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1. Introduction

Finding integral solutions of Diophantine equations has a long history [1, 2, 3, 11]. Elliptic curves over rational numbers are special types of these equations. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ and $E(\mathbb{Q})$ be its Mordell-Weil group over $\mathbb{Q}$ which is a finitely generated abelian group. The rank of the free part of $E(\mathbb{Q})$ as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module is called the rank of $E$ over $\mathbb{Q}$.

In our previous paper[4], we considered the family of elliptic curves of the form $E_p: y^2 = x^3 - 3px$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, where $p$ is a prime number. In this paper we
consider the family of elliptic curves over \( \mathbb{Q} \) given by the equation
\[
E_{pq} : y^2 = x^3 - pqx,
\]
where \( p \) and \( q \) are distinct primes \( \neq 2, 3 \). Using Selmer groups we first find an upper bound for the rank of this family. Then using the Parity conjecture, we refine our result and find infinite families of elliptic curves which conjecturally have rank zero. Finally we provide sufficient conditions on \( p \) and \( q \), for the elliptic curves \( y^2 = x^3 - pqx \) to have rank two, three and four. We also show that conjecturally, there exist infinitely many such primes.

2. Computing Selmer Groups and Proof of the Main Result

Let \( E \) and \( E' \) be elliptic curves defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \), and \( \varphi : E \rightarrow E' \) be a non zero 2-isogeny. Then we have the following commutative diagram:
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rightarrow & E'(\mathbb{Q})/\varphi(E(\mathbb{Q})) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & \prod_v E'(\mathbb{Q}_v)/\varphi(E(\mathbb{Q}_v)) \rightarrow \prod_v H^1(\mathbb{Q}_v, E[\varphi]) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E[\varphi]) \rightarrow 0
\end{array}
\]
where \( H^1(\mathbb{Q}_v, -) \) denotes \( H^1(\mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{Q}_v)/\mathbb{Q}, -) \) and \( \delta \) is the connecting homomorphism. \( \varphi \)-Selmer group is then defined as
\[
S^{(\varphi)}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = \text{Ker}\{H^1(\mathbb{Q}^*(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q}), E[\varphi]) \rightarrow \prod_v H^1(\mathbb{Q}_v, E)\}
\]
and the Shafarevich-Tate group \( \text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \) is
\[
\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = \text{Ker}\{H^1(\mathbb{Q}^*(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q}), E) \rightarrow \prod_v H^1(\mathbb{Q}_v, E)\}
\]
Using the dual isogeny \( \hat{\varphi} : E' \rightarrow E \), \( S^{(\hat{\varphi})}(E'/\mathbb{Q}) \) and \( \text{III}(E'/\mathbb{Q})[\hat{\varphi}] \) are similarly defined. We have the following relation
\[
\text{rank}E(\mathbb{Q}) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} S^{(\hat{\varphi})}(E'/\mathbb{Q}) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{III}(E'/\mathbb{Q})[\hat{\varphi}] + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} S^{(\varphi)}(E/\mathbb{Q}) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[\varphi] - 2. \tag{2.1}
\]
In our case, we use \( E_{pq}' : y^2 = x^3 + 4pqx \) and the 2-isogeny \( \varphi : E_{pq} \rightarrow E'_{pq} \) defined by
\[
\varphi(x, y) = \left(\frac{y^2}{x^2}, -y(pq + x^2)/x^2\right).
\]
For computing Selmer groups, we use proposition X.4.9 in [14]. Thus assuming \( S = \{\infty, 2, p, q\} \subseteq M_{\mathbb{Q}} \),
\[
\mathbb{Q}(S, 2) = \{b \in \mathbb{Q}^*/\langle \mathbb{Q}^* \rangle^2 ; \text{ord}_v(b) \equiv 0 (\text{mod } 2) \text{ for all } v \notin S\}
\]
and for
\[
C_d : dy^2 = d^2 + 4pqx^4, \\
C'_d : dy^2 = d^2 - pqx^4,
\]
we have the following identifications:
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$S^{(\psi)}(E_{pq}/Q) \simeq \{ d \in \mathbb{Q}(S, 2) : C_d(Q_l) \neq \phi \text{ for all } l \in S \}$,

$S^{(\hat{\psi})}(E_{pq}/Q) \simeq \{ d \in \mathbb{Q}(S, 2) : C_d'(Q_l) \neq \phi \text{ for all } l \in S \}$.

