 1. Suppose that u-x-v is a path of shortest length from u to v. Since x is a cut point x, u, v aren't in a cycle. By a similar argument to that of Lemma 2.9, we have $Rx = \{0, x\}$. On the other hand, $I_xM \subseteq Rx$ and M is a multiplication-like module, so we have $I_xM = Rx$. Hence $I_uRx \subseteq N$ and $I_vRx \subseteq N$. Also, for every nonzero $n \in N$, we have $I_uI_{x+n}M \subseteq I_u(Rx+N) \subseteq N$ and $I_vI_{x+n}M \subseteq N$. Therefore, u-(x+n)-v is a path from u to v, a contradiction.

Case 2. Suppose that u-x-y-v is a path in $\Gamma(M,N)$. Then, we have $I_xM=Rx$ and for every nonzero $n \in N$, we have $I_yI_{x+n}M \subseteq N$ and $I_uI_{x+n}M \subseteq N$. Thus u-(x+n)-y-v is a path from u to v, a contradiction.

Theorem 2.14. Let M be a multiplication-like module and N be a nonzero submodule of M. Then $\Gamma(M,N)$ has a bridge if and only if $\Gamma(M,N)$ is a graph on two vertices.

Proof. If $|\Gamma(M,N)| = 3$, then $\Gamma(M,N) = K^3$, by Theorem 2.11, and it has no bridge. Assume that $|\Gamma(M,N)| \ge 4$ and x-y is a bridge. Thus there is not a cycle containing x-y. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\deg(x) > 1$. Thus, there exists a vertex $z \ne y$ such that z-x is an edge of $\Gamma(M,N)$. Then $Rx = \{0,x\}$ and $I_xM = Rx$. Hence, for every $n \in N$, $I_zI_{x+n}M \subseteq N$ and $I_yI_{x+n}M \subseteq N$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\Gamma(M,N)$ has not a bridge. The converse is clear.

3. Submodule-based Zero Divisor Graph of Semisimple Modules

A nonzero R-module X is called simple if its only submodules are (0) and X. An R-module X is called semisimple if it is a direct sum of simple modules. Also, X is called homogenous semisimple if it is a direct sum of isomorphic simple modules.

In this section, R is a commutative ring and M is a finitely generated semisimple R-module such that its homogenous components are simple and

Downloaded from ijmsi.ir on 2024-05-02]

N is a submodule of M. The following theorem has a crucial role in this section.

Theorem 3.1. Let $x, y \in M \setminus N$. Then x, y are adjacent in $\Gamma(M, N)$ if and only if $Rx \cap Ry \subseteq N$.

Proof. Let $M=\bigoplus_{i\in I}M_i$, where M_i 's are non-isomorphic simple submodules of M. By assumption N is a submodule of M, so there exists a subset A of I such that $M=N\oplus(\bigoplus_{i\in A}M_i)$ and so $\operatorname{ann}(M/N)=\operatorname{ann}(\bigoplus_{i\in A}M_i)=\bigcap_{i\in A}\operatorname{ann}(M_i)$. Assume that $x,y\in M\setminus N$ are adjacent in $\Gamma(M,N)$ and $Rx\cap Ry\not\subseteq N$. Thus there exists $\alpha\in I$ such that $M_\alpha\subseteq(Rx\cap Ry)\setminus N$. Also, there exist subsets $B\subset I$ and $C\subset I$ such that $M=Rx\oplus(\bigoplus_{i\in B}M_i)$ and $M=Ry\oplus(\bigoplus_{i\in C}M_i)$. Therefore, $I_x=\bigcap_{i\in B}\operatorname{ann}(M_i)$ and $I_y=\bigcap_{i\in C}\operatorname{ann}(M_i)$. Since $I_xI_yM\subseteq N$, we have $I_xI_y\subseteq\operatorname{ann}(M/N)$. For every $i,j\in I$, $\operatorname{ann}(M_i)$ and $\operatorname{ann}(M_j)$ are coprime, then

$$I_x I_y = \left[\bigcap_{i \in B} \operatorname{ann}(M_i)\right] \left[\bigcap_{i \in C} \operatorname{ann}(M_i)\right] = \prod_{i \in B \cup C} \operatorname{ann}(M_i)$$

