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Abstract. Let $G$ be a finite group and $\pi_e(G)$ be the set of orders of all elements in $G$. The set $\pi_e(G)$ determines the prime graph (or Grunberg-Kegel graph) $\Gamma(G)$ whose vertex set is $\pi(G)$. The set of primes dividing the order of $G$, and two vertices $p$ and $q$ are adjacent if and only if $pq \in \pi_e(G)$. The degree $\text{deg}(p)$ of a vertex $p \in \pi(G)$, is the number of edges incident on $p$. Let $\pi(G) = \{p_1, p_2, ..., p_k\}$ with $p_1 < p_2 < ... < p_k$. We define $D(G) := (\text{deg}(p_1), \text{deg}(p_2), ..., \text{deg}(p_k))$, which is called the degree pattern of $G$. The group $G$ is called $k$-fold OD-characterizable if there exist exactly $k$ non-isomorphic groups $M$ satisfying conditions $|G| = |M|$ and $D(G) = D(M)$. Usually a 1-fold OD-characterizable group is simply called OD-characterizable. In this paper, we classify all finite groups with the same order and degree pattern as an almost simple groups related to $D_4(4)$.
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1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a finite group, $\pi(G)$ the set of all prime divisors of $|G|$ and $\pi_e(G)$ be the set of orders of elements in $G$. The prime graph (or Grunberg-Kegel graph) $\Gamma(G)$ of $G$ is a simple graph with vertex set $\pi(G)$ in which two vertices $p$ and $q$ are joined by an edge (and we write $p \sim q$) if and only if $G$ contains an element of order $pq$ (i.e. $pq \in \pi_e(G)$).

The degree $\deg(p)$ of a vertex $p \in \pi(G)$ is the number of edges incident on $p$. If $\pi(G) = \{p_1, p_2, ..., p_k\}$ with $p_1 < p_2 < ... < p_k$, then we define $D(G) := (\deg(p_1), \deg(p_2), ..., \deg(p_k))$, which is called the degree pattern of $G$, and leads a following definition.

Definition 1.1. The finite group $G$ is called $k$-fold OD-characterizable if there exist exactly $k$ non-isomorphic groups $H$ satisfying conditions $|G| = |H|$ and $D(G) = D(H)$. In particular, a 1-fold OD-characterizable group is simply called OD-characterizable.

The interest in characterizing finite groups by their degree patterns started in [7] by M. R. Darafsheh and et. al., in which the authors proved that the following simple groups are uniquely determined by their order and degree patterns: All sporadic simple groups, the alternating groups $A_p$ with $p$ and $p - 2$ primes and some simple groups of Lie type. Also in a series of articles (see [4, 6, 8, 9, 14, 17]), it was shown that many finite simple groups are OD-characterizable.

Let $A$ and $B$ be two groups then a split extension is denoted by $A : B$. If $L$ is a finite simple group and $\text{Aut}(L) \cong L : A$, then if $B$ is a cyclic subgroup of $A$ of order $n$ we will write $L : n$ for the split extension $L : B$. Moreover if there are more than one subgroup of orders $n$ in $A$, then we will denote them by $L : n_1$, $L : n_2$, etc.

Definition 1.2. A group $G$ is said to be an almost simple group related to $S$ if and only if $S \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(S)$, for some non-abelian simple group $S$.

In many papers (see [2, 3, 10, 13, 15, 16]), it has been proved, up to now, that many finite almost simple groups are OD-characterizable or $k$-fold OD-characterizable for certain $k \geq 2$.

We denote the socle of $G$ by $\text{Soc}(G)$, which is the subgroup generated by the set of all minimal normal subgroups of $G$. For $p \in \pi(G)$, we denote by $G_p$ and $\text{Syl}_p(G)$ a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$ and the set of all Sylow $p$-subgroups of $G$ respectively, all further unexplained notation are standard and can be found in [11].

In this article our main aim is to show the recognizability of the almost simple groups related to $L := D_4(4)$ by degree pattern in the prime graph and
order of the group. In fact, we will prove the following Theorem.

**Main Theorem** Let $M$ be an almost simple group related to $L := D_4(4)$. If $G$ is a finite group such that $D(G) = D(M)$ and $|G| = |M|$, then the following assertions hold:

(a) If $M = L$, then $G \cong L$.
(b) If $M = L : 2_1$, then $G \cong L : 2_1$ or $L : 2_2$.
(c) If $M = L : 2_2$, then $G \cong L : 2_2$ or $Z_2 \times L$.
(d) If $M = L : 2_3$, then $G \cong L : 2_3$ or $L : 2_1$.
(e) If $M = L : 3$, then $G \cong L : 3$ or $Z_3 \times L$.
(f) If $M = L : 2^2$, then $G \cong L : 2^2$, $Z_2 \times (L : 2_1)$, $Z_2 \times (L : 2_2)$, $Z_2 \times (L : 2_3)$, $Z_4 \times L$ or $(Z_2 \times Z_2) \times L$.
(g) If $M = L : (D_6)_1$, then $G \cong L : (D_6)_1$, $L : 6$, $Z_3 \times (L : 2_1)$, $Z_3 \times (L : 2_3)$ or $(Z_3 \times L).Z_2$.
(h) If $M = L : (D_6)_2$, then $G \cong L : (D_6)_2$, $Z_2 \times (L : 3)$, $Z_3 \times (L : 2_2)$, $(Z_3 \times L).Z_2$, $Z_6 \times L$ or $D_6 \times L$.
(i) If $M = L : 6$, then $G \cong L : 6$, $L : (D_6)_1$, $Z_3 \times (L : 2_1)$, $Z_3 \times (L : 2_3)$ or $(Z_3 \times L).Z_2$.
(j) If $M = L : D_{12}$, then $G \cong L : D_{12}$, $Z_2 \times (L : (D_6)_1)$, $Z_2 \times (L : (D_6)_2)$, $Z_2 \times (L : 6)$, $Z_3 \times (L : 2^2)$, $(Z_3 \times (L : 2_1)).Z_2$, $(Z_3 \times (L : 2_2)).Z_2$, $(Z_3 \times (L : 2_3)).Z_2$, $Z_4 \times (L : 3)$, $(Z_2 \times Z_2) \times (L : 3)$, $(Z_4 \times L).Z_4$, $(Z_2 \times Z_2) \times L).Z_3$, $(Z_6 \times L)Z_6 \times (L : 2_1)$, $Z_6 \times (L : 2_2)$, $Z_6 \times (L : 2_3)$, $(Z_6 \times L)Z_2$, $D_6 \times (L : 2_1)$, $D_6 \times (L : 2_2)$, $D_6 \times (L : 2_3)$, $Z_{12} \times L$, $(Z_2 \times Z_6) \times L$, $(Z_2 \times L).D_6$, $A_4 \times L$, $L.A_4$, $D_{12} \times L$ or $T \times L$.

2. Preliminary Results

It is well-known that $\text{Aut}(D_4(4)) \cong D_4(4) : D_{12}$ where $D_{12}$ denotes the dihedral group of order 12. We remark that $D_{12}$ has the following non-trivial proper subgroups up to conjugacy: three subgroups of order 2, one cyclic subgroup each of order 3 and 6, two subgroups isomorphic to $D_6 \cong S_3$ and one subgroup of order 4 isomorphic to the Klein’s four group denoted by $2^2$.

The field and the duality automorphisms of $D_4(4)$ are denoted by $2_1$ and $2_2$ respectively, and we set $2_3 = 2_1.2_2$ (field+duality which is called the diagonal automorphism).

