

## Quotient BCI-algebras induced by pseudo-valuations

Shokoofeh Ghorbani

Department of Mathematics of Bam, Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman,  
Kerman, Iran

E-mail: [sh.ghorbani@mail.uk.ac.ir](mailto:sh.ghorbani@mail.uk.ac.ir)

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we study pseudo-valuations on a BCI-algebra and obtain some related results. The relation between pseudo-valuations and ideals is investigated. We use a pseudo-metric induced by a pseudo-valuation to introduce a congruence relation on a BCI-algebra. We define the quotient algebra induced by this relation and prove that it is also a BCI-algebra and study its properties.

**Keywords:** BCI-algebra, pseudo-valuation, ideal, pseudo-metric, quotient algebra.

**2000 Mathematics subject classification:** 06F35, 08A30, 03G25.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The notions of BCK and BCI-algebras were introduced by Imai and Iseki in [7, 8]. They are two important classes of logical algebras. BCI-algebras are generalization of BCK-algebras. Some properties of these structures were presented in [1, 4, 6, 10, 11, 12] and [13]. Recently, D. Busneag [2, 3] introduced the notion of a pseudo valuation and applied it to Hilbert-algebras and residuated lattices. Also, M. I. Doh and M. S. Kang [5] applied pseudo valuations

---

to BCK/BCI algebras and investigate some properties.

In the next section, some preliminary definitions and theorems are stated. In section 3, we study pseudo-valuation on BCI-algebras and investigate its properties which is not in [5]. We discuss the relation among pseudo-valuations and ideals of a BCI-algebra. We obtain some results of pseudo-metrics induced by pseudo-valuations on BCI-algebras and prove that a pseudo-metric induced by a pseudo-valuation  $v$  is a metric on a BCK-algebra if and only if  $v$  is a valuation but it may not be true in general for a BCI-algebra. In section 4, we use pseudo-metric induced by a pseudo-valuation to define the quotient algebra. We prove that this quotient algebra is also a BCI-algebra and obtain some related results.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**Definition 2.1.**[11] An algebra  $(X, *, 0)$  of type  $(2, 0)$  is called a *BCI-algebra*, if it satisfies the following conditions: for any  $x, y, z \in X$ :

$$(BCI\ 1) ((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0,$$

$$(BCI\ 2) x * 0 = x,$$

$$(BCI\ 3) x * y = 0 \text{ and } y * x = 0 \text{ imply } x = y.$$

We call the binary operation  $*$  on  $X$  the *multiplication* on  $X$  and the constant of  $X$  the *zero element* of  $X$ . We often write  $X$  instead of  $X = (X, *, 0)$  for a BCI-algebra in brevity.

**Theorem 2.2.**[11] Let  $X$  be a BCI-algebra. Define a binary relation  $\leq$  on  $X$  by which  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $x * y = 0$  for any  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $(X, \leq)$  is a partially ordered set with 0 is a minimal element in the meaning that  $x \leq 0$  implies  $x = 0$ .

A BCI-algebra  $X$  satisfying  $0 \leq x$  for all  $x \in X$  is called a *BCK-algebra*. [10] The set of all positive elements of a BCI-algebra  $X$  is called the *BCK-part* of  $X$  and is denoted by  $B(X)$ .

**Theorem 2.3.**[10, 11] Let  $x, y, z$  be any elements in a BCI-algebra  $X$ . Then

$$(1) x \leq y \text{ implies } z * y \leq z * x,$$

$$(2) x \leq y \text{ implies } x * z \leq y * z,$$

$$(3) x * y \leq z \text{ if and only if } x * z \leq y,$$

$$(4) x * (x * y) \leq y,$$

$$(5) (x * y) * (z * y) \leq (x * z),$$

$$(6) (x * y) * (x * z) \leq (z * y),$$

$$(7) (x * y) * z = (x * z) * y,$$

$$(8) x \leq x,$$

$$(9) 0 * (x * y) = (0 * x) * (0 * y).$$

A subset  $Y$  of a BCI-algebra  $X$  is called a *subalgebra* of  $X$  if constant  $0$  of  $X$  is in  $Y$ , and  $(Y, *, 0)$  itself forms a BCI-algebra.  $B(X)$  is a subalgebra of a BCI-algebra  $X$ .