$$\subseteq \bigcap_{i \in A} \operatorname{ann}(M_i) \subseteq \operatorname{ann}(M_r),$$

for all $r \in A$. Thus for any $r \in A$ there exists $j_r \in B \cup C$ such that $\operatorname{ann}(M_{j_r}) \subseteq \operatorname{ann}(M_r)$. So that $\operatorname{ann}(M_{j_r}) = \operatorname{ann}(M_r)$ implies that $M_{j_r} \cong M_r$ and by hypothesis $M_{j_r} = M_r$. Hence,

$$M_{\alpha} \subseteq \bigoplus_{i \in A} M_i \subseteq \bigoplus_{i \in B \cup C} M_i$$
.

Thus there exists $\gamma \in B \cup C$ such that $M_{\alpha} = M_{\gamma}$, also

$$M_{\alpha} \subseteq Rx \cap Ry = (\bigoplus_{i \in I \setminus B} M_i) \cap (\bigoplus_{i \in I \setminus C} M_i).$$

Therefore, $\alpha \in I \setminus (B \cup C)$, a contradiction. The converse is obvious.

Corollary 3.2. Let $x, y \in M \setminus N$ be such that $x + N \neq y + N$. Then

- (i) x and y are adjacent in $\Gamma(M,N)$ if and only if x+N and y+N are adjacent in $\Gamma(M/N)$.
- (ii) if x and y are adjacent in $\Gamma(M, N)$, then all distinct elements of x + N and y + N are adjacent in $\Gamma(M, N)$.

Proof. (i) Let $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$, where M_i 's are non-isomorphic simple submodules of M. Suppose that x and y are adjacent in $\Gamma(M, N)$, $Rx = \bigoplus_{i \in A} M_i$, $Ry = \bigoplus_{i \in B} M_i$ and $N = \bigoplus_{i \in C} M_i$. Then $Rx + N = \bigoplus_{i \in A \cup C} M_i$ and $Ry + N = \bigoplus_{i \in B \cup C} M_i$. Thus,

$$(Rx+N)\cap (Ry+N)=\bigoplus_{i\in (A\cup C)\cap (B\cup C)}M_i=\bigoplus_{i\in (A\cap B)\cup C}M_i=(Rx\cap Ry)+N.$$

By Theorem 3.1, we have $Rx \cap Ry \subseteq N$ hence,

$$I_{x+N}I_{y+N}M \subset (Rx+N) \cap (Ry+N) = (Rx \cap Ry) + N = N.$$

Downloaded from ijmsi.ir on 2024-05-02]

Therefore, x+N and y+N are adjacent in $\Gamma(M/N)$. The converse is obvious. (ii) Let $x,y\in Z^*(M,N)$ be adjacent in $\Gamma(M,N)$. Then $Rx\cap Ry\subseteq N$ by Theorem 3.1. So for every $n,n'\in N$ we have

$$I_{x+n}I_{y+n'}M \subseteq R(x+n) \cap R(y+n') \subseteq (Rx+N) \cap (Ry+N) = N.$$

Hence, x + n and y + n' are adjacent in $\Gamma(M, N)$.

In the following theorem, we prove that the clique number of graphs $\Gamma(M,N)$ and $\Gamma(M/N)$ are equal.

Theorem 3.3. If N is a nonzero submodule of M, then $\omega(\Gamma(M/N)) = \omega(\Gamma(M,N))$.

Proof. First we show that $I_{m+N}^2M \not\subseteq N$ for each $0 \neq m+N \in M/N$. Assume that $N=\bigoplus_{i\in A}M_i$ and $m=(m_i)_{i\in I}\in M\setminus N$. Then $I_{m+N}=\bigcap_{i\not\in A,m_i=0}\operatorname{ann}(M_i)$. Hence, $I_{m+N}=I_{m+N}^2$. Thus $I_{m+N}^2M\not\subseteq N$ since there is at least one $j\in I\setminus A$ such that $m_j\neq 0$.