Note that $\{ \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm q, \pm p, \pm 2q, \pm 2p, \pm q, \pm 2pq \}$ is a complete set of representatives for $Q(S, 2)$. We identify this set with $Q(S, 2)$.

**Proposition 2.1.** We have:

1. $\{ 1, pq \} \subseteq S^{(\psi)}(E_{pq}/Q)$;
2. If $d < 0$ then $d \notin S^{(\psi)}(E_{pq}/Q)$;
3. $2 \in S^{(\psi)}(E_{pq}/Q)$ iff $(\frac{2}{p}) = (\frac{2}{q}) = 1$ and $pq \equiv 1, 7, 15 \pmod{16}$;
4. $p \in S^{(\psi)}(E_{pq}/Q)$ iff $(\frac{2}{p}) = 1$ and $|p| \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ or $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$; 
5. $2p \in S^{(\psi)}(E_{pq}/Q)$ iff $(\frac{2p}{q}) = (\frac{2q}{p}) = 1$ and $p + q \equiv 0, 2, 8 \pmod{16}$;

**Proof.** Using the identification in lemma we have $\{ 1, pq \} \subseteq S^{(\psi)}(E/Q)$. On the other hand $C_d(R) = \phi$ for $d < 0$, and $C_d(R) \neq \phi$ for $d > 0$.

For $d = 2$, we have:

$C_2(Q_2) \neq \phi \iff pq \equiv 1, 7, 15 \pmod{16}$,

$C_2(Q_q) \neq \phi \iff (\frac{2}{q}) = 1 \iff q \equiv 1, 7 \pmod{8}$,

$C_2(Q_p) \neq \phi \iff (\frac{2}{p}) = 1 \iff p \equiv 1, 7 \pmod{8}$.

For $d = p$, we have:

$C_p(Q_2) \neq \phi \iff [q \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ or } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}]$,

$C_p(Q_q) \neq \phi \iff (\frac{2}{q}) = 1$,

$C_p(Q_p) \neq \phi \iff (\frac{2}{p}) = 1$.

For $d = 2p$, we have:

$C_{2p}(Q_2) \neq \phi \iff p + q \equiv 0, 2, 8 \pmod{16}$,

$C_{2p}(Q_q) \neq \phi \iff (\frac{2p}{q}) = 1 \iff p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$,

$C_{2p}(Q_p) \neq \phi \iff (\frac{2p}{q}) = 1$.

Since $pq \in S^{(\psi)}(E_{pq}/Q)$ we conclude that:

$q \in S^{(\psi)}(E_{pq}/Q) \iff p \in S^{(\psi)}(E_{pq}/Q)$,

$2pq \in S^{(\psi)}(E_{pq}/Q) \iff 2 \in S^{(\psi)}(E_{pq}/Q)$,

$2p \in S^{(\psi)}(E_{pq}/Q) \iff 2q \in S^{(\psi)}(E_{pq}/Q)$. 

This completes the proof. □

Proposition 2.2. We have

1. \( \{1, -pq\} \subseteq S^{(\hat{\varphi})}(E_{pq}^*/\mathbb{Q}) \);
2. \(-1 \in S^{(\hat{\varphi})}(E_{pq}^*/\mathbb{Q}) \) iff \( p \equiv q \equiv 1(\text{mod } 4) \) and \( pq \equiv 1, 5, 9(\text{mod } 16) \);
3. \( \pm 2 \not\in S^{(\hat{\varphi})}(E_{pq}^*/\mathbb{Q}) \);
4. \( p \in S^{(\hat{\varphi})}(E_{pq}^*/\mathbb{Q}) \) iff \( \left( \frac{-2}{p} \right) = \left( \frac{2}{q} \right) = 1 \) and one of the following conditions hold:
   - \( p \equiv 1(\text{mod } 8) \)
   - \( q \equiv 7(\text{mod } 8) \)
   - \( p - q \equiv 0, 4(\text{mod } 16) \)
5. \( q \in S^{(\hat{\varphi})}(E_{pq}^*/\mathbb{Q}) \) iff \( \left( \frac{-2}{q} \right) = \left( \frac{2}{p} \right) = 1 \) and one of the following conditions hold:
   - \( q \equiv 1(\text{mod } 8) \)
   - \( p \equiv 7(\text{mod } 8) \)
   - \( q - p \equiv 0, 4(\text{mod } 16) \)
6. \( \pm 2p \not\in S^{(\hat{\varphi})}(E_{pq}^*/\mathbb{Q}) \);

Proof. It is clear from definition that \( \{1, -pq\} \subseteq S^{(\hat{\varphi})}(E_{pq}^*/\mathbb{Q}) \). Next suppose that \( d = 2k \) with \( k = \pm 1, \pm q, \pm p \) and \( C_{2k}'(Q_2) \neq \phi \). Taking the valuation \( v_2 \) at 2 of both sides, we obtain a contradiction.

