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Abstract. In this paper, a reliable iterative approach, for solving a wide range of linear and nonlinear Volterra-Fredholm integral equations is established. First the approach considers a discretized form of the integral terms and imposes some conditions on the kernel of the integral equation to proved that the solution of the discretized form converges to the exact solution of the problem. Then the solution of the discretized form is approximated by an iterative scheme. Comparison of the approximate solution with exact solution shows that the our approach is easy and practical for some classes of linear and nonlinear Volterra-Fredholm integral equations.
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1. Introduction

Integral equations are an important branch of modern mathematics and arise frequently in many applied areas including engineering, mechanics, physics,
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chemistry, astronomy, biology, economics, potential theory and electrostatics [7, 14].

Volterra-Fredholm integral equations are usually difficult to solve analytically and so the numerical approaches are created to overcome the complexities of analytical methods. Extracting the numerical solutions of Volterra-Fredholm integral equation is a well-studied problem and a large variety of numerical methods have been developed to obtain rapidly and accurately approximate solutions. Collocation methods [5, 11], Taylor series [15], toeplitz matrix method [4], orthogonal polynomial method of Legendre polynomials type [1, 2], particular trapezoidal Nystrom [9, 8] and Adomian decomposition method [6] are several of many approaches that have previously been considered.

In this study, we tend to present a numerical scheme for extracting approximate solutions for the Volterra-Fredholm integral equations as

\[ x(s) = f(s) + \int_a^s g(s, t, x(t))dt + \int_a^b h(s, t, x(t))dt, \text{ a.e. on } [a, b], \quad (1.1) \]

by an iterative method and it is supposed that the discussed integral equations have at least one solution. At the beginning, we transform the equation into a discretized form.

2. Integral Equation Transformation

Let \( \Delta = \{a = s_0, s_1, \cdots , s_{n-1}, s_n = b\} \) be an equidistant partition of \([a, b]\) where \( h = s_{i+1} - s_i, i = 0, 1, \cdots , n - 1 \) is the discretization parameter of the partition. Now, if \( x^*(t) \) be an analytical solution of (1.1), then for the partition \( \Delta \) on \([a, b]\), we have

\[ x^*(s_i) = f(s_i) + \int_{s_i}^{s_{i+1}} g(s_i, t, x^*(t))dt + \int_a^{s_i} h(s_i, t, x^*(t))dt, \quad i = 0, 1, \cdots , n. \quad (2.1) \]

In (2.1), the integral term can be estimated by a numerical method of integration, e.g. Newton-Cotes methods. Therefore, by taking equidistant partition \( \Delta \), as above with \( h = t_{i+1} - t_i, i = 0, 1, \cdots , n - 1 \) and also the known weights \( w_{ij}, j = 0, 1, \cdots , i \), for interval \([a, s_i]\) and \( w_l, l = 0, 1, \cdots , n \), for interval \([a, b]\), equality (2.1) can be written as,

\[ x_i^* = f_i + \sum_{j=0}^{i} w_{ij} g(s_i, t_j, x_j^*) + O(h^n) + \sum_{l=0}^{n} w_l h(s_i, t_l, x_l^*) + O(h^n), \quad (2.2) \]

where \( i = 0, 1, \cdots , n, x_i^* = x^*(s_i), f_i = f(s_i), i = 0, 1, \cdots , n, \) and \( \nu_1, \nu_2 \) depend upon the used method of Newton-Cotes for estimating of the integrals in (2.1). From (2.2) we have

\[ x_i^* = f_i + \sum_{j=0}^{i} w_{ij} g(s_i, t_j, x_j^*) + \sum_{l=0}^{n} w_l h(s_i, t_l, x_l^*) + O(h^n), \quad i = 0, 1, \cdots , n. \quad (2.3) \]
where $\nu = \min(\nu_1, \nu_2)$.