Now, Corollary 3.2 implies that $\omega(\Gamma(M/N)) \leq \omega(\Gamma(M,N))$. Thus, it is enough to consider the case where $\omega(\Gamma(M/N)) = d < \infty$. Assume that G is a complete subgraph of $\Gamma(M,N)$ with vertices $m_1, m_2, \cdots, m_{d+1}$, we provide a contradiction. Consider the subgraph G_* of $\Gamma(M/N)$ with vertices $m_1 + N, \cdots, m_{d+1} + N$. By Corollary 3.2, G_* is a complete subgraph of $\Gamma(M,N)$. Thus $m_j + N = m_k + N$ for some $1 \leq j,k \leq d+1$ with $j \neq k$ since $\omega(\Gamma(M/N)) = d$. We have $I_{m_j}I_{m_k}M \subseteq N$. Therefore, $Rm_j \cap Rm_k \subseteq N$ and so $I_{m_j+N}I_{m_k+N}M \subseteq N$. Hence, $I_{m_j+N}^2M \subseteq N$, that is a contradiction. \square

In the following theorem, we show that there is a relation between $\omega(\Gamma(M,N))$ and $\chi(\Gamma(M,N))$.

Theorem 3.4. Assume that $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$, where M_i 's are non-isomorphic simple submodules of M and $N = \bigoplus_{i \in A} M_i$ is a submodule of M for some $A \subset I$. Then $\omega(\Gamma(M, N)) = \chi(\Gamma(M, N)) = |I| - |A|$.

Proof. Suppose that $I \setminus A = \{1, \dots, n\}$ so $M_1, \dots, M_n \not\subseteq N$. Let for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$

$$L^k = \{ m \in M : m \text{ has } k \text{ nonzero components } \}$$

and let for $1 \le s \le n$

$$L_s^1 = \{ m \in L^1 : \text{the } s^{\text{th}} \text{ component of } m \text{ is nonzero} \}.$$

If $m \in L^1_s$ and $m' \in L^1_t$ for some $1 \leq s, t \leq n$ with $s \neq t$, then m and m' are adjacent and so K^n is a subgraph of $\Gamma(M,N)$. Thus $\omega(\Gamma(M,N)) \geq n$. If $m,m' \in L^1_s$ for some $1 \leq s \leq n$, then m,m' are not adjacent because $\operatorname{ann}(M_s) \not\subseteq I_m I_{m'}$ and so the elements of L^1_s have same color. On the other hand, if $x \in L^t$ with t > 1, then there is not a complete subgraph K^h of $\Gamma(M,N)$ containing x, such that $h \geq n$. Thus $\omega(\Gamma(M,N)) = n \leq \chi(\Gamma(M,N))$. Also, if $x \in L^t$ with t > 1, then there is an s with $1 \leq s \leq n$ such that x is not

[Downloaded from ijmsi.ir on 2024-05-02]

adjacent to each element of L_s^1 . Thus the color of x is same as the elements of L_s^1 . Thus $\chi(\Gamma(M,N))=n$.

The Kuartowski's Theorem states: A graph G is planar if and only if it contains no subgraph homeomorphic to K^5 or $K^{3,3}$.

Theorem 3.5. Let N be a nonzero proper submodule of M such that N is not prime. Then $\Gamma(M, N)$ is not planar.

Proof. Assume that $M=\bigoplus_{i\in I}M_i$, where M_i 's are non-isomorphic simple submodules of M and $N=\bigoplus_{i\in A}M_i$ for some $A\subset I$. Let $I\setminus A=\{i,j\}$. Then $\Gamma(M,N)$ is a complete bipartite graph $K^{n,m}$, where $n=(|M_i|-1)(\prod_{k\in I-\{i,j\}}|M_k|)$ and $m=(|M_j|-1)(\prod_{k\in I-\{i,j\}}|M_k|)$. By hypotheses N is a nonzero and M_i 's are non-isomorphic, so we have $n,m\geq 3$. Hence $\Gamma(M,N)$ has a subgraph homeomorphic to $K^{3,3}$. The cases $|I\setminus A|\geq 3$ are similar to that of the case $|I\setminus A|=2$.

