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Abstract. In this paper, we investigate the connection between closed Newton-Cotes formulae, trigonometrically-fitted methods, symplectic integrators and efficient integration of the Schrödinger equation. The study of multistep symplectic integrators is very poor although in the last decades several one step symplectic integrators have been produced based on symplectic geometry (see the relevant literature and the references here). In this paper, we study the closed Newton-Cotes formulae and we write them as symplectic multilayer structures. Based on the closed Newton-Cotes formulae, we also develop trigonometrically-fitted symplectic methods. An error analysis for the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation of the new developed methods and a comparison with previous developed methods is also given. We apply the new symplectic schemes to the well-known radial Schrödinger equation in order to investigate the efficiency of the proposed method to these type of problems.
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1. Introduction

It is of great interest the research area of development of numerical integration methods for ordinary differential equations that preserve qualitative properties of the analytic solution. In this paper, we consider Hamilton equations of motion which are linear in position $p$ and momentum $q$

\[ \dot{q} = mp \]
\[ \dot{p} = -mq \]  

(1.1)

where $m$ is a constant scalar or matrix. The Eq. (1.1) is an important one in the field of molecular dynamics.

In order to preserve the characteristics of the Hamiltonian system in the numerical approximation, it is necessary to use symplectic integrators. In the recent years work has been done mainly in the production of one step symplectic integrators (see [1]). Zhu et al. [26] have studied the symplectic integrators and the well-known open Newton-Cotes differential methods. They have presented the open Newton-Cotes differential methods as multilayer symplectic integrators. The construction of multistep symplectic integrators based on the open Newton-Cotes integration methods was investigated by Chiou and Wu [2]. The last decades much work has been done on exponential and trigonometrically fitting and the numerical solution of periodic initial value problems (see [3-20] and references therein). In this paper:

- We try to present closed Newton-Cotes differential methods as multilayer symplectic integrators.
- We apply the closed Newton-Cotes methods on the Hamiltonian system (1) and we obtain the result that the Hamiltonian energy of the system remains almost constant as the integration proceeds.
- The trigonometrically-fitted methods are developed.
- An error analysis for the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation of the new developed methods and a comparison with previous developed methods is also given.

We note that the aim of this paper is to generate methods that can be used for non-linear differential equations as well as linear ones. In Section 2 the results about symplectic matrices and schemes are presented. In Section 3 closed Newton-Cotes integral rules and differential methods are described and the new trigonometrically-fitted methods are developed. In Section 4 the conversion of the closed Newton-Cotes differential methods into multilayer symplectic structures is presented. The error analysis for the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation of the new developed methods and a comparison with previous developed methods is presented in Section 5. Finally, numerical results are presented in Section 6.
2. Basic Theory on Symplectic Schemes and Numerical Methods

Zhu et al. [26] have obtained a theory on symplectic numerical schemes and symplectic matrices in which the following basic theory is based.

Dividing an interval \([a, b]\) with \(N\) points we have

\[
x_0 = a, \quad x_n = x_0 + nh = b, \quad n = 1, 2, \cdots, N
\]

(2.1)

The above division leads to the following discrete scheme:

\[
\begin{pmatrix} p_{n+1} \\ q_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = M_{n+1} \begin{pmatrix} p_n \\ q_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{n+1} & b_{n+1} \\ c_{n+1} & d_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

(2.2)

We note that \(x\) is the independent variable and \(a\) and \(b\) in the equation for \(x_0\) (Eq. (2.1)) are different than the \(a\) and \(b\) in Eq. (2.2). Based on the above we can write the \(n\)-step approximation to the solution as

\[
\begin{pmatrix} p_n \\ q_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_n & b_n \\ c_n & d_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{n-1} & b_{n-1} \\ c_{n-1} & d_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \\ q_0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
= M_n M_{n-1} \cdots M_1 \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \\ q_0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Defining

\[
S = M_n M_{n-1} \cdots M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A_n & B_n \\ C_n & D_n \end{pmatrix}
\]

the discrete transformation can be written as

\[
\begin{pmatrix} p_{n+1} \\ q_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = S \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \\ q_0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

A discrete scheme (2.2) is a symplectic scheme if the transformation matrix \(S\) is symplectic. A matrix \(A\) is symplectic if \(A^T J A = J\) where

\[
J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

The product of symplectic matrices is also symplectic. Hence, if each matrix \(M_n\) is symplectic the transformation matrix \(S\) is symplectic. Consequently, the discrete scheme (2.1) is symplectic if each matrix \(M_n\) is symplectic.