Therefore up to conjugacy we have the following almost simple groups related to $D_4(4)$.

**Lemma 2.1.** If $G$ is an almost simple group related to $L := D_4(4)$, then $G$ is isomorphic to one of the following groups: $L, L : 2_1, L : 2_2, L : 2_3, L : 3, L : 2^2, L : (D_6)_1, L : (D_6)_2, L : 6, L : D_{12}$.

**Lemma 2.2** ([5]). Let $G$ be a Frobenius group with kernel $K$ and complement $H$. Then:

(a) $K$ is a nilpotent group.
(b) $|K| \equiv 1(\text{mod}|H|)$.
Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime. We denote by $\mathcal{S}_p$, the set of all simple groups with prime divisors at most $p$. Clearly, if $q \leq p$, then $\mathcal{S}_q \subseteq \mathcal{S}_p$. We list all the simple groups in class $\mathcal{S}_{17}$ with their order and the order of their outer automorphisms in TABLE 1, taken from [12].

**TABLE 1: Simple groups in $\mathcal{S}_p$, $p \leq 17$.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>[S]</th>
<th></th>
<th>Out(S)</th>
<th></th>
<th>S</th>
<th>[S]</th>
<th></th>
<th>Out(S)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A_5</td>
<td>$2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$G_2(3)$</td>
<td>$2^6 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A_6</td>
<td>$2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$3D_4(2)$</td>
<td>$2^{12} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 13$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S_4(3)</td>
<td>$2^6 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$L_2(64)$</td>
<td>$2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L_2(7)</td>
<td>$2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$U_4(5)$</td>
<td>$2^7 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^6 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L_2(8)</td>
<td>$2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$L_2(9)$</td>
<td>$2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U_3(3)</td>
<td>$2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$S_6(3)$</td>
<td>$2^9 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A_7</td>
<td>$2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$O_7(3)$</td>
<td>$2^9 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L_2(49)</td>
<td>$2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$G_2(4)$</td>
<td>$2^{12} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U_3(5)</td>
<td>$2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$S_6(8)$</td>
<td>$2^{12} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L_3(4)</td>
<td>$2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$O_7^+(3)$</td>
<td>$2^{12} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A_8</td>
<td>$2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$L_3(3)$</td>
<td>$2^9 \cdot 310 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A_9</td>
<td>$2^6 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$A_{13}$</td>
<td>$2^9 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J_2</td>
<td>$2^7 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$A_{14}$</td>
<td>$2^{10} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A_10</td>
<td>$2^7 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$A_{15}$</td>
<td>$2^{10} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U_4(3)</td>
<td>$2^7 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$L_4(3)$</td>
<td>$2^{11} \cdot 3^{15} \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 13^2$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S_4(7)</td>
<td>$2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$S_4(7)$</td>
<td>$2^{13} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S_6(2)</td>
<td>$2^9 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$A_{16}$</td>
<td>$2^{14} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O_7^+(2)</td>
<td>$2^{12} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$F_{4}^{22}$</td>
<td>$2^{17} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L_2(11)</td>
<td>$2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$L_2(17)$</td>
<td>$2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 17$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M_{11}</td>
<td>$2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$L_2(16)$</td>
<td>$2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 17$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M_{12}</td>
<td>$2^6 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$S_4(4)$</td>
<td>$2^8 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 17$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U_3(2)</td>
<td>$2^{10} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$H_3$</td>
<td>$2^{10} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 17$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M_{22}</td>
<td>$2^1 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$O_7(2)$</td>
<td>$2^{12} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 17$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A_{11}</td>
<td>$2^7 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$L_4(4)$</td>
<td>$2^{12} \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 17$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M^{+}L</td>
<td>$2^7 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$S_6(2)$</td>
<td>$2^{16} \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 17$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_8</td>
<td>$2^9 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$U_3(4)$</td>
<td>$2^{12} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 13 \cdot 17$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A_{12}</td>
<td>$2^9 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$U_3(17)$</td>
<td>$2^9 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 17^2$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U_4(2)</td>
<td>$2^{15} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$O_{10}^+(2)$</td>
<td>$2^{20} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 17$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L_3(3)</td>
<td>$2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 13$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$L_2(13)$</td>
<td>$2^5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 17^2$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L_2(25)</td>
<td>$2^6 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 13$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$S_4(13)$</td>
<td>$2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 13^4 \cdot 17$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U_3(4)</td>
<td>$2^6 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 13$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$L_3(16)$</td>
<td>$2^{12} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 17$</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S_4(5)</td>
<td>$2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 13$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$S_6(4)$</td>
<td>$2^{18} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 17$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L_4(3)</td>
<td>$2^7 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5 \cdot 13$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$O_7^-(4)$</td>
<td>$2^{24} \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 17^2$</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>^2 F_4(2)</td>
<td>$2^{11} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 13$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$F_4(2)$</td>
<td>$2^{24} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 17$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L_2(13)</td>
<td>$2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$A_{17}$</td>
<td>$2^{14} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L_2(27)</td>
<td>$2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$A_{18}$</td>
<td>$2^{15} \cdot 3^8 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Definition 2.3. A completely reducible group will be called a CR-group. The center of a CR-group is a direct product of the abelian factor in the decomposition. Hence, a CR-group is centerless, that is, has trivial center, if and only if it is a direct product of non-abelian simple groups. The following Lemma determines the structure of the automorphism group of a centerless CR-group.

Lemma 2.3 ([11]). Let \( R \) be a finite centerless CR-group and write \( R = R_1 \times R_2 \times \ldots \times R_k \), where \( R_i \) is a direct product of \( n_i \) isomorphic copies of a simple group \( H_i \), and \( H_i \) and \( H_j \) are not isomorphic if \( i \neq j \). Then \( \text{Aut}(R) = \text{Aut}(R_1) \times \text{Aut}(R_2) \times \ldots \times \text{Aut}(R_k) \cong \text{Aut}(H_i) \wr S_{n_i} \), where in this wreath product \( \text{Aut}(H_i) \) appears in its right regular representation and the symmetric group \( S_{n_i} \) in its natural permutation representation. Moreover, these isomorphisms induce isomorphisms \( \text{Out}(R) \cong \text{Out}(R_1) \times \text{Out}(R_2) \times \ldots \times \text{Out}(R_k) \cong \text{Out}(H_i) \wr S_{n_i} \).

3. OD-Characterization of Almost Simple Groups Related to \( D_4(4) \)

In this section, we study the problem of characterizing almost simple groups by order and degree pattern. Especially we will focus our attention on almost simple groups related to \( L = D_4(4) \), namely, we will prove the Main Theorem of Sec. 1. We break the proof into a number of separate propositions. By assumption, we depict all possibilities for the prime graph associated with \( G \) by use of the variables for some vertices in each proposition. Also, we need to know the structure of \( \Gamma(M) \) to determine the possibilities for \( G \) in some proposition, therefore we depict the prime graph of all extension of \( L \) in pages 18 to 20. Note that the set of order elements in each of the following propositions is calculated using Magma.

Proposition 3.1. If \( M = L \), then \( G \cong L \).

Proof. By TABLE 1 \( |L| = 2^{24} \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 17^2 \). \( \pi_c(L) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 15, 17, 20, 21, 30, 34, 51, 63, 65, 85, 255\} \), so \( D(L) = (3, 4, 4, 1, 1, 3) \). Since \( |G| = |L| \) and \( D(G) = D(L) \), we conclude that the prime graph of \( G \) has following form:

![Figure 3.1](https://example.com/image.png)

where \( \{a, b\} = \{7, 13\} \).
We will show that $G$ is isomorphic to $L = D_4(4)$. We break up the proof into several steps.