**Definition 2.4.**[11] A subset  $I$  of a BCI-algebra  $X$  is called an *ideal* of  $X$  if

(1)  $0 \in I$ ,

(2)  $y \in I, x * y \in I$  imply  $x \in I$  for any  $x, y \in X$ .

Any ideal  $I$  has the property:  $y \in I$  and  $x \leq y$  imply  $x \in I$ .

**Definition 2.5.**[11] An ideal  $I$  of a BCI-algebra  $X$  is called *closed* if  $I$  is closed under  $*$  on  $X$  (i.e,  $I$  is a subalgebra of  $X$ ).

**Proposition 2.6.**[11] An ideal  $I$  of a BCI-algebra  $X$  is closed if and only if  $0 * x \in I$  for any  $x \in I$ .

**Proposition 2.7.**[11] Let  $X$  be a BCI-algebra. Then

(i) If an ideal of  $X$  is a finite order, then it is closed, especially, if  $X$  is a finite order, then any ideal of  $X$  is closed.

(ii) If  $X$  is a BCK-algebra, then any ideal of  $X$  is closed.

**Definition 2.8.**[11] Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be BCI-algebras. A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called *homomorphism* if  $f(x * y) = f(x) * f(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

$f$  is called *epimorphism*, if it is a surjective homomorphism.  $f$  is called *monomorphism*, if it is a injective homomorphism. An *isomorphism* means that  $f$  is both of epimorphic and monomorphic. Moreover, we say  $X$  is *isomorphic* to  $Y$ , symbolically,  $X \cong Y$ , if there is an isomorphism from  $X$  to  $Y$ . For a homomorphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , we have  $f(0) = \acute{0}$  where  $0$  and  $\acute{0}$  are zero elements of  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively.

**Definition 2.9.**[11] An equivalence relation  $\theta$  on a BCI-algebra  $X$  is called a *congruence relation* on  $X$ , if  $(x, y) \in \theta$  implies  $(x * z, y * z) \in \theta$  and  $(z * x, z * y) \in \theta$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

**Theorem 2.10.**[11] Let  $I$  be an ideal of a BCI-algebra  $X$ . Define a binary relation  $\theta_I$  on  $X$  as follows:  $(x, y) \in \theta_I$  if and only if  $x * y, y * x \in I$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $\theta_I$  is a congruence relation on  $X$  which is called the *ideal congruence* on  $X$  induced by the ideal  $I$ .

**Theorem 2.11.**[11] Let  $I$  be an ideal of a BCI-algebra  $X$  and  $\theta_I$  be the ideal congruence relation. The set of all equivalence classes  $[x]_I = \{y \in X : (x, y) \in \theta_I\}$  is denoted by  $X/I$ . On this set, we define  $[x]_I * [y]_I = [x * y]_I$ . Then

$(X/I, *, [0]_I)$  is a BCI-algebra.

### 3. PSEUDO-VALUATIONS ON BCI-ALGEBRAS

**Definition 3.1.**[4] A real function  $v : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$  is called a *pseudo-valuation* on a BCI-algebra  $X$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

(V1)  $v(0) = 0$ ,

(V2)  $v(x) \leq v(x * y) + v(y)$ ; for all  $x, y \in X$ .

The pseudo-valuation  $v$  is said to be a *valuation* if

(V3)  $v(x) = 0$  implies  $x = 0$ .

**Example 3.2.**(i) Let  $X$  be an arbitrary BCI-algebra and  $c \in \mathfrak{R}$  such that  $c \geq 0$ . Define  $v : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$  by  $v(x) = c$  for all  $x \in X - \{0\}$  and  $v(0) = 0$ . Then  $v$  is a pseudo-valuation on  $X$ . If  $c = 0$ , then  $v$  is called *zero pseudo-valuation*.