For \( d = -1 \), we have:

\[
C_{-1}'(Q_2) \neq \phi \iff pq \equiv 1, 5, 9(\text{mod } 16)
\]

\[
C_{-1}'(Q_p) \neq \phi \iff p \equiv 1(\text{mod } 4).
\]

\[
C_{-1}'(Q_q) \neq \phi \iff q \equiv 1(\text{mod } 4).
\]

For \( d = p \) we have:

\[
C_p'(Q_2) \neq \phi \iff [p - q \equiv 0, 4(\text{mod } 16) \text{ or } p \equiv 1(\text{mod } 8) \text{ or } q \equiv 7(\text{mod } 8)]
\]

\[
C_p'(Q_q) \neq \phi \iff \left( \frac{p}{q} \right) = 1,
\]

\[
C_p'(Q_p) \neq \phi \iff \left( \frac{-2}{p} \right) = 1.
\]

For \( d = q \), similar to case \( d = p \) we get the desired result.

Since \(-pq \in S^{(\hat{\varphi})}(E_{pq}^*/\mathbb{Q}) \) we conclude that:

\[
p \in S^{(\hat{\varphi})}(E_{pq}^*/\mathbb{Q}) \iff -q \in S^{(\hat{\varphi})}(E_{pq}^*/\mathbb{Q}),
\]

\[
-p \in S^{(\hat{\varphi})}(E_{pq}^*/\mathbb{Q}) \iff q \in S^{(\hat{\varphi})}(E_{pq}^*/\mathbb{Q}).
\]
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\[ pq \in S(\hat{\varphi})(E'_{pq}/\mathbb{Q}) \iff -1 \in S(\hat{\varphi})(E'_{pq}/\mathbb{Q}). \]

This completes the proof. \qed

**Theorem 2.3.** We have the following facts about the rank of $E_{pq}(\mathbb{Q})$:

(i) $\text{rank}(E_{pq}(\mathbb{Q})) \leq 4$.

(ii) If $(p, q) \equiv (3, 11), (3, 15) \pmod{16}$ and $\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = 1$, then $\text{rank}(E_{pq}(\mathbb{Q})) = 0$.

(iii) If $(p, q) \equiv (1, 3), (1, 11), (3, 9), (3, 11), (5, 7), (5, 9), (5, 15), (7, 13), (9, 11), (13, 15) \pmod{48}$ and $\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = -1$, then $\text{rank}(E_{pq}(\mathbb{Q})) = 0$.

3. Calculation of the Root Number

In this section, we first recall the concept of the root number and then use Parity conjecture to refine our result in the previous section. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ and $n_p$ be the number of points in the reduction of curve modulo $p$. Also let $a_p = p + 1 - n_p$. The local part of the $L$-series of $E$ at $p$ is defined as

\[ L_p(T) = \begin{cases} 
1 - a_p T + pT^2 & \text{if $E$ has good reduction at $p$}, \\
1 - T & \text{if $E$ has split multiplicative reduction at $p$}, \\
1 + T & \text{if $E$ has non-split multiplicative reduction at $p$}, \\
1 & \text{if $E$ has additive reduction at $p$}.
\end{cases} \]

**Definition 3.1.** The $L$-series of $E$ is defined to be

\[ L(E, s) = \prod_p \frac{1}{L_p(p^{-s})} \]

where the product is over all primes.

**Theorem 3.2.** The $L$-series $L(E, s)$ has an analytic continuation to the entire complex plane, and it satisfies the functional equation

\[ \Lambda(E, s) = \epsilon(E) \Lambda(E, 2 - s), \]

where

\[ \Lambda(E, s) = (N_{E/\mathbb{Q}})^{s/2}(2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s)L(E, s), \]

$N_{E/\mathbb{Q}}$ is the conductor of $E$ and $\Gamma$ is the Gamma function. Here $\epsilon(E) = \pm 1$ is called the global root number of $E$.