3. Trigonometrically-fitted Closed Newton-Cotes Differential Methods

3.1. General closed Newton-Cotes formulae. The closed Newton-Cotes integral rules are given by

\[
\int_a^b f(x) \, dx \approx z h \sum_{i=0}^k t_i f(x_i)
\]

(3.1)
\begin{table}
\centering
\begin{tabular}{cccccc}
\hline
$k$ & $z$ & $t_0$ & $t_1$ & $t_2$ & $t_3$ \\
\hline
0 & 1 & 1 & & & \\
1 & 1/2 & 1 & 1 & & \\
2 & 1/3 & 1 & 4 & 1 & \\
3 & 3/8 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 1 \\
4 & 2/45 & 7 & 32 & 12 & 32 & 7 \\
5 & 5/288 & 19 & 75 & 50 & 50 & 75 & 19 \\
6 & 1/140 & 41 & 216 & 27 & 272 & 27 & 216 \\
7 & 7/17280 & 751 & 3577 & 1323 & 2989 & 2989 & 3577 \\
8 & 4/14175 & 989 & 5888 & -928 & 10496 & -4540 & 10496 \\
9 & 1/89600 & 25713 & 141669 & 9720 & 174096 & 52002 & 52002 \\
10 & 5/299376 & 427368 & -260550 & 272400 & -48525 & 106300 & 16067 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{The coefficient $z$ and its weights $t_i$ for $i=1,2,\ldots,5$}
\end{table}

\begin{table}
\centering
\begin{tabular}{cccccc}
\hline
$k$ & $z$ & $t_6$ & $t_7$ & $t_8$ & $t_9$ & $t_{10}$ \\
\hline
0 & 1 & & & & & \\
1 & 1/2 & & & & & \\
2 & 1/3 & & & & & \\
3 & 3/8 & & & & & \\
4 & 2/45 & & & & & \\
5 & 5/288 & & & & & \\
6 & 1/140 & 41 & & & & \\
7 & 7/17280 & 3577 & 751 & & & \\
8 & 4/14175 & -928 & 5888 & 989 & & \\
9 & 1/89600 & 174096 & 9720 & 141669 & 25713 & \\
10 & 5/299376 & 106300 & -48525 & 272400 & -260550 & 427368 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{The coefficient $z$ and its weights $t_i$ for $i=6,7,\ldots,10$}
\end{table}

Where
\[
h = \frac{b - a}{N}, \quad x_i = a + ih, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N. \tag{3.2}
\]

The coefficient $z$ as well as the weights $t_i$ are given in Tables 1,2. From tables 1 and 2 it is easy to see that the coefficients $t_i$ are symmetric, i.e., we have the following relation:
\[
t_i = t_{k-i}, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, \frac{k}{2}. \tag{3.3}
\]
Closed Newton-Cotes differential methods were produced from the integral rules. For Table 1 we have the following differential methods:

\[
\begin{align*}
    k = 1 & \quad y_{n+1} - y_n = \frac{h}{2} (f_{n+1} + f_n) \\
    k = 2 & \quad y_{n+1} - y_{n-1} = \frac{h}{3} (f_{n-1} + 4f_n + f_{n+1}) \\
    k = 3 & \quad y_{n+1} - y_{n-2} = \frac{3h}{8} (f_{n-2} + 3f_{n-1} + 3f_n + f_{n+1}) \\
    k = 4 & \quad y_{n+2} - y_{n-2} = \frac{2h}{45} (7f_{n-2} + 32f_{n-1} + 12f_n + 32f_{n+1} + f_{n+2}) \\
    k = 5 & \quad y_{n+2} - y_{n-3} = \frac{h}{140} (19f_{n-3} + 75f_{n-2} + 50f_{n-1} + 50f_n + 75f_{n+1} + 19f_{n+2}) \\
    & \quad \vdots \\
    k = 10 & \quad y_{n+5} - y_{n-5} = \frac{5h}{299376} (427368f_n - 260550 (f_{n+1} + f_{n-1}) + 272400 (f_{n+2} + f_{n-2}) - 48525 (f_{n+3} + f_{n-3}) + 160300 (f_{n+4} + f_{n-4}) + 16067 (f_{n+5} + f_{n-5}))
\end{align*}
\]

In the present paper we will investigate the case \( k = 10 \) and we will produce trigonometrically-fitted differential methods of order 12.