(ii) The set  $Z$  of integer, together with the binary operation  $*$  defined by  $x * y = x - y$  forms a BCI-algebra, where the operation  $-$  is the subtraction as usual. Let  $a \neq 0$  be an arbitrary element of  $Z$ . Then  $v(x) = ax$  is a valuation on  $Z$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $v$  be a pseudo-valuation on a BCI-algebra  $X$ . Then

(1)  $x \leq y$  implies  $v(x) \leq v(y)$ ,

(2)  $v(x * y) \leq v(x * z) + v(z * y)$ ,

(3)  $0 \leq v(x * y) + v(y * x)$ ,

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

*Proof.* See Proposition 3.11 in [4]. □

**Corollary 3.4.** Let  $v$  be a pseudo-valuation on a BCI-algebra  $X$ . If  $x \in B(X)$ , then  $v(x) \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $x \in B(X)$ , then  $0 \leq x$ . By Theorem 3.3 part (1), we get that  $0 = v(0) \leq v(x)$ . □

In the following example, we will show that if  $v$  is a pseudo-valuation on a BCI-algebra  $X$  such that  $v(x) \geq 0$  where  $x \in X$ , then it may not be true  $x \in B(X)$  in general.

**Example 3.5.** Let  $X$  be a BCI-algebra with the universe  $\{0, 1, a\}$  such that the operation  $*$  is defined by the table below:

$*$	0	1	$a$
0	0	0	$a$
1	1	0	$a$
$a$	$a$	$a$	0

Define  $v(0) = 0$ ,  $v(1) = 3$  and  $v(a) = 6$ . Then  $v$  is a pseudo-valuation on  $X$  and  $v(a) \geq 0$ . But we have  $a \notin B(X)$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $I$  be an ideal of a BCI-algebra  $X$  and  $t$  be a positive element of  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Define  $v_I : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ ,

$$v_I(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in I \\ t & x \notin I \end{cases}$$

Then  $v_I$  is a pseudo-valuation on  $X$  which is called the *pseudo-valuation induced by ideal  $I$* . Moreover  $v_I$  is a valuation if and only if  $I = \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* The proof is straightforward. □

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $v$  be a pseudo-valuation on a BCI-algebra  $X$ . Then  $I_v = \{x \in X : v(x) \leq 0\}$  is an ideal of  $X$  which is called the *ideal induced by pseudo-valuation  $v$* .

*Proof.* Since  $v(0) = 0$ , we have  $0 \in I_v$ . Suppose that  $y, x * y \in I_v$ . Then  $v(y), v(x * y) \leq 0$ . We get that

$$v(x) \leq v(x * y) + v(y) \leq 0$$

Therefore  $x \in I_v$  and  $I_v$  is an ideal of  $X$ . □

**Corollary 3.8.** Let  $v$  be a pseudo-valuation on a BCI-algebra  $X$ . If  $X$  is finite order or  $X = B(X)$ , then  $I_v$  is a closed ideal of  $X$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 3.7 and Proposition 2.7. □

**Remark 3.9.** The ideal induced by a pseudo-valuation  $v$  on a BCI-algebra  $X$  may not be closed. Consider Example 3.2 part (ii). If  $v(x) = x$ , for all  $x \in Z$ , then  $I_v$  is the set of negative integer which is not a closed ideal of  $Z$ .

**Theorem 3.10.** Let  $I$  be an ideal of a BCI-algebra  $X$ . Then  $I_{v_I} = I$ .

*Proof.* We have  $I_{v_I} = \{x \in X : v_I(x) \leq 0\} = \{x \in X : x \in I\} = I$ . □

**Remark 3.11.** The above Theorems do not furnish a one to one correspondence between ideals and pseudo-valuations, because two distinct pseudo-valuations of a given BCI-algebra may induce the same ideal. Consider the following example:

**Example 3.12.** Let  $X$  be a BCI-algebra with the universe  $\{0, 1, 2, a, b\}$  such that the operation  $*$  is defined by the table below:

$*$	0	1	2	$a$	$b$
0	0	0	0	$a$	$a$
1	1	0	0	$a$	$a$
2	2	2	0	$b$	$a$
$a$	$a$	$a$	$a$	0	0
$b$	$b$	$b$	$a$	2	0

Define  $v_1(0) = v_1(1) = 0$ ,  $v_1(2) = 4$ ,  $v_1(a) = 3$ ,  $v_1(b) = 5$  and  $v_2(0) = v_2(1) = 0$ ,  $v_2(2) = 4$ ,  $v_2(a) = 2$ ,  $v_2(b) = 3$ . Then  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are two pseudo-valuations on  $X$  such that  $I_{v_1} = \{0, 1\} = I_{v_2}$ .

**Theorem 3.13.** Let  $v$  be a pseudo-valuation on a BCI-algebra  $X$ . Define  $d_v : X \times X \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$  by

$$d_v(x, y) = v(x * y) + v(y * x),$$

for  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ . Then  $d_v$  is a pseudo-metric on  $X$  which is called the *pseudo-metric induced by pseudo-valuation  $v$* .

*Proof.* See Theorem 3.6 in [4]. □

**Theorem 3.14.** Let  $v$  be a pseudo-valuation on a BCI-algebra  $X$  such that  $I_v$  is a closed ideal of  $X$ . If  $d_v$  is a metric on  $X$ , then  $v$  is a valuation.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $v$  is not a valuation on  $X$ . Then there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $x \neq 0$  and  $v(x) = 0$ . Hence  $0, x \in I_v$ . Since  $I_v$  is a closed ideal of  $X$ , then  $0 * x \in I_v$ , that is  $v(0 * x) \leq 0$ . We have

$$0 = v(0) \leq v(0 * x) + v(x) = v(0 * x) \leq 0.$$

Hence  $v(0 * x) = 0$ . We get that  $d_v(x, 0) = v(x * 0) + v(0 * x) = 0$ . Since  $d_v$  is a metric on  $X$ , then  $x = 0$  which is a contradiction. □

If  $I_v$  is not a closed ideal of  $X$ , then the above theorem may not be true. See the following example:

**Example 3.15.** Consider the set  $Z$  of integer, together with the binary operation  $*$  defined by  $x * y = x - y$ . Let  $a > 0$  be an arbitrary element of  $Z$ . Define  $v_a(x) = a - x$ , where  $x \in Z - \{0\}$  and  $v_a(0) = 0$ . Then  $v_a$  is a pseudo-valuation

on a BCI-algebra  $Z$ ,  $d_v$  is a metric space and  $I_v = \{x \in X : a \leq x\} \cup \{0\}$  is not a closed ideal of  $Z$ . Since  $v_a(a) = 0$ , then  $v_a$  is not a valuation.

**Theorem 3.16.** Let  $v$  be a valuation on a BCI-algebra  $X$  such that  $I_v = \{0\}$ . Then  $d_v$  is a metric on  $X$ .

*Proof.* Since  $I_v = \{0\}$ , then  $v(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in X$ . Hence  $d_v$  is a metric on  $X$  by Theorem 3.20 in [4].  $\square$

If  $I_v \neq \{0\}$ , then the above theorem may not be true. Consider  $v$  in Remark 3.9. Then  $I_v \neq \{0\}$  and  $d_v(0, 1) = 0$ . Hence  $d_v$  is not a metric on  $X$ .

**Corollary 3.17.** Let  $v$  be a pseudo-valuation on a BCK-algebra  $X$ . Then  $v$  is a valuation if and only if  $d_v$  is a metric on  $X$ .

*Proof.* Since  $v$  is a valuation and  $X$  is a BCK-algebra, then  $I_v = \{0\}$ . By Theorem 3.16,  $d_v$  is a metric on  $X$ . Converse follows from Theorem 3.14 and Proposition 2.7.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.18.** Let  $v$  be pseudo-valuation on a BCI-algebra  $X$ . Then

- (1)  $d_v(x * z, y * z) \leq d_v(x, y)$ ,
  - (2)  $d_v(z * x, z * y) \leq d_v(x, y)$ ,
  - (3)  $d_v(x * y, z * w) \leq d_v(x * y, z * y) + d_v(z * y, z * w)$ ,
- for all  $x, y, z, w \in X$ .