The Parity conjecture states that

\[ \epsilon(E) = (-1)^{r_E} \quad (3.1) \]

where $r_E$ denotes the rank of Mordell-Weil group of $E$. On the other hand, $\epsilon(E)$ can be expressed as a product $\prod_l \epsilon_l(E)$ taken over all places of $\mathbb{Q}$, each local root number $\epsilon_l(E)$ being defined in terms of representations of Weil-Deligne group of $\mathbb{Q}_l$. We recall some facts from [12].

**Proposition 3.3.** Let $l$ be any prime of $\mathbb{Q}$. Then
(1) If $E$ is any elliptic curve over $\mathbb{R}$, then $\epsilon_{\infty}(E) = -1$.
(2) If $E/\mathbb{Q}$ has good reduction, then $\epsilon_l(E) = 1$.
(3) If $E/\mathbb{Q}$ has multiplicative reduction, $\epsilon_l(E) = -1$ if and only if the reduction is split.
(4) If $E/\mathbb{Q}$ has additive, potentially multiplicative reduction then for $l > 2$, $\epsilon_l(E) = (-1/l)$ and for $l = 2$, $\epsilon_2(E) = -c_6/2^{v_2(c_6)}$ mod 4.
(5) If $E/\mathbb{Q}$ has additive, potentially good reduction with $l > 3$ and $e = 12/gcd(v_l(\Delta), 12)$, then

$$
\epsilon_l(E) = \begin{cases} 
(-1/l) & \text{if } e = 2 \text{ or } 6 \\
(-3/l) & \text{if } e = 3 \\
(-2/l) & \text{if } e = 4
\end{cases}
$$

(6) If $E/\mathbb{Q}$ has additive, potentially good reduction with $l = 3$ (resp. $l = 2$) and $E$ is given in minimal form, then $\epsilon_l(E)$ depends only on the $l$-adic expansion of $c_4, c_6, \text{ and } \Delta$; if $E$ is given in minimal Weierstrass form, $\epsilon_l(E)$ can be read from table II of [6].

**Proposition 3.4.** For any prime $l$, if $E/\mathbb{Q}$ is in minimal Weierstrass form, then its reduction is: good if and only if $v_l(\Delta) = 0$, multiplicative if and only if $v_l(\Delta) > 0$ and $v_l(c_4) = 0$, additive if and only if $v_l(\Delta) > 0$ and $v_l(c_4) > 0$, in the last case, the reduction is potentially multiplicative if and only if $v_l(\Delta) > 3v_l(c_4)$.

For the elliptic curve $E_{pq}$ in the family, we have $\Delta_{E_{pq}} = 2^6 \times p^3 \times q^3$. In particular, $y^2 = x^3 - pqx$ is in global minimal Weierstrass form. In this case the reduction of $E_{pq}$ is additive, potentially good at $2, p$ and $q$, and good at all other primes.

**Proposition 3.5.** For elliptic curve $E_{pq}$ : $y^2 = x^3 - pxq$, we have

$$
\epsilon(E_{pq}) = \begin{cases} 
+1 & \text{if } pq \equiv 1, 3, 11, 13 \pmod{16} \\
-1 & \text{if } pq \equiv 5, 7, 9, 15 \pmod{16}
\end{cases}
$$

**Proof.** Let $\epsilon_l(E_{pq})$ denote the local root number at $l$. Therefore from proposition 3.3 and above discussion, we have

$$
\epsilon_2(E_{pq}) = \begin{cases} 
+1 & \text{if } pq \equiv 9, 13 \pmod{16} \\
-1 & \text{if } pq \equiv 1, 3, 5, 7, 11, 15 \pmod{16}
\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\epsilon_p(E_{pq}) = (\sqrt{-2}/p) = \begin{cases} 
+1 & \text{if } p \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8} \\
-1 & \text{if } p \equiv 5, 7 \pmod{8}
\end{cases}
$$

and, finally
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\[
e_q(E_{pq}) = \left(\frac{-2}{q}\right) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } q \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8} \\ -1 & \text{if } q \equiv 5, 7 \pmod{8} \end{cases}
\]

The assertion now follows. \hfill \Box

Remark 3.6. If the parity conjecture holds true in the family, then

1. If $(p, q) \equiv (5, 7), (5, 15), (7, 11), (13, 15) \pmod{16}$ and $(\frac{2}{p}) = 1$, then $\text{rank}(E_{pq}(\mathbb{Q})) = 0$.
2. If $(p, q) \equiv (1, 13), (7, 11), (15, 15) \pmod{16}$ and $(\frac{2}{p}) = -1$, then $\text{rank}(E_{pq}(\mathbb{Q})) = 0$.
3. If $pq \equiv 5, 7, 9, 15 \pmod{16}$, then $\text{rank}(E_{pq}(\mathbb{Q})) > 0$.