**Step 1.** Let $K$ be the maximal normal solvable subgroup of $G$. Then $K$ is a $\{2,3,5\}$-group. In particular, $G$ is non-solvable.

First we show that $K$ is a $17'$-group. Assume the contrary and let $17 \in \pi(K)$. Then $17$ does not divide the order of $K$. Otherwise, we may suppose that $T$ is a Hall $\{13,17\}$-subgroup of $K$. It is seen that $T$ is a nilpotent subgroup of order $13.17^i$ for $i = 1$ or 2. Thus, $13.17 \in \pi_e(K) \subseteq \pi_e(G)$, a contradiction. Thus $\{17\} \subseteq \pi(K) \subseteq \pi(G) - \{13\}$. Let $K_{17} \in \text{Syl}_{17}(K)$. By Frattini argument, $G = K N_G(K_{17})$. Therefore, $N_G(K_{17})$ contains an element $x$ of order 13. Since $G$ has no element of order 13, $\langle x \rangle$ should act fixed point freely on $K_{17}$, that is implying $\langle x \rangle K_{17}$ is a Frobenius group. By Lemma 2.2(b), $|\langle x \rangle||(|K_{17}| - 1)$. It follows that $13|17^i - 1$ for $i = 1$ or 2, which is a contradiction.

Next, we show that $K$ is a $p'$-group for $p \in \{a,b\}$. Let $p|\lvert K \rvert$ and $K_p \in \text{Syl}_p(K)$. Now by Frattini argument, $G = K N_G(K_p)$, so 17 must divide the order of $N_G(K_p)$. Therefore, the normalizer $N_G(K_p)$ contains an element of order 17, say $x$. So $\langle x \rangle K_p$ is a cyclic subgroup of $G$ of order 17, and so $p \sim 17$ in $\Gamma(G)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $K$ is a $\{2,3,5\}$-group. In addition, since $K$ is a proper subgroup of $G$, it follows that $G$ is non-solvable.

**Step 2.** The quotient $G/K$ is an almost simple group. In fact, $S \leq G/K \leq \text{Aut}(S)$, where $S$ is a finite non-abelian simple group isomorphic to $L := D_4(4)$.

Let $\mathcal{G} = G/K$. Then $S := \text{Soc}(\mathcal{G}) = P_1 \times P_2 \times \ldots \times P_m$, where $P_i$'s are finite non-abelian simple groups and $S \leq G/K \leq \text{Aut}(S)$. If we show that $m = 1$, the proof of Step 2 will be completed.

Suppose that $m \geq 2$. In this case, we claim that 13 does not divide $|S|$. Assume the contrary and let $13 \mid |S|$, on the other hand, $\{2,3\} \subset \pi(P_i)$ for every $i$ (by TABLE 1), hence $2 \sim 13$ and $3 \sim 13$, which is a contradiction. Now, by step 1, we observe that $13 \in \pi(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \pi(\text{Aut}(S))$. But $\text{Aut}(S) = \text{Aut}(S_1) \times \text{Aut}(S_2) \times \ldots \times \text{Aut}(S_t)$, where the groups $S_j$ are direct products of isomorphic $P_i$'s such that $S = S_1 \times S_2 \times \ldots \times S_t$. Therefore, for some $j$, 13 divides the order of an automorphism group of a direct product $S_j$ of $t$ isomorphic simple groups $P_i$. Since $P_i \in \mathcal{S}_{17}$, it follows that $|\text{Out}(P_i)|$ is not divisible by 13 (see TABLE 1). Now, by Lemma 2.3, we obtain $|\text{Aut}(S_j)| = |\text{Aut}(P_i)|^{t!} t!$. Therefore, $t \geq 13$ and so $2^{26}$ must divide the order of $G$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $m = 1$ and $S = P_1$.

By TABLE 1 and Step 1, it is evident that $|S| = 2^a.3^b.5^c.7.13.17^2$, where $2 \leq a \leq 24$, $1 \leq b \leq 5$ and $0 \leq c \leq 4$. Now, using collected results contained in TABLE 1, we deduce that $S \cong D_4(4)$ and by Step 2, $L \leq G/K \leq \text{Aut}(L)$ is completed. As $|G| = |L|$, we deduce $K = 1$, so $G \cong L$ and the proof is completed.

\□
**Proposition 3.2.** If $M = L : 2_1$, then $G \cong L : 2_1$ or $L : 2_3$.

*Proof.* As $|L : 2_1| = 2^{25}.3^5.5^4.7.13.17^2$ and $\pi_e(L : 2_1) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 24, 30, 34, 40, 51, 60, 63, 65, 85, 102, 126, 130, 170, 255\}$, then $D(L : 2_1) = (4, 4, 2, 1, 3)$. Since $|G| = |L : 2_1|$ and $D(G) = D(L : 2_1)$, we conclude that there exist several possibilities for $\Gamma(G)$:
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where $\{a, b, c\} = \{2, 3, 5\}$.

**Step 1.** Let $K$ be the maximal normal solvable subgroup of $G$. Then $K$ is a $\{2, 3, 5\}$-group. In particular, $G$ is non-solvable.

By a similar argument to that in Proposition 3.1, we can obtain this assertion.

**Step 2.** The quotient $\frac{G}{K}$ is an almost simple group. In fact, $S \leq \frac{G}{K} \leq \text{Aut}(S)$, where $S$ is a finite non-abelian simple group.

The proof is similar to Step 2 of Proposition 3.1.

By TABLE 1 and Step 1, it is evident that $|S| = 2^\alpha.3^\beta.5^\gamma.7.13.17^2$, where $2 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma \leq 5$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 4$. Now, using collected results contained in TABLE 1, we conclude that $S \cong D_4(4)$ and by Step 2, $L \leq \frac{G}{K} \leq \text{Aut}(L)$. As $|G| = |L : 2_1| = 2|L|$, we deduce $|K| = 1$ or 2.

If $|K| = 1$, then $G \cong L : 2_1$, $L : 2_2$ or $L : 2_3$. Obviously, $G \cong L : 2_1$ or $L : 2_3$ because $\text{deg}(2) = 5$ in $\Gamma(L : 2_2)$ (see page 16).

If $|K| = 2$, then $K \leq Z(G)$ and so $\text{deg}(2) = 5$, which is a contradiction. $\Box$

**Proposition 3.3.** If $M = L : 2_2$, then $G \cong L : 2_2$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times L$.

*Proof.* As $|L : 2_2| = 2^{25}.3^5.5^4.7.13.17^2$ and $\pi_e(L : 2_2) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 20, 21, 24, 26, 30, 34, 40, 51, 60, 63, 65, 85, 102, 126, 130, 170, 255\}$, then $D(L : 2_2) = (5, 4, 4, 2, 2, 3)$. By assumption $|G| = |L : 2_2|$ and $D(G) = D(L : 2_2)$, so the prime graph of $G$ has following form:

![Figure 3.3](image)

where $\{a, b\} = \{7, 13\}$. 

**Notes:**

- **OD-characterization of Almost Simple Groups Related to $D_4(4)$:** The study involves characterizing almost simple groups related to the outer automorphism group of $D_4(4)$. This involves examining the structure and properties of these groups, including their prime graphs and the effect of quotienting by normal subgroups.

- **Prime Graph:** A prime graph is a graphical representation of the prime divisors of the order of a group. Each vertex represents a prime number, and edges connect primes that divide the order of the group.