3.2. Trigonometrically-fitted closed Newton-Cotes differential method.

Requiring the differential scheme

\[
y_{n+5} - y_{n-5} = h \left( b_0 f_n + \sum_{i=1}^{5} b_i (f_{n+i} + f_{n-i}) \right)
\]

(3.5)

to be accurate for the following set of functions (we note that \( f_i = y'_i, \quad i = n-1, n, n+1 \)):

\[
\{1, x, x^2, x^3, x^4, x^5, x^6, x^7, x^8, x^9, \cos(\omega h), \sin(\omega h)\}
\]

(3.6)

the following set of equations is obtained:

\[
\begin{align*}
    2b_1 + 2b_2 + 2b_3 + 2b_4 + 2b_5 + b_0 &= 10 \\
    6b_1 + 24b_2 + 54b_3 + 96b_4 + 150b_5 &= 250 \\
    2560b_4 + 810b_3 + 160b_2 + 6250b_5 + 10b_1 &= 6250 \\
    10206b_3 + 57344b_4 + 14b_1 + 218750b_5 + 896b_2 &= 156250 \\
    118098b_3 + 4608b_2 + 1179648b_4 + 7031250b_5 + 18b_1 &= 3906250
\end{align*}
\]

(3.7)

\[-24 \sin(v) (\cos(v))^2 + 2 \sin(v) + 32 \sin(v) (\cos(v))^4 - 2b_4v - b_0v
- 8 (\cos(v))^3 b_3v - 32 b_5v (\cos(v))^5
- 16 b_4v (\cos(v))^4 + 40 (\cos(v))^3 b_5v - 10 \cos(v) b_5v - 4 (\cos(v))^2 b_2v
+ 16 (\cos(v))^2 b_4v + 6 \cos(v) b_3v - 2 b_1 \cos(v) v + 2 b_2v = 0\]
Solving the above system of equations we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
    b_0 &= \frac{1}{2268} a_0 T, \\
    b_1 &= \frac{1}{4536} a_1 T, \\
    b_2 &= \frac{1}{1134} a_2 T, \\
    b_3 &= \frac{1}{9072} a_3 T, \\
    b_4 &= \frac{1}{9072} a_4 T, \\
    b_5 &= \frac{1}{9072} a_5 T,
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_0 &= 169555 v \cos^5(v) + (426400 v - 571536 \sin(v)) \cos^4(v) \\
        &\quad - 145625 v \cos^3(v) + (-344200 v + 428652 \sin(v)) \cos^2(v) \\
        &\quad + 60275 v \cos(v) - 35721 \sin(v) + 12200 v, \\
    a_1 &= -275350 v \cos^5(v) + (-746875 v + 952560 \sin(v)) (\cos(v))^4 \\
        &\quad + 315125 v (\cos(v))^3 + (-501250 v - 714420 \sin(v)) (\cos(v))^2 \\
        &\quad - 64250 v \cos(v) + 59535 \sin(v) - 27575 v, \\
    a_2 &= 41675 v \cos^5(v) + (95000 v - 136080 \sin(v)) \cos^4(v) \\
        &\quad - 21625 v \cos^3(v) + (-95000 v + 102060 \sin(v)) \cos^2(v) \\
        &\quad + 20875 v \cos(v) - 12925 v + 25515 \sin(v), \\
    a_3 &= -116900 v \cos^5(v) + (-325625 v + 408240 \sin(v)) \cos^4(v) \\
        &\quad + 146125 v \cos^3(v) + (203750 v - 306180 \sin(v)) \cos^2(v) \\
        &\quad - 22000 v \cos(v) + 19295 v + 25515 \sin(v), \\
    a_4 &= 20225 v \cos^5(v) - 45360 v \sin(v) \cos^4(v) + 56125 v \cos^3(v) \\
        &\quad + (-95000 v + 34020 \sin(v)) \cos^2(v) + 38625 v \cos(v) \\
        &\quad - 5800 v - 2835 \sin(v), \\
    a_5 &= (-20225 v + 9072 \sin(v)) \cos^4(v) + 29225 v \cos^3(v) \\
        &\quad + (-21450 v - 6804 \sin(v)) \cos^2(v) + 12500 v \cos(v) \\
        &\quad - 2885 v + 567 \sin(v),
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[ T = v (\cos(v) - 1)^5. \]