For partition $\Delta$, we consider a nonlinear system of equations obtained by neglecting the truncation error of (2.1), as follows,

$$\xi_i = f_i + \sum_{j=0}^i w_i g(s_i, t_j, \xi_j) + \sum_{l=0}^n w_l h(s_i, t_l, \xi_l), \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n, \tag{2.4}$$

and suppose that the exact solution of nonlinear system (2.4) is $n$-tuple $(\xi_0^*, \xi_1^*, \ldots, \xi_n^*)$. In the following proposition, we seek for the conditions of vanishing $\|x^* - \xi^*\|_\infty$ where $x^*$ and $\xi^*$ are the following vectors:

$$x^* = (x_0^*, x_1^*, \ldots, x_n^*)^T, \quad \xi^* = (\xi_0^*, \xi_1^*, \ldots, \xi_n^*)^T.$$

**Proposition 2.1.** Suppose,

(i) $g(s, t, x(s)), h(s, t, x(s)) \in C([a, b] \times [a, b] \times \mathbb{R})$,

(ii) $g_x(s, t, x(s)), h_x(s, t, x(s))$ exist on $[a, b] \times [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma_1 < \frac{1}{b-a}$, $\gamma_2 < \frac{1}{b-a}$, where

$$\gamma_1 = \sup_{s, t \in [a, b]} |g_x(s, t, x(s))|, \quad \gamma_2 = \sup_{s, t \in [a, b]} |h_x(s, t, x(s))|,$$

Then

$$\|x^* - \xi^*\|_\infty \leq \frac{|O(h^\nu)|}{1 - (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)(b-a)}.$$  \tag{2.5}

**Proof.** Let

$$|x_p^* - \xi_p^*| = \|x^* - \xi^*\|_\infty,$$

in which $0 \leq p \leq n$. By (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$x_p^* - \xi_p^* = \sum_{j=0}^p w_{pj} (g(s_p, t_j, x_j^*) - g(s_p, t_j, \xi_j^*))$$

$$+ \sum_{l=0}^n w_l (h(s_p, t_l, x_l^*) - g(s_p, t_l, \xi_l^*)) + O(h^\nu).$$

According to $(ii)$

$$g(s_p, t_j, x_j^*) - g(s_p, t_j, \xi_j^*) = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(s_p, t_j, \eta_j)(x_j^* - \xi_j^*), \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots, n,$$

$$h(s_p, t_l, x_l^*) - h(s_p, t_l, \xi_l^*) = \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(s_p, t_l, \xi_l)(x_l^* - \xi_l^*), \quad l = 0, 1, \ldots, n,$$

where for each $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n$, $\eta_j$ and $\xi_j$ are real numbers between $x_j^*$ and $\xi_j^*$. Again by $(ii)$ and the above equalities, we conclude that

$$|x_p^* - \xi_p^*| \leq \gamma_1 \sum_{j=0}^p w_{pj} |x_j^* - \xi_j^*| + \gamma_2 \sum_{l=0}^n w_l |x_l^* - \xi_l^*| + |O(h^\nu)|$$

$$\leq \gamma_1 |x_p^* - \xi_p^*| \sum_{j=0}^p w_{pj} + \gamma_2 |x_p^* - \xi_p^*| \sum_{l=0}^n w_l + |O(h^\nu)|.$$
Since \( \sum_{j=0}^{p} w_{pj} \leq b - a \) and \( \sum_{l=0}^{n} w_{l} = b - a \), thus

\[
|x_{p}^{*} - \xi_{p}^{*}| \leq \frac{|O(h^{\nu})|}{1 - (\gamma_{1} + \gamma_{2})(b - a)}.
\]

Equation (2.5) leads to the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.2.** \( \|x^{*} - \xi^{*}\|_{\infty} \) vanishes when \( h \to 0 \).

So far, we came to the nonlinear equations system (2.4) with a special form that let us offer a numerical approach for detecting the approximate solution.

### 3. The Numerical Approach

Iterative methods are widely used for finding approximate solution of nonlinear equations systems [13]; The nonlinear equations system (2.4) also has a structure that permits to approximate its solution by an iterative method.

For this purpose, we apply a successive substitution, similar to Gauss-Seidel method of solving linear system of equations, and thereby define an iterative process leading to the sequence of vectors \( \{\xi^{(k)}\} \), where the components of the vectors satisfy the iteration formula,

\[
\xi_{i}^{(k+1)} = f_{i} + \sum_{j=0}^{i} w_{ij} g(s_{i}, t_{j}, \xi_{j}^{(k)}) + \sum_{l=0}^{n} w_{il} h(s_{i}, t_{l}, \xi_{l}^{(k)}), \quad (3.1)
\]

where \( i = 0, 1, \ldots, n \), \( k = 0, 1, \ldots \). However, we should first study the conditions that guarantee the convergence of the sequence \( \{\xi^{(k)}\} \).

**Theorem 3.1.** Considering assumptions of Proposition 2.1, the generating sequence \( \{\xi^{(k)}\} \) from the iteration process (3.1) converges to the exact solution of (2.4), say \( \xi^{*} \), for any arbitrary initial vector \( \xi^{(0)} \).