- **Almost Simple Group:** An almost simple group is a group that contains an inner automorphism normal subgroup of a simple group. This class of groups is important in the study of finite simple groups.
Step 1. Let $K$ be the maximal normal solvable subgroup of $G$. Then $K$ is a $\{2, 3, 5\}$-group. In particular, $G$ is non-solvable.
By similar arguments as in the proof of Step 1 in Proposition 3.1, we conclude that $K$ is a $\{2, 3, 5\}$-group and $G$ is non-solvable.

Step 2. The quotient $G/K$ is an almost simple group. In fact, $S \leq \frac{G}{K} \leq \text{Aut}(S)$, where $S$ is a finite non-abelian simple group.

Let $\overline{G} = \frac{G}{K}$. Then $S := \text{Soc}(\overline{G})$, $S = P_1 \times P_2 \times \ldots \times P_m$, where $P_i$'s are finite non-abelian simple groups and $S \leq \frac{G}{K} \leq \text{Aut}(S)$. We are going to prove that $m = 1$ and $S = P_1$. Suppose that $m \geq 2$. We claim $a$ does not divide $|S|$. Assume the contrary and let $a \mid |S|$, we conclude that a just divide the order of one of the simple groups $P_i$'s. Without loss of generality, we assume that $a \mid |P_1|$. Then the rest of the $P_i$'s must be $\{2, 3\}$-group (because only 2 and 3 are adjacent to a in $\Gamma(G)$), this is a contradiction because $P_i$'s are finite non-abelian simple groups. Now, by Step 1, we observe that $a \in \pi(\overline{G}) \subseteq \pi(\text{Aut}(S))$. But $\text{Aut}(S) = \text{Aut}(S_1) \times \text{Aut}(S_2) \times \ldots \times \text{Aut}(S_r)$, where the groups $S_j$ are direct products of isomorphic $P_i$'s such that $S = S_1 \times S_2 \times \ldots \times S_r$. Therefore, for some $j$, $a$ divides the order of an automorphism group of a direct product $S_j$ of $t$ isomorphic simple groups $P_i$. Since $P_i \in \mathcal{S}_{17}$, it follows that $|\text{Out}(P_i)|$ is not divisible by $a$ (see TABLE 1), so $a$ does not divide the order of $\text{Aut}(P_i)$. Now, by Lemma 2.3, we obtain $|\text{Aut}(S_j)| = |\text{Aut}(P_i)|^{t_i}$. Therefore, $t \geq a$ and so $a$ must divide the order of $G$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $m = 1$ and $S = P_1$.

By TABLE 1 and Step 1, it is evident that $|S| = 2^\alpha 3^\beta 5^\gamma 7 13 17^2$, where $2 \leq \alpha \leq 25$, $1 \leq \beta \leq 5$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 4$. Now, using collected results contained in TABLE 1, we conclude that $S \cong D_4(4)$ and by Step 2, $L \leq \frac{G}{K} \leq \text{Aut}(L)$. As $|G| = |L : 2_2| = 2|L|$, we deduce $|K| = 1$ or 2.

If $|K| = 1$, then $G \cong L : 2_1$, $L : 2_2$ or $L : 2_3$ because $|G| = 2|L|$. It is obvious that $G \cong L : 2_2$, because $\deg(13) = 1$ in $\Gamma(L : 2_1)$ and $\Gamma(L : 2_3)$ (see page 17).

If $|K| = 2$, then $G/K \cong L$ and $K \leq Z(G)$. It follows that $G$ is a central extension of $K$ by $L$. If $G$ is a non-split extension of $K$ by $L$, then $|K|$ must divide the Schur multiplier of $L$, which is 1. But this is a contradiction, so we obtain that $G$ split over $|K|$. Hence $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times L$. □

Proposition 3.4. If $M = L : 2_3$, then $G \cong L : 2_3$ or $L : 2_1$.

Proof. As $|L : 2_3| = 2^{25} 3^5 5^4 7 13 17^2$ and $\pi_r(L : 2_3) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 24, 30, 34, 51, 63, 65, 85, 255\}$, then $D(L : 2_3) = (4, 4, 4, 2, 1, 3)$. Since $|G| = |L : 2_3|$ and $D(G) = D(L : 2_3)$, we conclude that $\Gamma(G)$ has the following form similarly to Proposition 3.2:
Let $K$ be the maximal normal solvable subgroup of $G$. Then $K$ is a $\{2,3,5\}$-group. In particular, $G$ is non-solvable.

We can prove this by the similar way to that in Proposition 3.2.

**Step 2.** The quotient $\frac{G}{K}$ is an almost simple group. In fact, $S \leq \frac{G}{K} \lesssim \text{Aut}(S)$, where $S$ is a finite non-abelian simple group.

By using a similar argument, as in the proof of Proposition 3.2, we can verify that $\frac{G}{K}$ is an almost simple group.

By TABLE 1 and Step 1, it is evident that $|S| = 2^\alpha 3^\beta 5^\gamma 7^\gamma 13^\gamma 17^\gamma$.

We conclude that $S \sim D_4(4)$ and by Step 2, $L \vartriangleleft G \lesssim \text{Aut}(L)$. As $|G| = |L : 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 17, 13|^2$, we deduce $|K| = 1$ or 2.

If $|K| = 1$, then $G \cong L : 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 17, 13$, because $\text{deg}(2) = 5$ in $\Gamma(L : 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 17, 13)$ (see page 16).

If $|K| = 2$, then $K \leq Z(G)$ and so $\text{deg}(2) = 5$, which is a contradiction. □

**Proposition 3.5.** If $M = L : 3$, then $G \cong L : 3$ or $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times L$.

**Proof.** As $|L : 3| = 2^{24} 3^6 5^4 7^2 13 17^2$ and $\pi_c(L : 3) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 15, 17, 18, 20, 21, 24, 30, 34, 39, 45, 51, 63, 65, 85, 255\}$, then $D(L : 3) = (3, 5, 4, 12, 1, 2, 3)$. Since $|G| = |L : 3|$ and $D(G) = D(L : 3)$, we conclude that $\Gamma(G)$ has the following form (like $\Gamma(L : 3)$):

**Step 1.** Let $K$ be the maximal normal solvable subgroup of $G$. Then $K$ is a $\{2,3\}$-group. In particular, $G$ is non-solvable.

First, we show that $K$ is a $p'$-group for $p = 7, 13$ and 17. Since the proof is quite similar to the proof of Step 1 in Proposition 3.1, so we avoid here full explanation of all details.
Next we consider $K$ is a $5'$-group. Assume the contrary, $5 \in \pi_e(K)$. Let $K_5 \in \text{Syl}_5(K)$. By Frattini argument, $G = KNG(K_5)$. Therefore, $NG(K_5)$ has an element $x$ of order 7. Since $G$ has no element of order 5, $\langle x \rangle$ should act fixed point freely on $K_5$, implying $\langle x \rangle K_5$ is a Frobenius group. By Lemma 2.2(b), $|\langle x \rangle||([K_5] - 1)$, which is impossible. Therefore $K$ is a $\{2, 3\}$-group. In addition since $K$ is a proper subgroup of $G$, then $G$ is non-solvable and the proof of this step is completed.

**Step 2.** The quotient $G/K$ is an almost simple group. In fact, $S \leq G/K \trianglelefteq \text{Aut}(S)$, where $S$ is a finite non-abelian simple group.

In a similar way as in the proof of Step 2 in Proposition 3.1, we conclude that $G/K$ is an almost simple group.