For small values of \( v \) the above formulae are subject to heavy cancellations. In this case the following Taylor series expansions must be used:

\[
\begin{align*}
    b_0 &= \frac{89035}{12474} - \frac{673175}{648648} v^2 + \frac{19375}{2594592} v^4 + \frac{395515}{264648384} v^6, \\
    &\quad + \frac{173704325}{104265059} v^8 + \frac{55754004354048}{115700039110656} v^{10} + \frac{1839935}{19367733685} v^{12}, \\
    &\quad + \frac{253427925184}{14814979111} v^{14} - \frac{134430487042201878528}{8401905440137617408} v^{16} + \cdots,
\end{align*}
\]
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\[ b_1 = - \frac{24125}{5544} + \frac{3365875}{3891888} v^2 - \frac{96875}{521325295} v^4 - \frac{19777575}{155896304} v^6 + \cdots \]

\[ b_2 = \frac{28375}{6237} - \frac{3365875}{521325295} v^2 + \frac{96875}{7243216} v^4 + \frac{19777575}{278808032} v^6 + \cdots \]

\[ b_3 = - \frac{80875}{99792} + \frac{3365875}{163459296} v^2 - \frac{96875}{7243216} v^4 - \frac{19777575}{3345696384} v^6 + \cdots \]

\[ b_4 = \frac{132875}{74844} - \frac{3365875}{521325295} v^2 + \frac{96875}{326918592} v^4 + \frac{19777575}{3345696384} v^6 + \cdots \]

\[ b_5 = \frac{80335}{299376} + \frac{673175}{65387184} v^2 - \frac{19375}{204265059} v^4 - \frac{1839935}{6669139278} v^6 + \cdots \]

The local truncation error for the above differential method is given by

\[ LTE = - \frac{673175}{163459296} \left( y_n^{(13)} + \omega^2 y_n^{(11)} \right) h^{13}. \tag{3.8} \]

4. Closed Newton-Cotes Can be Expressed as Symplectic Integrators

**Theorem 4.1.** A discrete scheme of the form

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  b & -a \\
  a & b
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
  q_{n+1} \\
  p_{n+1}
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
  b & a \\
  -a & b
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
  q_n \\
  p_n
\end{pmatrix},
\tag{4.1}
\]

is symplectic.
Figure 1. Behavior of the coefficients $b_0$ and $b_1$ of the new method.

Figure 2. Behavior of the coefficients $b_2$ and $b_3$ of the new method.

Figure 3. Behavior of the coefficients $b_4$ and $b_5$ of the new method.
Proof. We rewrite (2.3) as

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
q_{n+1} \\
p_{n+1}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b & -a \\
a & b
\end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} b & a \\
-a & b
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_n \\
p_n
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Define

\[
M = \begin{pmatrix} b & -a \\
a & b
\end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} b & a \\
-a & b
\end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{b^2 + a^2} \begin{pmatrix} b^2 - a^2 & 2ab \\
-2ab & b^2 - a^2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and it can easily be verified that

\[
M^T J M = J
\]

thus the matrix \(M\) is symplectic. The symplectic structure of the well-known second order differential scheme (SOD) has been proven in [26] by Zhu et al.

\[
y_{n+i} - y_{n-i} = 2ihf_n, \quad i = 1(1)5
\]

(4.2)

The above methods have been produced by the simplest open Newton-Cotes integral formula. Based on the paper Chiou et al. [2], the closed Newton-Cotes differential schemes will be written as multilayer symplectic structures. If we apply the Newton-Cotes differential formula for \(n = 5\) to the linear Hamiltonian system (1.1) we obtain

\[
q_{n+5} - q_{n-5} = s \left( b_0 p_n + \sum_{i=1}^{5} b_i (p_{n+i} + p_{n-i}) \right),
\]

\[
p_{n+5} - p_{n-5} = s \left( b_0 q_n + \sum_{i=1}^{5} b_i (q_{n+i} + q_{n-i}) \right)
\]

(4.3)

where \(s = mh\), where \(m\) is defined in (1). From (3.4) we have

\[
q_{n+j} - q_{n-j} = 2js p_n,
\]

\[
p_{n+j} - p_{n-j} = -2js q_n, \quad j = 1(1)5, \quad \text{or} \quad j = \frac{1}{2}(1)\frac{5}{2}.
\]

(4.4)

Considering the approximation based on the first formula of (4.1) for \((n + 1)\)-step gives (taking into account the second formula of (4.1))

\[
q_{n+i} + q_{n-j} = (q_n + sp_{n+i-\frac{1}{2}}) + (q_n - sp_{n-i+\frac{1}{2}})
\]

\[
= q_{n+i-1} + q_{n-i+1} + s \left( p_{n+i-\frac{1}{2}} - p_{n-i+\frac{1}{2}} \right)
\]

\[
= (2 - i^2 s^2) q_n.
\]

(4.5)