**Proof.** By (2.4) and (3.1) we have,

\[
\xi_{i}^{(k+1)} - \xi_{i}^{*} = \sum_{j=0}^{i} w_{ij} (g(s_{i}, t_{j}, \xi_{j}^{(k)}) - g(s_{i}, t_{j}, \xi_{j}^{*})) + \sum_{l=0}^{n} w_{il} (h(s_{i}, t_{l}, \xi_{l}^{(k)}) - h(s_{i}, t_{l}, \xi_{l}^{*})), \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n,
\]

and according to the condition (ii) of Proposition 2.1,

\[
\xi_{i}^{(k+1)} - \xi_{i}^{*} = \sum_{j=0}^{i} w_{ij} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(s_{i}, t_{j}, \eta_{j}^{(k)})(\xi_{j}^{(k)} - \xi_{j}^{*}) + \sum_{l=0}^{n} w_{il} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(s_{i}, t_{l}, \zeta_{l}^{(k)})(\xi_{l}^{(k)} - \xi_{l}^{*}), \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n,
\]
where \( \eta_j^{(k)} \) and \( \zeta_j^{(k)} \) are real numbers between \( \xi_j^{(k)} \) and \( \xi_j^* \) for \( j = 0, 1, \ldots, n \). Thus, for each \( i = 0, 1, \ldots, n \), one may obtain the following inequalities

\[
|\xi_i^{(k+1)} - \xi_i^*| \leq ||\xi^{(k)} - \xi^*||_\infty \sum_{j=0}^{i} w_{ij} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(s_i, t_j, \eta_j^{(k)})
+ ||\xi^{(k)} - \xi^*||_\infty \sum_{l=0}^{n} w_{il} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(s_i, t_l, \zeta_l^{(k)})
\leq \gamma_1 ||\xi^{(k)} - \xi^*||_\infty \sum_{j=0}^{i} w_{ij} + \gamma_2 ||\xi^{(k)} - \xi^*||_\infty \sum_{l=0}^{n} w_{il},
\]

where \( i = 0, 1, \ldots, n \). By setting \( \lambda_1 = \gamma_1 (b-a) \) and \( \lambda_2 = \gamma_2 (b-a) \) we conclude that

\[
||\xi^{(k+1)} - \xi^*||_\infty \leq \lambda_1 ||\xi^{(k)} - \xi^*||_\infty + \lambda_2 ||\xi^{(k)} - \xi^*||_\infty \leq \lambda ||\xi^{(k)} - \xi^*||_\infty,
\]
where \( \lambda = \max(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \). By induction on \( k \), we get

\[
||\xi^{(k+1)} - \xi^*||_\infty \leq \lambda^k ||\xi^{(0)} - \xi^*||_\infty,
\]

for each \( k = 0, 1, \ldots \). Since \( 0 < \lambda_1 < 1 \) and \( 0 < \lambda_2 < 1 \), thus \( 0 < \lambda < 1 \) and \( k \to +\infty \) implies that \( ||\xi^{(k+1)} - \xi^*||_\infty \) vanishes. \( \square \)

4. Algorithm of the Approach

In this section, we try to propose an algorithm on the basis of the above discussions and suppose that we face with the Volterra-Fredholm integral equation (1.1), where its kernels satisfy the conditions of Proposition 2.1. This algorithm is presented in two stages, initialization step and main steps.

Initialization step:
Choose \( \epsilon > 0 \), an equidistant partition \( \triangle = \{a = s_0 = t_0, s_1 = t_1, \ldots, s_{n-1} = t_{n-1}, s_n = t_n = b\} \) on \([a, b] \) with the step size \( \delta = s_{i+1} - s_i \), \( i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1 \) and an initial vector \( \xi^{(0)} = (\xi_0^{(0)}, \xi_1^{(0)}, \ldots, \xi_n^{(0)})^T \). Set \( k = 0 \) and go to the main steps.

Main steps:
Step 1. Compute \( \xi^{(k+1)} \) by (3.1), and go to Step 2.
Step 2. Compute \( ||\xi^{(k+1)} - \xi^{(k)}||_\infty \) and go to Step 3.
Step 3. If \( ||\xi^{(k+1)} - \xi^{(k)}||_\infty < \epsilon \), stop; Otherwise, set \( k = k + 1 \) and go to step 1.

In the next section we present some numerical examples to show the efficiency of this approach.