By TABLE 1 and Step 1, it is evident that $|S| = 2^\alpha 3^\beta 5^e 7 13 17^2$, where $2 \leq \alpha \leq 24$ and $1 \leq \beta \leq 6$. Now, using collected results contained in TABLE 1, we conclude that $S \cong D_4(4)$ and by Step 2, $L \leq G/K \trianglelefteq \text{Aut}(L)$. As $|G| = |L : 3| = 3|L|$, we deduce $|K| = 1$ or 3.

If $|K| = 1$, then $G \cong L : 3$.

If $|K| = 3$, then $G/K \cong L$. In this case we have $G/C_G(K) \trianglelefteq \text{Aut}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Thus $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$ or 2. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$, then $K \leq Z(G)$, that is, $G$ is a central extension of $K$ by $L$. If $G$ is a non-split extension of $K$ by $L$, then $|K|$ must divide the Schur multiplier of $L$, which is 1. But this is a contradiction, so we obtain that $G$ split over $K$. Hence $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times L$. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 2$, then $K < C_G(K)$ and $1 \neq C_G(K)/K \leq G/K \cong L$, which is a contradiction since $L$ is simple. \hfill \Box

**Proposition 3.6.** If $M = L : 2^2$, then $G \cong L : 2^2$, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L : 2_1)$, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L : 2_2)$, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L : 2_3)$, $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times L$ or $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \times L$.

**Proof.** As $|L : 2^2| = 2^{26} 3^5 5^4 7 13 17^2$ and $\pi_e(L : 2^2) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 24, 26, 30, 34, 42, 51, 60, 63, 65, 68, 85, 102, 126, 130, 170, 255\}$, then $D(L : 2^2) = \langle 5, 4, 4, 2, 2, 3 \rangle$. Since $|G| = |L : 2^2|$ and $D(G) = D(L : 2^2)$, so the prime graph of $G$ has following form similarly to Proposition 3.3:

![Figure 3.6](image)

where $\{a, b\} = \{7, 13\}$. 

\[\text{DOI: 10.7508/ijmsi.2015.01.003}\]
Step 1. Let $K$ be the maximal normal solvable subgroup of $G$. Then $K$ is a $\{2, 3, 5\}$-group. In particular, $G$ is non-solvable.

According to Step 1 in Proposition 3.3, we have $K$ is a $\{2, 3, 5\}$-group and $G$ is non-solvable.

Step 2. The quotient $G/K$ is an almost simple group. In fact, $S \leq G/K \leq \text{Aut}(S)$, where $S$ is a finite non-abelian simple group.

We can prove this by the similar argument in Step 2 in Proposition 3.3.

By TABLE 1 and Step 1, it is evident that $|S| = 2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 17^2$, where $2 \leq \alpha \leq 26$, $1 \leq \beta \leq 5$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 4$. Now, using collected results contained in TABLE 1, we conclude that $S \cong D_4(4)$ and by Step 2, $L \leq G/K \leq \text{Aut}(L)$. As $|G| = |L : 2^2| = 4|L|$, we deduce $|K| = 1, 2$ or 4.

If $|K| = 1$, then $G \cong L : 2^2$.

If $|K| = 2$, then $K \cong Z(G)$. In this case $G$ is a central extension of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ by $L : 2_1, L : 2_2$ or $L : 2_3$. If $G$ splits over $K$ then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L : 2_1), \mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L : 2_2)$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L : 2_3)$, otherwise we get a contradiction because $|K|$ must divide the Schur multiplier of $L : 2_1, L : 2_2$ and $L : 2_3$, which is impossible.

If $|K| = 3$, then $G/K \cong L$. In this case we have $G/C_G(K) \cong \text{Aut}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ or $S_3$. Thus $|G/C_G(K)| = 1, 2, 3$ or 6. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 3$ then $K \cong Z(G)$, which is a contradiction because $|K|$ must divide the Schur multiplier of $L$, which is 1, but this is a contradiction. Therefore $G$ splits over $K$. Hence $G \cong K \times L$. So we have $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times L$ or $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \times L$ because $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 2, 3$ or 6, then $K \cong C_G(K)$ and $1 \neq C_G(K) / K \leq G/K \cong L$. Which is a contradiction, since $L$ is simple.

\[ \square \]

Proposition 3.7. If $M = L : (D_6)_{11}$, then $G \cong L : (D_6)_{11}, L : 3_2 \times (L : 2_3), Z_3 \times (L : 2_3)$ or $(Z_3 \times L) \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Proof. As $|L : (D_6)_{11}| = 2^{25} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 17^2$ and $\pi_e(L : (D_6)_{11}) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 20, 21, 24, 30, 34, 39, 42, 45, 51, 60, 63, 65, 85, 255\}$, then $D(L : (D_6)_{11}) = \{4, 5, 4, 2, 2, 3\}$. Since $|G| = |L : (D_6)_{11}|$ and $D(G) = D(L : (D_6)_{11})$, we conclude that there exist several possibilities for $\Gamma(G)$:
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Step 1. Let $K$ be the maximal normal solvable subgroup of $G$. Then $K$ is a $\{2, 3, 5\}$-group. In particular, $G$ is non-solvable.

By the similar argument to that in Step 1 in Proposition 3.1, we can obtain this assertion.

Step 2. The quotient $\frac{G}{K}$ is an almost simple group. In fact, $S \leq \frac{G}{K} \lesssim \text{Aut}(S)$, where $S$ is a finite non-abelian simple group.

The proof is similar to Step 2 in Proposition 3.3.

By Table 1 and Step 1, it is evident that $|S| = 2^a \cdot 3^b \cdot 5^c \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 17^2$, where $2 \leq a \leq 25$, $1 \leq b \leq 6$ and $0 \leq c \leq 4$. Now, using collected results contained in Table 1, we conclude that $S \cong D_4(4)$ and by Step 2, $L \leq \frac{G}{K} \lesssim \text{Aut}(L)$. As $|G| = |L : D_6|_1 = 6|L|$, we deduce $|K| = 1, 2, 3$ or 6.

If $|K| = 1$, then $G \cong L : (D_6)_1$, $L : (D_6)_2$ or $L : 6$ because $|G| = 6|L|$. Obviously, $G \cong L : (D_6)_1$ or $L : 6$ because $\deg(2) = 5$ in $\Gamma(L : (D_6)_2)$.

If $|K| = 2$, then $K \leq Z(G)$ and so $\deg(2) = 5$, which is a contradiction (see page 18).

If $|K| = 3$, then $G/K \cong L : 2_1, L : 2_2$ or $L : 2_3$. But $G/C_G(K) \lesssim \text{Aut}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Thus $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$ or 2. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$, then $K \leq Z(G)$, that is, $G$ is a central extension of $K$ by $L : 2_1, L : 2_2$ or $L : 2_3$. If $G$ splits over $K$, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_1)$ or $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_3)$ because in $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_2))$ the degree of 2 is 5. Otherwise we get a contradiction because $|K|$ must divide the Schur multiplier of $L : 2_1, L : 2_2$ and $L : 2_3$, which is impossible. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 2$, then $K < C_G(K)$ and $1 \neq C_G(K)/K \trianglelefteq G/K \cong L : 2_1, L : 2_2$ or $L : 2_3$, we obtain $C_G(K)/K \cong L$. Since $K \leq Z(C_G(K))$, $C_G(K)$ is a central extension of $K$ by $L$. If $C_G(K)$ splits over $K$, then $C_G(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times L$, otherwise we get a contradiction because $|K|$ must divide the Schur multiplier of $L$, which is impossible. Therefore, $G \cong(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times L).\mathbb{Z}_2$.