4. Infinite Family with Non-zero Rank

Now, following [9, 15] we try to find elliptic curves with maximal rank in the family. Using the homomorphism

\[\alpha : E_{pq}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^\times / \mathbb{Q}^\times 2,\]

which is defined by

\[\alpha(P) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}^\times 2 & \text{if } P = O \\ -pq\mathbb{Q}^\times 2 & \text{if } P = (0, 0) \\ x\mathbb{Q}^\times 2 & \text{if } P = (x, y) \neq (0, 0), O \end{cases}\]

we have the following exact sequence

\[0 \rightarrow \varphi(E'_{pq}(\mathbb{Q})) \rightarrow E_{pq}(\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{Q}^\times / \mathbb{Q}^\times 2\]

as well as the corresponding result for the dual isogeny:

\[0 \rightarrow \varphi(E_{pq}(\mathbb{Q})) \rightarrow E'_{pq}(\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbb{Q}^\times / \mathbb{Q}^\times 2.\]

So $i\alpha \simeq \frac{E_{pq}(\mathbb{Q})}{\varphi(E_{pq}(\mathbb{Q}))}$ and $i\beta \simeq \frac{E'_{pq}(\mathbb{Q})}{\varphi(E_{pq}(\mathbb{Q}))}$. As mentioned in [9], The images of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ can be described as follows: $WC(E_{pq}'/\mathbb{Q}) := i\alpha$ consists of all classes $b_1\mathbb{Q}^\times 2$, where $b_1$ is a squarefree integer such that

\[N^2 = b_1 M^4 + b_2 e^4, \quad b_1 b_2 = -pq \quad (4.1)\]

has a nontrivial solution $N, M, e \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(M, e) = (N, e) = 1$. The equation (4.1) is called a torsor of $E/\mathbb{Q}$ and is denoted by $T(\varphi)(b_1)$. Similarly, $WC(E_{pq}/\mathbb{Q}) := i\beta$ consists of all classes $b_1\mathbb{Q}^\times 2$, where $b_1$ is a squarefree integer such that

\[T(\varphi)(b_1) : N^2 = b_1 M^4 + b_2 e^4, \quad b_1 b_2 = 4pq \quad (4.2)\]

has a nontrivial solution in integers $N, M, e \in \mathbb{N}$. It is easy to see that every rational point $P \neq O$ on $E_{pq}$ has the form $P = (m/e^2, n/e^3)$ for integers $n, m, e \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(m, e) = (n, e) = 1$, and by definition we have $\alpha(P) = m\mathbb{Q}^\times 2$; moreover, it can be shown that the corresponding torsor
The following conjecture due to Schinzel and Sierpinski [13] implies that there exist infinitely many such primes.

Proposition 4.1. If \( p = 1 + 4x_i^2 + y_i^2 - 2b^2 \) and \( q = p + 4b^2 \), then \( \text{rank}(E_{pq}/Q) \geq 2 \).

Proof. First we see that \( q - p = 4b^2 \), thus \((M, N, e) = (1, 1, 2b)\) is a solution of \( T^{(\phi)}(q) \) so \( q \in WC(E_{pq}/Q) \), so that \( \{1, -pq, q, -p\} \subseteq WC(E_{pq}/Q) \). On the other hand \( pq - (2x_i b)^4 = (1 + 4x_i^2 - b^4)^2 \), which implies that \( pq \in WC(E_{pq}/Q) \). From these we get \( WC(E_{pq}/Q) = \{1, -pq, q, -p, pq, -1, -q, p\} \). Now our assertion follows from 2.1 and (4.4).

\( \square \)

Corollary 4.2. If \( p = (5 + b^4) - 2b^2 \) and \( q = p + 4b^2 \), then \( r_{p,q} = 3 \).

Proof. The last proposition with \( x_i = 1 \) implies that

\[ WC(E_{pq}/Q) = \{1, -pq, q, -p, pq, -1, -q, p\}. \]

Now if we let \( x = b + 1 \), then \( 4p + qx^4 = (p + 4b^2x^2)^2 \). Therefore \((M, N, e) = (1, x, p + 2b^2x^2)\) is a solution of \( T^{(\phi)}(4p) \) so \( 4p \in WC(E_{pq}/Q) \), thus \( \{1, pq, p, q\} \subseteq WC(E_{pq}/Q) \).