5. Numerical Examples

We assume that \( x^*(s) \) is the exact solution of Volterra-Fredholm integral equation (1.1) and \( \xi_i, i = 0, 1, \ldots, n \) be a solution obtained by applying the
given algorithm with a known $\epsilon > 0$ and partition $\Delta$. To compare the solutions we define a discrete error function

$$e_\Delta(s_i) = x^*(s_i) - \hat{\xi}(s_i), \ i = 0, 1, \ldots, n. \quad (5.1)$$

**Example 5.1.** In this example, we apply the given scheme to a Volterra-Fredholm integral equation as follows:

$$x(s) = e^s - \frac{s}{2}(e^{2s} + 1) + \int_0^s \frac{se^{2s}}{x^2(t)} dt + \int_0^1 stx(t) dt, \ s \in [0, 1].$$

This integral equation has analytical solution $x(s) = e^s$ on $[0, 1]$. We take $\epsilon = 10^{-6}$ and a partition with the discretization parameter $h = \frac{1}{100}$. The initial vector $\xi^{(0)} = 1$ is considered for starting algorithm. One can compare the exact and approximate solutions of the integral equation in Fig. 1. The error function (5.1) also can be seen in Fig. 2.

**Example 5.2.** In this example, a Volterra-Fredholm integral equation as follows:

$$x(s) = \frac{s^2}{12}(11 - 4s^2) + \int_0^s 4(s - t)x(t) dt + \int_0^{0.5} 2s^2x(t) dt, \ s \in [0, 0.5],$$
is considered. This integral equation has analytical solution \( x(s) = s^2 \) on \([0, 0.5]\). Taking \( \epsilon = 10^{-6}, h = \frac{1}{100} \) and \( \xi^{(0)} = 1 \). The exact and approximate solutions of the integral equation have been compared in Fig. 3. The error function (5.1) also can be seen in Fig. 4.

**Example 5.3.** In this example, a Volterra-Fredholm integral equation as follows:

\[
x(s) = \cos(2\pi s) - \frac{3s}{4} \sin(4\pi s) + \int_0^s 2\pi s \cos(2\pi s) x(t) dt \\
+ \int_0^{0.5} s \sin(4\pi s + 2\pi t) x(t) dt, \ s \in [0, 0.5],
\]

is considered where \( x(s) = \cos(2\pi s) \) is the analytical solution of integral equation on \([0, 0.5]\), \( \epsilon = 10^{-6}, h = \frac{1}{100} \) and \( \xi^{(0)} = 1 \). One can compare the exact and approximate solutions of the integral equation in Fig. 5. The error function (5.1) also can be seen in Fig. 6.
Figure 5. Circle-wise curve shows approximate solution and dash-dotted curve shows exact solution.

Figure 6. The error function of Example 5.3.

**Example 5.4.** Consider the nonlinear Volterra-Fredholm integral equation of Hammerstein type as follows [15]:

\[ x(s) = -\frac{1}{30}s^6 + \frac{1}{3}s^4 - s^2 + \frac{5}{3}s - \frac{5}{4} + \int_0^s (s-t)x^2(t)dt + \int_0^1 (t+s)x(t)dt, \quad s \in [0, 1]. \]

Considering the analytical solution \( x(s) = s^2 - 2 \) on \([0, 1]\), \( \epsilon = 10^{-6} \), \( h = \frac{1}{100} \) and \( \xi(0) = 1 \). One can observe comparison of the exact and approximate solutions of the integral equation in Fig. 7. The error function (5.1) also can be seen in Fig. 8.

**Example 5.5.** In this example, we apply our method to a Volterra-Fredholm integral equation as follows:

\[ x(s) = e^s(1-s) + \frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\sin^{-1}(e^s)}{4} + \int_0^s \frac{sx(t)}{1 + x^2(t)}dt + \int_0^1 ste^s x(t)dt, \quad s \in [0, 1], \]

where the analytical solution is \( x(s) = e^s \) on \([0, 1]\). Taking \( \epsilon = 10^{-6} \), \( h = \frac{1}{100} \) and \( \xi(0) = 1 \). One can compare the exact and approximate solutions of the integral equation in Fig. 9. Also the error function (5.1) has been shown in Fig. 10.
Figure 7. Circle-wise curve shows approximate solution and dash-dotted curve shows exact solution.

Figure 8. The error function of Example 5.4.

Figure 9. Circle-wise curve shows approximate solution and dash-dotted curve shows exact solution.

Figure 10. The error function of Example 5.5.
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