If $|K| = 6$, then $G/K \cong L$ and $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_6$ or $D_6$.

If $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_6$, then $G/C_G(K) \lesssim \mathbb{Z}_2$ and so $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$ or 2. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$, then $K \leq Z(G)$. It follows that $\deg(2) = 5$, a contradiction. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 2$, then $K < C_G(K)$ and $1 \neq C_G(K)/K \trianglelefteq G/K \cong L$, which is a contradiction because $L$ is simple.

If $K \cong D_6$, then $K \cap C_G(K) = 1$ and $G/C_G(K) \lesssim D_6$. Thus $C_G(K) \neq 1$.

Hence, $1 \neq C_G(K) \trianglelefteq C_G(K)/K \trianglelefteq G/K \cong L$. It follows that $L \cong G/K \cong C_G(K)$ because $L$ is simple. Therefore, $G \cong D_6 \times L$, which implies that $\deg(2) = 5$, a contradiction. \qed

Proposition 3.8. If $M = L : (D_6)_2$, then $G \cong L : (D_6)_2, \mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L : 3), \mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_2), (\mathbb{Z}_3 \times L).\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_6 \times L$ or $S_3 \times L$. 


Proof. As $|L: (D_6)_{2}| = 2^{25}.3^{6}.5^{4}.7.13.17^2$ and $\pi_e(L: (D_6)_{2}) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 20, 21, 24, 26, 30, 54, 70, 84, 102, 126, 130, 170, 255\}$, then $D(L : (D_6)_{2}) = (5, 5, 4, 2, 3, 3)$. Since $|G| = |L : (D_6)_{2}|$ and $D(G) = D(L : (D_6)_{2})$, we conclude that $\Gamma(G)$ has the following form (like $\Gamma(L : (D_6)_{2})$):
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**Step 1.** Let $K$ be the maximal normal solvable subgroup of $G$. Then $K$ is a $\{2, 3\}$-group. In particular, $G$ is non-solvable.

The proof is similar to Step 1 in Proposition 3.5.

**Step 2.** The quotient $\frac{G}{K}$ is an almost simple group. In fact, $S \leq \frac{G}{K} \leq \text{Aut}(S)$, where $S$ is a finite non-abelian simple group.

Let $\overline{G} = \frac{G}{K}$. Then $S := \text{Soc}(\overline{G})$, $S = P_1 \times P_2 \times \ldots \times P_m$, where $P_i$'s are finite non-abelian simple groups and $S \leq \frac{G}{K} \leq \text{Aut}(S)$. We are going to prove that $m = 1$ and $S = P_1$. Suppose that $m \geq 2$. By the same argument in Step 2 of Proposition 3.3 and considering $7$ instead of $a$, we get a contradiction. Therefore $m = 1$ and $S = P_1$.

By TABLE 1 and Step 1, it is evident that $|S| = 2^\alpha.3^\beta.5^4.7.13.17^2$, where $2 \leq \alpha \leq 25$ and $1 \leq \beta \leq 6$. Now, using collected results contained in TABLE 1, we conclude that $S \cong D_4(4)$ and by Step 2, $L \cong \frac{G}{K} \leq \text{Aut}(L)$. As $|G| = |L : (D_6)_{2}| = 6|L|$, we deduce $|K| = 1, 2, 3$ or $6$.

If $|K| = 1$, then $G \cong L : (D_6)_{1}, L : (D_6)_{2}$ or $L : 6$ because $|G| = 6|L|$. Obviously $G \cong L : (D_6)_{2}$ because in $\Gamma(L : (D_6)_{1})$ and $\Gamma(L : 6)$, we have $\text{deg}(13) = 2$(see page 17).

If $|K| = 2$, then $K \leq Z(G)$ and $G/K \cong L : 3$. Hence $G$ is a central extension of $K$ by $L : 3$. If $G$ splits over $K$, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L : 3)$. Otherwise we get a contradiction because $|K|$ must divide the Schure multiplier of $L : 3$, which is impossible.

If $|K| = 3$, then $G/K \cong L : 2_1, L : 2_2$ or $L : 2_3$. But $G/C_G(K) \not\leq \text{Aut}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Thus $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$ or $2$. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$, then $K \leq Z(G)$, that is, $G$ is a central extension of $K$ by $L : 2_1, L : 2_2$ or $L : 2_3$. If $G$ splits over $K$, then only $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_2)$ because $2 \sim 13$ in $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_1))$ and $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_3))$. Otherwise we get a contradiction because $|K|$ must divide the Schure multiplier of $L : 2_1, L : 2_2$ and $L : 2_3$, which is impossible. If
$|G/C_G(K)| = 2$, then $K < C_G(K)$ and $1 \neq C_G(K)/K \leq G/K \cong L : 1, L : 2$ or $L : 2$, we obtain $C_G(K)/K \cong L$. Since $K \leq Z(C_G(K))$, $C_G(K)$ is a central extension of $K$ by $L$. If $C_G(K)$ splits over $K$, then $C_G(K) \cong Z_3 \times L$, otherwise we get a contradiction because $|K|$ must divide the Schur multiplier of $L$, which is impossible. Therefore, $G \cong (Z_3 \times L)\mathbb{Z}_2$.

If $|K| = 6$, then $G/K \cong L$ and $K \cong Z_6$ or $D_6$. If $K \cong Z_6$, then $G/C_G(K) \cong Z_2$ and so $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$ or 2. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$, then $K \leq Z(G)$ and $G/K \cong L$. Therefore $G$ is a central extension of $K$ by $L$. If $G$ is a non-split extension of $K$ by $L$, then $|K|$ must divide the Schur multiplier of $L$, which is 1. But this is a contradiction. So we obtain that $G$ splits over $K$. Hence $G \cong Z_6 \times L$. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 2$, then $K < C_G(K)$ and $1 \neq C_G(K)/K \leq G/K \cong L$, which is a contradiction because $L$ is simple. If $K \cong D_6$, then $K \cap C_G(K) = 1$ and $G/C_G(K) \cong D_6$. Thus $C_G(K) \neq 1$. Hence, $1 \neq C_G(K) \cong C_G(K)/K \leq G/K \cong L$. It follows that $L \cong G/K \cong C_G(K)$ because $L$ is simple. Therefore $G \cong D_6 \times L$. \qed

**Proposition 3.9.** If $M = L : 6$, then $G \cong L : 6$, $L : (D_6)_1$, $Z_3 \times (L : 2_1)$, $Z_3 \times (L : 2_3)$ or $(Z_3 \times L)\mathbb{Z}_2$.

**Proof.** As $|L : 6| = 2^{25}3^65^47.13.17^2$ and $\pi_e(L : 6) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 24, 30, 34, 36, 39, 42, 45, 48, 51, 63, 65, 85, 255\}$, then $D(L : 6) = (4, 5, 4, 2, 2, 3)$. Since $|G| = |L : 6|$ and $D(G) = D(L : 6)$, there exist several possibilities for $\Gamma(G)$ similarly to Proposition 3.7.

![Figure 3.9](image_url)

where $\{a, b\} = \{7, 13\}$.

**Step 1.** Let $K$ be the maximal normal solvable subgroup of $G$. Then $K$ is a $\{2, 3, 5\}$-group. In particular, $G$ is non-solvable.

The proof is similar to that in Proposition 3.3.