*Proof.* See Proposition 3.17 in [4].  $\square$

#### 4. QUOTIENT BCI-ALGEBRAS INDUCED BY PSEUDO VALUATIONS

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $v$  be a pseudo-valuation on a BCI-algebra  $X$ . Define the relation  $\theta_v$  by:

$$(x, y) \in \theta_v \quad \text{if and only if} \quad d_v(x, y) = 0,$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** Let  $v$  be a pseudo-valuation on a BCI-algebra  $X$ . Then  $\theta_v$  is a congruence relation on  $X$  which is called the *congruence relation induced* by  $v$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\theta_v$  induced by a pseudo-metric, it is an equivalence relation on  $X$ . Suppose that  $(x, y), (z, w) \in \theta_v$ . Then we have  $d_v(x, y) = d_v(z, w) = 0$ . By

Lemma 3.18 part (1), we have  $d_v(x * z, y * z) \leq d_v(x, y) = 0$ . By Theorem 3.3 part (3), we obtain that  $0 \leq v((x * z) * (y * z)) + v((y * z) * (x * z)) = d_v(x * z, y * z)$ . Hence  $d_v(x * z, y * z) = 0$  and then  $(x * z, y * z) \in \theta_v$ . Similar proof gives  $(y * z, y * w) \in \theta_v$ . Since  $\theta_v$  is transitive, then  $(x * z, y * w) \in \theta_v$ . Hence  $\theta_v$  is a congruence relation on  $X$ .  $\square$

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $v$  be a pseudo-valuation on a BCI-algebra  $X$  and  $\theta_v$  be the congruence relation induced by  $v$ . The set of all equivalence classes  $[x]_v = \{y \in A : (x, y) \in \theta_v\}$  is denoted by  $X/v$ . On this set, we define  $[x]_v * [y]_v = [x * y]_v$ . The resulting algebra is denoted by  $X/v$  and is called the *quotient algebra of  $X$  induced by pseudo-valuation  $v$* .

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $v$  be a pseudo-valuation on a BCI-algebra  $X$ . Then  $(X/v, *, [0]_v)$  is a BCI-algebra and  $d^*([x]_v, [y]_v) = d(x, y)$  is a metric on  $X/v$ . Moreover, the quotient topology on  $X/v$  coincide with the metric topology induced by  $d^*$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\theta_v$  is a congruence relation, the operation  $*$  is well defined. The proof of (BCI 1) and (BCI 2) is obvious. We only prove (BCI 3). Suppose that  $[x]_v * [y]_v = [0]_v$  and  $[y]_v * [x]_v = [0]_v$  for some  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $[x * y]_v = [0]_v$  and  $[y * x]_v = [0]_v$  by Definition 4.3. So  $(x * y, 0), (y * x, 0) \in \theta_v$ . By definition of  $\theta_v$ , the following hold

$$v(x * y) + v(0 * (x * y)) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v(y * x) + v(0 * (y * x)) = 0.$$

By Theorem 2.3 part (9), we have  $(0 * x) * (0 * y) = 0 * (x * y)$  and  $(0 * y) * (0 * x) = 0 * (y * x)$ . Since  $v$  is a pseudo-valuation and order preserving, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} v(0 * x) - v(0 * y) &\leq v((0 * x) * (0 * y)) = v(0 * (x * y)), \\ v(0 * y) - v(0 * x) &\leq v((0 * y) * (0 * x)) = v(0 * (y * x)). \end{aligned}$$

We get that

$$\begin{aligned} v(0 * x) - v(0 * y) + v(x * y) &\leq v(0 * (x * y)) + v(x * y) = 0, \\ v(0 * y) - v(0 * x) + v(y * x) &\leq v(0 * (y * x)) + v(y * x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $v(x * y) + v(y * x) \leq 0$ . By Theorem 3.3 part (3),  $v(x * y) + v(y * x) = 0$ . It follows that  $(x, y) \in \theta_v$ , that is  $[x]_v = [y]_v$ . Hence  $(X/v, *, [0]_v)$  is a BCI-algebra.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.5.** Let  $v$  be a pseudo-valuation on a BCI-algebra  $X$  such that  $I_v$  is a closed ideal of  $X$ . Then  $I_v \subseteq [0]_v$ .