Theorem 3.6. A nonzero submodule N of M is prime if and only if $Z^*(M, N) = \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $M=\bigoplus_{i\in I}M_i$, where M_i 's are non-isomorphic simple submodules of M and N is prime. Then $N=\bigoplus_{i\in I\setminus\{k\}}M_i$, for some $k\in I$. If $x\in Z^*(M,N)$, then there exists a $y\in M\setminus N$ such that $I_xI_yM\subseteq N$. If $x\neq y$, then $Rx\cap Ry\subseteq N$, by Theorem 3.1. Thus either $M_k\not\subseteq Rx$ or $M_k\not\subseteq Ry$. Hence, either $Rx\subseteq N$ or $Ry\subseteq N$, a contradiction. Now, suppose that x=y so by $I_x^2M\subseteq N$ and hypotheses $I_xM\subseteq N$. Thus $I_{x+n}I_xM\subseteq N$ for every $0\neq n\in N$. By a similar argument, we have either $x\in N$ or $x+n\in N$, a contradiction. Hence, $Z^*(M,N)=\emptyset$.

Conversely, assume that $Z^*(M,N) = \emptyset$. Then $\operatorname{ann}(M/N)$ is prime ideal of R by Proposition 2.3 and there exists a $k \in I$ such that $\operatorname{ann}(M/N) = \operatorname{ann}(M_k)$. Hence, $N = \bigoplus_{i \in I \setminus \{k\}} M_i$ is a prime submodule of M.

A proper submodule N of M is called 2-absorbing if whenever $a,b \in R$, $m \in M$ and $abm \in N$, then $am \in N$ or $bm \in N$ or $ab \in \text{ann}(M/N)$, see [10, 11]. In the following results, we study the behavior of $\Gamma(M,N)$ whenever N is a 2-absorbing submodule of M.

Theorem 3.7. A submodule N of M is 2-absorbing if and only if at most two components of M are zero in N.

Proof. Let $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$, where M_i 's are non-isomorphic simple submodules of M. Suppose that N is a 2-absorbing submodule of M and $N = \bigoplus_{i \in A} M_i$, where $A = I \setminus \{s, t, k\}$. Since for all $i \in I$, $\operatorname{ann}(M_i)$ is prime, there are $a \in \operatorname{ann}(M_s) \setminus (\operatorname{ann}(M_t) \cup \operatorname{ann}(M_k))$, $b \in \operatorname{ann}(M_t) \setminus (\operatorname{ann}(M_s) \cup \operatorname{ann}(M_k))$ and $c \in \bigcap_{j \in I \setminus (A - \{s, t\})} \operatorname{ann}(M_j) \setminus (\operatorname{ann}(M_s) \cup \operatorname{ann}(M_t))$. Now, $abc \in \operatorname{ann}(M/N)$ but $ab \notin \operatorname{ann}(M/N)$, $ac \notin \operatorname{ann}(M/N)$ and $bc \notin \operatorname{ann}(M/N)$. This contradict with

Theorem 2.3 in [10]. Thus $|A| \ge |I| - 2$ and at most two components of M are zero in N.

Conversely, if one component of M is zero in N, then N is a prime submodule of M. Suppose that $N = \bigoplus_{i \in A} M_i$, where $A = I \setminus \{i, j\}$. Thus $M_i, M_j \not\subseteq N$. Suppose that $a, b \in R$, $(m_i)_{i \in I} = m \in M \setminus N$ and $abm \in N$. Then either $m_i \neq 0$ or $m_j \neq 0$. If $m_i \neq 0$ and $m_j \neq 0$, then $ab \in \operatorname{ann}(M_i) \cap \operatorname{ann}(M_j) = \operatorname{ann}(M/N)$. If $m_i \neq 0$ and $m_j = 0$, then $ab \in \operatorname{ann}(M_i)$ and so either $a \in \operatorname{ann}(M_i)$ or $b \in \operatorname{ann}(M_i)$. Hence, $am \in N$ or $bm \in N$. The case $m_i = 0$ and $m_j \neq 0$, is similar to the previous case. Therefore, N is a 2-absorbing submodule of M.