**Step 2.** The quotient $\frac{G}{K}$ is an almost simple group. In fact, $S \leq \frac{G}{K} \leq \text{Aut}(S)$, where $S$ is a finite non-abelian simple group.

Again we refer to Step 2 of proposition 3.3 to get the proof.

By TABLE 1 and Step 1, it is evident that $|S| = 2^\alpha3^\beta5^\gamma7.13.17^2$, where $2 \leq \alpha \leq 25, 1 \leq \beta \leq 6$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 4$. Now, using collected results contained
in TABLE 1, we conclude that \( S \cong D_4(4) \) and by Step 2, \( L \leq \frac{G}{K} \leq \text{Aut}(L) \). As \( |G| = |L : 6| = 6|L| \), we deduce \( |K| = 1, 2, 3 \) or 6.

If \( |K| = 1 \), then \( G \cong L : 6 \), \( L : (D_6)_{1} \) or \( L : (D_6)_{2} \) because \( |G| = 6|L| \).

Obviously, \( G \cong L : 6 \) or \( L : (D_6)_{1} \) because \( \text{deg}(2) = 5 \) in \( \Gamma(L : (D_6)_{2}) \) (see page 18).

If \( |K| = 2 \), then \( K \leq Z(G) \) and so \( \text{deg}(2) = 5 \), which is a contradiction.

If \( |K| = 3 \), then \( G/K \cong L : 2_{1}, L : 2_{2} \) or \( L : 2_{3} \). But \( G/C_{G}(K) \leq \text{Aut}(K) \cong Z_2 \). Thus \( |G/C_{G}(K)| = 1 \) or 2. If \( |G/C_{G}(K)| = 1 \), then \( K \leq Z(G) \), that is, \( G \) is a central extension of \( K \) by \( L : 2_{1}, L : 2_{2} \) or \( L : 2_{3} \). If \( G \) splits over \( K \), then \( G \cong Z_3 \times (L : 2_{1}) \) or \( Z_3 \times (L : 2_{2}) \) because in \( \Gamma(Z_3 \times (L : 2_{2})) \) the degree of 2 is 5. Otherwise we get a contradiction because \( |K| \) must divide the Schur multiplier of \( L : 2_{1}, L : 2_{2} \) and \( L : 2_{3} \), which is impossible. If \( |G/C_{G}(K)| = 2 \), then \( K < C_{G}(K) \) and \( 1 \neq C_{G}(K)/K \cong G/K \equiv L : 2_{1}, L : 2_{2} \) or \( L : 2_{3} \), we obtain \( C_{G}(K)/K \cong L \). Since \( K \leq Z(C_{G}(K)) \), \( C_{G}(K) \) is a central extension of \( K \) by \( L \). If \( C_{G}(K) \) splits over \( K \), then \( C_{G}(K) \cong Z_3 \times L \), otherwise we get a contradiction because \( |K| \) must divide the Schur multiplier of \( L \), which is impossible. Therefore, \( G \cong (Z_3 \times L).Z_2 \). 

If \( |K| = 6 \), then \( G/K \cong L \) and \( K \cong Z_6 \) or \( D_6 \). If \( K \cong Z_6 \), then \( G/C_{G}(K) \cong Z_2 \) and so \( G/C_{G}(K) = 1 \) or 2. If \( |G/C_{G}(K)| = 2 \), then \( K \leq Z(G) \). It follows that \( \text{deg}(2) = 5 \), a contradiction. If \( |G/C_{G}(K)| = 2 \), then \( K < C_{G}(K) \) and \( 1 \neq C_{G}(K)/K \cong G/K \equiv L \), which is a contradiction because \( L \) is simple. If \( K \cong D_6 \), then \( K \cap C_{G}(K) = 1 \) and \( G/C_{G}(K) \cong D_6 \). Thus \( C_{G}(K) \neq 1 \). Hence, \( 1 \neq C_{G}(K) \cong C_{G}(K)/K \cong G/K \cong L \). It follows that \( L \cong G/K \cong C_{G}(K) \) because \( L \) is simple. Therefore, \( G \cong D_6 \times L \), which implies that \( \text{deg}(2) = 5 \), a contradiction.

\( \square \)

**Proposition 3.10.** If \( M = L : D_{12} \), then \( G \cong L : D_{12}, Z_2 \times (L : (D_6)_{1}), Z_2 \times (L : (D_6)_{2}), Z_2 \times (L : 6), Z_3 \times (L : 2^2), (Z_3 \times (L : 2_1)).Z_2, (Z_3 \times (L : 2_2)).Z_2, Z_4 \times (L : 3), (Z_2 \times Z_2) \times (L : 3), (Z_4 \times L).Z_3, ((Z_2 \times Z_2) \times L).Z_3, Z_6 \times (L : 2_1), Z_6 \times (L : 2_2), Z_6 \times (L : 2_3), (Z_6 \times L).Z_2, S_3 \times (L : 2_1), S_3 \times (L : 2_2), S_3 \times (L : 2_3), Z_{12} \times L, (Z_2 \times Z_6) \times L, D_{12} \times L, (Z_2 \times L).D_6, A_4 \times L, L.A_4 \) or \( T \times L \).

**Proof.** As \( |L : D_{12}| = 2^{26}.3^6.5^4.7.13.17^2 \) and \( \pi_c(L : (D_{12})) = \{ 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 24, 26, 30, 34, 39, 40, 42, 45, 48, 51, 60, 63, 65, 68, 85, 102, 126, 130, 170, 255 \} \), then \( D(L : D_{12}) = \langle 5, 5, 4, 2, 3, 3 \rangle \). Since \( |G| = |L : D_{12}| \) and \( D(G) = D(L : D_{12}) \), we conclude that \( \Gamma(G) \) has the following form (like \( \Gamma(L : D_{12}) \)):
Step 1. Let $K$ be the maximal normal solvable subgroup of $G$. Then $K$ is a $\{2, 3\}$-group. In particular, $G$ is non-solvable.

The proof is similar to Step 1 in Proposition 3.5.

Step 2. The quotient $G/K$ is an almost simple group. In fact, $S \leq G/K \lesssim \text{Aut}(S)$, where $S$ is a finite non-abelian simple group.

To get the proof, follow the way in the proof of Step 2 in proposition 3.5.

By TABLE 1 and Step 1, it is evident that $|S| = 2^a \cdot 3^b \cdot 5^c \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 17^2$, where $2 \leq a \leq 26$ and $1 \leq b \leq 6$. Now, using collected results contained in TABLE 1, we conclude that $S \cong D_4(4)$ and by Step 2, $L \leq G/K \lesssim \text{Aut}(L)$. As $|L| = |L : D_12| = 12|L|$, we deduce $|K| = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$ or 12.

If $|K| = 1$, then $G \cong L : D_12$.

If $|K| = 2$, then $G/K \cong L : (D_6)_1$, or $L : (D_6)_2$ or $L : 6$ and $K \leq Z(G)$. It follows that $G$ is a central extension of $K$ by $L : (D_6)_1$, $L : (D_6)_2$ or $L : 6$. If $G$ splits over $K$, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L : (D_6)_1)$, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L : (D_6)_2)$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L : 6)$. Otherwise $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L : (D_6)_1)$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times (L : (D_6)_2)$.