Substituting (4.2)-(4.5) into (3.5) and considering that \(b_i = b_{5-i}, i = 0(1)4\), we have

\[
q_{n+5} - q_{n-5} = s \left[ b_5 (p_{n-5} + p_{n+5}) + b_4 (2 - 4^2 s^2) + b_3 (2 - 3^2 s^2)
\right.

\[
+ b_2 (2 - 2^2 s^2) + b_1 (2 - s^2) + b_0 \right] p_n,
\]

(4.6)
\[ p_{n+5} - p_{n-5} = s \left[ b_5 (q_{n-5} + q_{n+5}) + (b_4 (2 - 4^2 s^2) + b_3 (2 - 3^2 s^2) + b_2 (2 - 2^2 s^2) + b_1 (2 - s^2) + b_0) q_n \right], \]

and with (3.6) we have

\[ q_{n+5} - q_{n-5} = s \left[ b_5 (p_{n-5} + p_{n+5}) + (b_4 (2 - 4^2 s^2) + b_3 (2 - 3^2 s^2) + b_2 (2 - 2^2 s^2) + b_1 (2 - s^2) + b_0) \frac{q_{n+5} - q_{n-5}}{10 s} \right], \]

\[ p_{n+5} - p_{n-5} = s \left[ b_5 (q_{n-5} + q_{n+5}) + (b_4 (2 - 4^2 s^2) + b_3 (2 - 3^2 s^2) + b_2 (2 - 2^2 s^2) + b_1 (2 - s^2) + b_0) \frac{p_{n+5} - p_{n-5}}{10 s} \right], \]

which gives

\( (q_{n+5} - q_{n-5}) \cdot A = s b_0 (p_{n+5} + p_{n-5}), \)

\( (p_{n+5} - p_{n-5}) \cdot B = s b_0 (q_{n+5} + q_{n-5}). \)

where

\[ A = 1 - \frac{b_4 (2 - 4^2 s^2) + b_3 (2 - 3^2 s^2) + b_2 (2 - 2^2 s^2) + b_1 (2 - s^2) + b_0}{10}, \]

and

\[ B = 1 - \frac{b_4 (2 - 4^2 s^2) + b_3 (2 - 3^2 s^2) + b_2 (2 - 2^2 s^2) + b_1 (2 - s^2) + b_0}{10}. \]

The above formula in matrix form can be written as

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
T(s) & -s b_5 \\
\quad s b_5 & T(s)
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
q_{n+5} \\
p_{n+5}
\end{pmatrix}
=
\begin{pmatrix}
T(s) & s b_5 \\
-s b_5 & T(s)
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
q_{n-5} \\
p_{n-5}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where

\[ T(s) = 1 - \frac{b_4 (2 - 4^2 s^2) + b_3 (2 - 3^2 s^2) + b_2 (2 - 2^2 s^2) + b_1 (2 - s^2) + b_0}{10} \]

which is a discrete scheme of the form (3.3) and hence it is symplectic.

5. Error Analysis for the Radial Schrödinger Equation

In this section, we will investigate theoretically the methods constructed in [21, 22, 23, 24, 25] and in this paper. The scope of this investigation is to find a quantitative estimation for the extent of the accuracy gain to be expected from the exponentially-fitted versions.

**Definition 5.1.** A method is called classical if it has constant coefficients.

**Remark 5.2.** A trigonometrically-fitted method is not a classical one because it has coefficients which are dependent on the quantity \( v = \omega h \), where \( w \) is the frequency of the problem and \( h \) is the step length of the integration.
Consider the radial Schrödinger equation
\[
y''(x) = \left(\frac{l(l+1)}{x^2} + V(x) - k^2 \right) y(x) = f(x)y(x) \tag{5.1}\]
where \( f(x) = U(x) - k^2 \) and \( U(x) = l(l+1)/x^2 + V(x) \). We write \( f(x) \) in (5.1) in the form
\[
f(x) = g(x) + d, \tag{5.2}\]
where \( g(x) = U(x) - U_c = g \), where \( U_c \) is the constant approximation of the potential and \( G = \nu^2 = U_c - E \).

So, \( g(x) \) depends on the potential and the constant approximation of the potential while \( d \) shows the energy dependence. We will compare the following methods:
- The classical fourth order closed Newton-Cotes formulae (Method I).
- The classical sixth order closed Newton-Cotes formulae (Method II).
- The classical eighth order closed Newton-Cotes formulae (Method III).
- The closed Newton-Cotes formulae developed in [21] (Method IV).
- The closed Newton-Cotes formulae developed in [19] (Method V).
- The closed Newton-Cotes formulae developed in [23] (Method VI).
- The classical tenth order closed Newton-Cotes formulae (Method VII).
- The closed Newton-Cotes formulae developed in the paragraph 3.2, in [22] (Method VIII).
- The closed Newton-Cotes formulae developed in the paragraph 3.3 in [22] (Method IX).
- The closed Newton-Cotes formulae developed in this paper (Method X).