Theorem 3.8. N is a 2-absorbing submodule of M if and only if $Z^*(M, N) = \emptyset$ or $\Gamma(M, N)$ is a complete bipartite graph.

Proof. Let N be a 2-absorbing submodule of M. If N is prime, then $Z^*(M,N) = \emptyset$, by Theorem 3.6. Now, assume that $N = \bigoplus_{i \in I \setminus \{j,k\}} M_i$ for some $j,k \in I$ and $(m_i)_{i \in I} = m \in M \setminus N$. Thus $I_m = \bigcap_{\{i \in I: m_i = 0\}} \operatorname{ann}(M_i)$. If $m_j \neq 0$ and $m_k \neq 0$, then $m \notin Z(M,N)$. Let $V_1 = \{(m_i)_{i \in I} \in M \setminus N : m_j = 0\}$ and $V_2 = \{(m_i)_{i \in I} \in M \setminus N : m_k = 0\}$. Thus m - m' is an edge of $\Gamma(M,N)$ for every $m \in V_1$ and $m' \in V_2$. Also, every vertices in V_1 and V_2 are not adjacent. Hence, $\Gamma(M,N)$ is a complete bipartite graph.

Now, suppose that $\Gamma(M, N)$ is a complete bipartite graph and N is not 2-absorbing. By Theorem 3.7, there are at least three components M_s, M_t, M_k such that $M_s, M_t, M_k \not\subseteq N$. For i = s, t, k let $v_i = (m_i)_{i \in I}$, where $m_i \neq 0$ and $m_j = 0$ for all $j \neq i$. Then $v_s - v_t - v_k - v_s$ is a cycle in $\Gamma(M, N)$. Thus $\operatorname{gr}(\Gamma(M, N)) = 3$ and so $\Gamma(M, N)$ is not bipartite graph, by Theorem 1 of Sec. 1.2 in [5]. Hence, N is a 2-absorbing submodule of M.

EXAMPLE 3.9. Let $M = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_7$. Then every nonzero submodule N of M is 2-absorbing. Thus either $Z^*(M,N) = \emptyset$ or $\Gamma(M,N)$ is a complete bipartite graph. In particular, if $N = \mathbb{Z}_7$, then $\Gamma(M,N) = K^{7,28}$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is thankful of referees for their valuable comments.

References

- D. F. Anderson, R. Levy, J. Shapiro, The Zero-divisor Graphs von Neumann Reguler Rings and Boolean Algebras, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 180, (2003), 221-241.
- 2. D. F. Anderson, P. S. Livingstone, The Zero-divisor Graph of a Commutative Ring, J. Algebra, 217, (1999), 434-447.
- 3. I. Beck, Coloring of Commutative Rings, J. Algebra, 116, (1988), 208-226.

- 4. M. Behboodi, Zero Divisor Graph for Modules over Commutative Rings, J. Commut. Algebra, 4, (2012), 175-197.
- 5. B. Bollobas, Graph Theory: An Introduction Course, Springer, New-York, 1979.
- 6. G. Chartrand, Graphs as Mathematical Models, Prindle, Boston, 1977.
- 7. R. Diestel, Graph Theory, Springer, New-York, 1997.
- 8. A. Haghany, M. D. Vedadi, Endoprime Modules, Acta Math. Hungarca, **106**, (2002), 89-99.
- 9. S. B. Mulay, Cycles and Symmetries of Zero-divisor, Comm. Algebra, 30, (2002), 3533-3558.
- 10. Sh. Payrovi, S. Babaei, On 2-absorbing Submodules, Algebra Colloquium, **19**, (2012), 913-920.
- 11. Sh. Payrovi, S. Babaei, On the 2-Absorbing Submodules, Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics, 10(1), (2015), 131-137.
- 12. S. P. Redmond, An Ideal-based Zero-divisor Graph of a Commutative Rings, Comm. Algebra, 31, (2003), 4425-4443.
- 13. R. Wisbauer, Modules and algebras: Bimodule Structure and Group Action on Algebras, Pitman Mono 81, Addison-Wesley-Longman, Chicago, 1996.