If $|K| = 3$, then $G/K \cong L : 2^3$. But $G/C_G(K) \lesssim \text{Aut}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Thus $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$ or 2. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$, then $K \leq Z(G)$, that is, $G$ is a central extension of $K$ by $L : 2^3$. If $G$ splits over $K$, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2^3)$. Otherwise we get a contradiction because $|K|$ must divide the Schur multiplier of $L : 2^3$, which is impossible. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 2$, then $K \not\lesssim C_G(K)$ and $1 \neq C_G(K)/K \lesssim G/K \cong L : 2^3$, and we obtain $C_G(K)/K \cong L : 2_1, L : 2_2$ or $L : 2_3$. Since $K \leq Z(C_G(K))$, $C_G(K)$ is a central extension of $K$ by $L : 2_1$, $L : 2_2$ or $L : 2_3$. Thus $C_G(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_1)$, $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_2)$ or $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_3)$, otherwise we get a contradiction because 3 must divide the Schur multiplier of $L : 2_1, L : 2_2$ or $L : 2_3$, which is impossible. Therefore, $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_1)).\mathbb{Z}_2, (\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_2)).\mathbb{Z}_2$ or $(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times (L : 2_3)).\mathbb{Z}_2$.

If $|K| = 4$, then $G/K \cong L : 3$ and $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. In this case we have $G/C_G(K) \lesssim \text{Aut}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ or $S_3$. Thus $|G/C_G(K)| = 1, 2, 3$ or 6. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$, then $K \leq Z(G)$, that is, $G$ is a central extension of $K$ by $L : 3$. If $G$ splits over $K$, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \times (L : 3)$ or $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \times (L : 3)$. Otherwise we get a contradiction because $|K|$ must divide the Schur multiplier of $L : 3$, which is impossible. If $|G/C_G(K)| \neq 1$, since $|G/C_G(K)| = 2, 3$ or 6, it follows that $K < C_G(K)$. As $L$ is simple, we conclude that $1 \neq C_G(K)/K$ must
be an extension of $L$. Hence $|G/C_G(K)| = 3$ and therefore $C_G(K)/K \cong L$.
Now, since $K \leq Z(C_G(K))$, we conclude that $C_G(K)$ is a central extension
of $K$ by $L$. Thus $C_G(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \times L$, or $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \times L$, otherwise $|K|$ must
divide the Schure multiplier of $L$, which is 1 and it is impossible. Therefore,$G \cong (\mathbb{Z}_4 \times L)\mathbb{Z}_3$ or $((\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \times L)\mathbb{Z}_3$.

If $|K| = 6$, then $G/K \cong L: 2_1$, $L: 2_2$ or $L: 2_3$ and $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_6$ or $D_6$. If
$K \cong \mathbb{Z}_6$, then $G/C_G(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ and so $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$ or 2. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$,
then $K \leq Z(G)$, that is $G$ is a central extension of $\mathbb{Z}_6$ by $L: 2_1$, $L: 2_2$
or $L: 2_3$. If $G$ splits over $K$, we obtain $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_6 \times (L : 2_1)$, $\mathbb{Z}_6 \times (L : 2_2)$
or $\mathbb{Z}_6 \times (L : 2_3)$, otherwise we get a contradiction because $|K|$ must divide
the Schure multiplier of $L$, which is 1 and it is impossible. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 2$, then
$K < C_G(K)$ and $1 \neq C_G(K)/K \leq G/K \cong L: 2_1$, $L: 2_2$ or $L: 2_3$, and we obtain $C_G(K)/K \cong L$. Since $K \leq Z(C_G(K))$,$C_G(K)$ is a central extension of $K$ by $L$. Thus $C_G(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_6 \times L$, otherwise
we get a contradiction because $|K|$ must divide the Schure multiplier of $L$. Therefore $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}_6 \times L)\mathbb{Z}_2$. If $K \cong D_6$, then $G/C_G(K) \cong D_6$ and so
$|G/C_G(K)| = 1, 2, 3$ or 6. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 1$, then $K \leq Z(G)$, that is a
contradiction. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 2$, then we have $|K/C_G(K)| = 6, |G|/2 = 3|G|
because $K \cap C_G(K) = 1$, which is a contradiction. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 3$, then
we have $|K/C_G(K)| = 6, |G|/3 = 2|G|$ because $K \cap C_G(K) = 1$, which is a
contradiction. If $|G/C_G(K)| = 6$, then $G/C_G(K) \cong D_6$ and $C_G(K) \neq 1$.
Hence, $1 \neq C_G(K) \cong C_G(K)K/K \leq G/K \cong L: 2_1, L : 2_2$ or $L : 2_3$. It
follows that $C_G(K) \cong L : 2_1, L : 2_2$ or $L : 2_3$ because $L$ is simple. Therefore,$G \cong D_6 \times (L : 2_1), D_6 \times (L : 2_2)$ or $D_6 \times (L : 2_3)$.

Before processing the last case, we recall the following facts.

There exist five non-isomorphic groups of order 12. Two of them are abelian
and three are non-abelian. The non-abelian groups are: alternating group $A_4$,
dihedral group $D_{12}$ and the dicyclic group $T$ with generators $a$ and $b$, subject
to the relations $a^6 = 1$, $a^3 = b^2$ and $b^{-1}ab = a^{-1}$.

If $|K| = 12$, then $G/K \cong L$ and $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_{12}$, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_6$, $D_{12}$, $A_4$ or $T$.
But $C_G(K)K/K \leq G/K \cong L$. If $C_G(K)K/K = 1$, then $C_G(K) \leq K$ and
hence $|L| = |G/K|||G/C_G(K)||\text{Aut}(K)|$. Thus $|L||\text{Aut}(K)|$, a contradiction.
Therefore, $C_G(K)K/K \neq 1$ and since $L$ is simple group, we conclude that
$G = C_G(K)K$ and hence, $G/C_G(K) \cong K/Z(K)$. Now, we should consider the
following cases:

If $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_{12}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_6$, then $G/C_G(K) = 1$. Therefore $K \leq Z(G)$, that is
$G$ is a central extension of $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_6$ by $L$. If $G$ splits over $K$, we obtain$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{12} \times L$ or $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_6) \times L$, otherwise we get a contradiction because $|K|$ must divide the Schure multiplier of $L$, which is 1 and it is impossible.
If \( K \cong D_{12} \), then \( G = K.L \) and \( G/C_G(K) \cong D_6 \). Since \( C_G(K)/Z(K) \cong G/K \cong L \) and \( Z(K) \leq Z(C_G(K)) \), we conclude that \( C_G(K) \) is a central extension of \( Z(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \) by \( L \). If \( C_G(K) \) is a non-split extension, then 2 must divide the Schur multiplier of \( L \), which is 1 and it is impossible. Thus \( C_G(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times L \) and hence, \( G \) is a split extension of \( K \) by \( L \). Now, since \( \text{Hom}(L, \text{Aut}(D_{12})) \) is trivial, we have \( G \cong D_{12} \times L \).

If \( K \cong A_4 \), then \( G/C_G(K) \cong A_4 \). As \( G = C_G(K)K \), it follows that \( C_G(K) \cong L \). Therefore \( G \cong L \times A_4 \) or \( L.A_4 \).

If \( K \cong T \), then by the similar way in case \( K \cong D_{12} \), we can conclude that \( G \) is a split extension of \( K \) by \( L \). Also, since \( \text{Hom}(L, \text{Aut}(T)) \) is trivial, we have \( G \cong T \times L \). \( \square \)

According to what we said before the proof, here we depict \( \Gamma(M) \) by \( |M| \) and \( \pi_e(M) \), where \( M \) is an almost simple group related to \( L = D_4(4) \).
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$\Gamma(L : 2_3)$

$\Gamma(L : 3)$

$\Gamma(L : 2^2)$

$\Gamma(L : (D_6)_1)$
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