We now present the formulae of the local truncation error (LTE) for the above methods.

For the Method I is equal to:
\[
LTE_{MI} = -\frac{h^5}{90}y^{(5)}_n.\]

For the Method II is equal to:
\[
LTE_{MII} = -\frac{8h^5}{945}y^{(7)}_n.\]

For the Method III is equal to:
\[
LTE_{MIII} = -\frac{9h^9}{1400}y^{(9)}_n.\]

For the Method IV is equal to:
\[
LTE_{MIV} = -\frac{8h^9}{945}(y^{(7)}_n + \nu^2y^{(5)}_n).\]

For the Method V is equal to:
\[
LTE_{MV} = -\frac{h^5}{90}(y^{(5)}_n + \nu^2y^{(3)}_n).\]
For the Method VI is equal to:

\[ LTE_{MV I} = -\frac{9h^5}{1400}(y_n^{(9)} + 3\nu^2 y_n^{(7)} + 3\nu^4 y_n^{(5)} + \nu^6 y_n^{(3)}). \]

For the Method VII is equal to:

\[ LTE_{MV II} = -\frac{2368}{467775} y_n^{(11)}h^{11}. \]

For the Method VIII is equal to:

\[ LTE_{MV III} = -\frac{2368}{467775}(y_n^{(11)} + 3\nu^2 y_n^{(9)} + \nu^4 y_n^{(7)}) h^{11}. \]

For the Method IX is equal to:

\[ LTE_{MV IX} = -\frac{2368}{467775}(y_n^{(11)} + 5\nu^2 y_n^{(9)} + 4\nu^4 y_n^{(7)}) h^{11}. \]

For the new Method (Method X) is equal to:

\[ LTE_{MX} = -\frac{673175}{163459296}(y_n^{(13)} + \nu^2 y_n^{(11)}) h^{13}. \]

We express, now, the derivatives \( y^{(2)}, y^{(4)}, \ldots \) and \( y^{(13)} \) in terms of Eq. (5.1), ie.

\[ y_n^{(2)} = f(x)y(x), \]
\[ y_n^{(3)} = \left( \frac{d}{dx}g(x) \right) y(x) + (g(x) + d) \frac{d}{dx}y(x) \]
\[ y_n^{(5)} = \left( \frac{d^3}{dx^3}g(x) \right) y(x) + 3 \left( \frac{d^2}{dx^2}g(x) \right) \frac{d}{dx}y(x), \]
\[ +3 \left( \frac{d}{dx}g(x) \right) \frac{d^2}{dx^2}y(x) + (g(x) + d) \frac{d^3}{dx^3}y(x), \]

and etc. We note that \( g^{(n)} = U^{(n)}(x) \) for the \( n \)-th order derivative with respect to \( x \). Introducing the expressions obtained in (5.3) into the Local Truncation Error of the methods mentioned above, we obtain the expressions (as polynomials of \( d \)) for local truncation error of the methods. The leading terms (in \( d \)) of the above expressions are given by:

For the Method I is equal to:

\[ LTE_{MI} = h^5d^2 \left( -\frac{1}{90} \frac{d}{dx}y(x) \right). \]

For the Method II is equal to:

\[ LTE_{MII} = h^7d^3 \left( -\frac{8}{945} \frac{d}{dx}y(x) \right). \]

For the Method III is equal to:

\[ LTE_{MIII} = h^9d^4 \left( -\frac{9}{1400} \frac{d}{dx}y(x) \right). \]
For the Method IV is equal to:

\[ LTE_{\text{MIV}} = -\frac{8}{945} \left( g(x) \frac{d}{dx} y(x) + 5 \left( \frac{d}{dx} g(x) \right) y(x) \right) h^9 d^2. \]

For the Method V is equal to:

\[ LTE_{\text{MV}} = -\frac{1}{90} \left( \left( \frac{d}{dx} y(x) \right) g(x) + 3 \left( \frac{d}{dx} g(x) \right) y(x) \right) h^9 d. \]

For the Method VI is equal to:

\[
LTE_{\text{MVI}} = -\frac{9}{700} \left( 3 \left( \frac{d}{dx} g(x) \right) y(x) g(x) + 8 \left( \frac{d^3}{dx^3} g(x) \right) y(x) \\
+ 2 \left( \frac{d^2}{dx^2} g(x) \right) \frac{d}{dx} y(x) \right) h^9 d^2. 
\]