\( \square \)

Corollary 4.3. Under the assumption of proposition 4.1, if \( 1 + 4x_i^2 + y_i^2 \) is a square, then \( r_{p,q} \geq 3 \).

Proof. From the proposition, we know that

\[ WC(E_{pq}/Q) = \{1, -pq, q, -p, pq, -1, -q, p\}. \]

Now if there exists \( y \) such that \( 1 + 4x_i^2 + b^4 = y^2 \), then \( p + q = 2y^2 \), therefore \((M, N, e) = (1, 1, 2y)\) is a solution of \( T^{(\phi)}(p) \) so \( p \in WC(E_{pq}/Q) \), thus \( \{1, pq, 2p, 2q\} \subseteq WC(E_{pq}/Q) \).

\( \square \)

Corollary 4.4. If \( p = (1 + 8b_1^2)^2 - 8b_1^2 \) and \( q = p + 16b_1^2 \), then \( r_{p,q} = 4 \).

Proof. By letting \( x_i = 2b_1^2 \) and \( b = 2b_1 \) in corollary 4.3, we get \( WC(E_{pq}/Q) = \{1, -pq, q, -p, pq, -1, -q, p\} \) and \( \{1, pq, 2p, 2q\} \subseteq WC(E_{pq}/Q) \). On the other hand, we have \( 4p(2b_1^2)^4 + q(1 + 2b_1)^4 = (2(2b_1^2)^4 + \frac{(1+2b_1)^4}{2})^2 \), therefore \((M, N, e) = (2b_1^2, 1 + 2b_1, 2(2b_1^2)^4 + \frac{(1+2b_1)^4}{2})\) is a solution of \( T^{(\phi)}(4p) \) so \( p \in WC(E_{pq}/Q) \), thus \( \{1, pq, 2p, 2q, p, 2, q, 2pq\} \subseteq WC(E_{pq}/Q) \), and the rank is maximal.

\( \square \)

The following conjecture due to Schinzel and Sierpinski [13] implies that there exist infinitely many such primes.
Conjecture 4.5. Let \( f_1(x), f_2(x), \ldots, f_m(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \) be irreducible polynomials with positive leading coefficients. Assume that there exists no integer \( n > 1 \) dividing \( f_1(k), f_2(k), \ldots, f_m(k) \) for all integers \( k \). Then there exist infinitely many positive integers \( l \) such that each of the numbers \( f_1(l), f_2(l), \ldots, f_m(l) \) is prime.

We can see that \( f(x) = 64x^8 + 16x^4 - 8x^2 + 1 \) and \( g(x) = 64x^8 + 16x^4 + 8x^2 + 1 \) satisfy the assumption of the conjecture with \( m = 2 \). So there exist infinitely many positive integers \( l \), such that \( f(l) \) and \( g(l) \) are prime numbers. So there exist infinitely many elliptic curves \( y^2 = x^3 - pqx \) with rank four. The following table gives some values for \( b_1 \) with \( p = (1 + 8b_1^4)^2 - 8b_1^2 \) and \( q = (1 + 8b_1^4)^2 + 8b_1^2 \) prime, which results in \( E_{pq} \) of rank exactly four.

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( b_1 )</th>
<th>( p )</th>
<th>( q )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>274878953473</td>
<td>274878957569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>130825015677259489</td>
<td>130825015677367073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>251941684568745673</td>
<td>251941684568872409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>7942267523567796169</td>
<td>7942267523568096473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>292</td>
<td>3382538789388030027649</td>
<td>3382538789388031391873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>337</td>
<td>10646802084655597975369</td>
<td>10646802084655599792473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>374</td>
<td>24499250121921170415073</td>
<td>24499250121921172653089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>409</td>
<td>50114850374836220150473</td>
<td>50114850374836222826969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>649</td>
<td>2014362131305403061936073</td>
<td>2014362131305403068675289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>718</td>
<td>4520386069891056038654689</td>
<td>4520386069891056046903073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>748</td>
<td>6271808031136689174004609</td>
<td>6271808031136689182956673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>761</td>
<td>7198752264425208374121673</td>
<td>7198752264425208383387609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>853</td>
<td>17937925803933572266971529</td>
<td>17937925803933572278613273</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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