For the Method VII is equal to:

\[ LTE_{\text{MVII}} = h^{11} d^5 \left( -\frac{2368}{467775} \frac{d}{dx} y(x) \right). \]

For the Method VIII is equal to:

\[ LTE_{\text{MVIII}} = h^{11} d^4 \left( -\frac{4736}{467775} y(x) \frac{d}{dx} g(x) \right). \]

For the Method IX is equal to:

\[ LTE_{\text{MIX}} = \frac{2368}{155925} h^{11} d^4 \left( \frac{19}{3} \left( \frac{d}{dx} g(x) \right) y(x) + \left( \frac{d}{dx} y(x) \right) g(x) \right). \]

For the Method X is equal to:

\[ LTE_{\text{MX}} = -\frac{673175}{163459296} \left( g(x) \frac{d}{dx} y(x) + 11 \left( \frac{d}{dx} g(x) \right) y(x) \right) h^{13} d^5. \]

From the above equations we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 5.3.** For the Closed Newton-Cotes formulae studied in this paper we have:

- **Fourth Algebraic Order Methods**
  In the fourth algebraic order method MI the error increases as the second power of \( d \), while in the fourth algebraic order method MV the error increases as the first power of \( d \). So, for the numerical solution of the time independent radial Schrödinger equation the Method MV is more accurate, especially for large values of \( d \).

- **Sixth Algebraic Order Methods**
  In the sixth algebraic order method MIII the error increases as the third power of \( d \), while in the sixth algebraic order method MIV the error increases as the second power of \( d \). So, for the numerical solution of the time independent radial Schrödinger equation the Method MIV is more accurate, especially for large values of \( d \).
• **Eighth Algebraic Order Methods**

In the eighth algebraic order method MIII the error increases as the fourth power of \( d \), while in the eighth algebraic order method MVI the error increases as the second power of \( d \). So, for the numerical solution of the time independent radial Schrödinger equation new Method MVI is more accurate, especially for large values of \( d \).

• **Tenth Algebraic Order Methods**

In the tenth algebraic order method MVII the error increases as the fifth power of \( d \), while in the tenth algebraic order methods MVIII and MIX the error increases as the fourth power of \( d \). The coefficient of the fourth power of \( d \) in the Method MVIII is much lower than the coefficient of the fourth power of \( d \) in the Method MIX. So, for the numerical solution of the time independent radial Schrödinger equation new Method MVIII is the most accurate one, especially for large values of \( d \).

• **Twelfth Algebraic Order Methods**

In the twelfth algebraic order method MX the error increases as the fifth power of \( d \). So, for the numerical solution of the time independent radial Schrödinger equation new Method MX is the most accurate one, especially for large values of \( d \).

6. **Numerical Results**

In this section we present some numerical results to illustrate the performance of our new methods. Consider the numerical integration of the Schrödinger equation:

\[
y''(x) = \left( \frac{l(l+1)}{x^2} + V(x) - k^2 \right) y(x), \tag{6.1}\]

using the well-known Woods-Saxon potential which is given by

\[
V(x) = V_w = \frac{u_0}{1 + z} - \frac{u_0 z}{a(1 + z)^2}, \tag{6.2}\]

with \( z = \exp[(x - R_0)/a] \), \( u_0 = -50 \), \( a = 0.6 \), and \( R_0 = 7.0 \). In Fig. 2, we give a graph of this potential. In the case of negative eigenenergies (i.e. when \( E \in [-50, 0] \)) we have the well-known bound-states problem while in the case of positive eigenenergies (i.e. when \( E \in (0, 1000) \)) we have the well-known resonance problem. Many problems in chemistry, physics, physical chemistry, chemical physics, electronics etc., are expressed by Eq. (6.1).

6.1. **Resonance problem.** In the case of positive energies, \( E = k^2 \), the potential dies away faster than the term \( \frac{l(l+1)}{x^2} \) and the Schrödinger equation...
Figure 4. The Woods - Saxon potential.

effectively reduces to

\[ y''(x) = \left( k^2 - \frac{l(l+1)}{x^2} \right) y(x), \tag{6.3} \]

for \( x \) greater than some value \( X \). The last equation has two linearly independent solutions \( kxj_l(kx) \) and \( kxn_l(kx) \), where \( j_l(kx) \) and \( n_l(kx) \) are the spherical Bessel and Neumann functions respectively. Thus the solution of Eq. (6.1) has When \( (x \to \infty) \) the solution takes the asymptotic form

\[ y(x) \approx Akxj_l(kx) - Bkxn_l(kx) \approx D \left[ \sin(kx - \pi l/2) + \tan(\delta_l) \cos(kx - \pi l/2) \right], \tag{6.4} \]

where \( \delta_l \) is called scattering phase shift that may be calculated from the formula

\[ \tan(\delta_l) = \frac{y(x_i)S(x_{i+1}) - y(x_{i+1})S(x_i)}{y(x_{i+1})C(x_i) - y(x_i)C(x_{i+1})} \]

for \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) distinct points in the asymptotic region (we choose \( x_1 \) as the right-hand end point of the interval of integration and \( x_2 = x_1 - h \)) with \( S(x) = kxj_l(kx) \) and \( C(x) = -kxn_l(kx) \). Since the problem is treated as an initial-value problem, we need \( y_0 \) before starting a eight-step method. From the initial condition we obtain \( y_0 \). With these starting values we evaluate at \( x_1 \) of the asymptotic region the phase shift \( \delta_l \) from the above relation.

6.1.1. The woods-saxon potential. As a test for the accuracy of our methods we consider the numerical integration of the Schrödinger equation (6.1) with \( l = 0 \) in the well-known case where the potential \( V(r) \) is the Woods-Saxon one (6.2). One can investigate the problem considered here, following two procedures. The first procedure consists of finding the phase shift \( \delta(E) = \delta_l \) for \( E \in [1, 1000] \).
The second procedure consists of finding those $E$, for $E \in [1, 1000]$, at which $\delta$ equals $\pi/2$. In our case we follow the first procedure i.e. we try to find the phase shifts for given energies. The obtained phase shift is then compared to the analytic value of $\pi/2$. The above problem is the so-called resonance problem when the positive eigenenergies lie under the potential barrier. We solve this problem, using the technique fully described in [1]. The boundary conditions for this problem are:

$$y(0) = 0, \quad y(x) \approx \cos(\sqrt{Ex}), \quad \text{for large } x. \quad (6.5)$$

The domain of numerical integration is $[0, 15]$.

For comparison purposes in our numerical illustration we use the following methods:

- The well known Numerov’s method (which is indicated as Method A).
- The exponentially-fitted method of Raptis and Allison [11] (which is indicated as Method B).
- The P-stable exponentially-fitted Method developed by Kalogiratou and Simos [6] (which is indicated as Method C).
- The four-step method developed by Henrici [3] (which is indicated as Method D).
- The Newton-Cotes trigonometrically-fitted formula developed in [20] (which is indicated as Method E).
- The Newton-Cotes trigonometrically-fitted formula developed in [23] (which is indicated as Method F).
- The Newton-Cotes trigonometrically-fitted formula developed in [24] (which is indicated as Method G).
- The Newton-Cotes exponentially-fitted method developed in [22] (which is indicated as Method H).
- The new proposed trigonometrically-fitted method (which is indicated as Method J).

The numerical results obtained for the six methods, with several number of function evaluations (NFE), were compared with the analytic solution of the Woods-Saxon potential resonance problem, rounded to six decimal places. Figure shows the errors $Err = -10\log_{10}[E_{\text{calculated}} - E_{\text{analytical}}]$ of the highest eigenenergy $E_3 = 989.701916$ for several values of NFE, where NFE are the Number of Function Evaluations.

7. Conclusions

In this paper a new high order closed Newton-Cotes differential method for the numerical solution of the Schrödinger type equations is introduced.

From the numerical results we have the following remarks:
The Numerovs method and the exponentially-fitted method of Raptis and Allison [11] have better behavior than the P-stable exponentially-fitted method developed by Kalogiratou and Simos [6].

The exponentially-fitted method of Raptis and Allison [11] is more efficient than the well known Numerov method.

The four-step method developed by Henrici [3] has better behavior than all the previous mentioned methods. The Newton-Cotes trigonometrically-fitted formula developed in [20] has better behavior than all the above methods.

The Newton-Cotes trigonometrically-fitted formula developed in [22] is more efficient than all the above methods. The behavior of the Newton-Cotes trigonometrically-fitted formula developed in [24] is better than all the above methods.

The new proposed trigonometrically-fitted method is more efficient than all the above methods.

Finally, the new developed exponentially-fitted method is the most efficient one.

Remark 7.1. As the theoretical and numerical results show us, for the development of numerical methods for the approximate solution of the radial Schrödinger equation, the exponentially-fitted methodology gives much more efficient methods than the trigonometrically-fitted methodology.
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