*Proof.* Let  $x \in I_v$ . Then  $v(x) \leq 0$ . Since  $I_v$  is a closed ideal of  $X$ , then  $0 * x \in I_v$ . By definition  $I_v$ ,  $v(0 * x) \leq 0$ . We get that  $v(0 * x) + v(x) \leq 0$ . By Theorem 3.3 part (3),  $v(0 * x) + v(x) = 0$ . Hence  $x \in [0]_v$ .  $\square$

If  $I_v$  is not a closed ideal of  $X$ , then the above theorem may not be true in general. For example, we have  $I_v \not\subseteq [0]_v$  in Remark 3.9.

**Proposition 4.6.** Let  $v$  be a pseudo-valuation on a BCI-algebra  $X$  such that  $v(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then  $[0]_v \subseteq I_v$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in [0]_v$ . Then  $(0, x) \in \theta_v$ . By definition  $\theta_v$ , we have  $v(0 * x) + v(x) = 0$ . Since  $v(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in X$ , we obtain  $v(0 * x) = v(x) = 0$ . Hence  $x \in I_v$  by definition  $I_v$ .  $\square$

If we do not have  $v(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in X$ , then the above theorem may not be true. Consider Example 3.15, we have  $I_v \not\subseteq [0]_v$ .

**Corollary 4.7.** Let  $v$  be a pseudo-valuation on a BCI-algebra  $X$  such that  $v(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $I_v$  is a closed ideal of  $X$ . Then  $I_v = [0]_v$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Proposition 4.5 and Proposition 4.6.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.8.** Let  $v$  be a pseudo-valuation on a BCI-algebra  $X$  and  $I_v$  be the ideal induced by  $v$ . Then  $\theta_{I_v} \subseteq \theta_v$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(x, y) \in \theta_{I_v}$ . Then  $x * y, y * x \in I_v$ . We have  $v(x * y) \leq 0$  and  $v(y * x) \leq 0$ , by definition  $I_v$ . Thus  $v(x * y) + v(y * x) \leq 0$ . By Theorem 3.3 part (3),  $v(x * y) + v(y * x) = 0$ . It follows that  $(x, y) \in \theta_v$ . Hence  $\theta_{I_v} \subseteq \theta_v$ .  $\square$

In the above theorem, the opposite inclusion may not hold. See Example 3.2 part (2).

**Proposition 4.9.** Let  $v$  be a pseudo-valuation on a BCI-algebra  $X$  such that  $v(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $I_v$  be the ideal induced by  $v$ . Then  $\theta_v \subseteq \theta_{I_v}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(x, y) \in \theta_v$ . Then  $v(x * y) + v(y * x) = 0$ . Since  $v(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in X$ , we obtain that  $v(x * y) = 0$  and  $v(y * x) = 0$ . By definition  $I_v$ , we get that  $x * y, y * x \in I_v$ . It follows that  $(x, y) \in \theta_{I_v}$ . Hence  $\theta_v \subseteq \theta_{I_v}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.10.** Let  $I$  be an ideal of a BCI-algebra  $X$ . Then  $\theta_I = \theta_{I_v}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(x, y) \in \theta_I$ . Then  $x * y, y * x \in I$  by Theorem 2.10. We have  $v_I(x * y) = v_I(y * x) = 0$ , by Theorem 3.6. Hence  $d_v(x, y) = 0$  and then  $(x, y) \in \theta_{v_I}$ .

Conversely, let  $(x, y) \in \theta_{v_I}$ . Then  $v_I(x * y) + v_I(y * x) = 0$ . Since  $v_I(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in X$ , we obtain that  $v_I(x * y) = v_I(y * x) = 0$ , that is  $x * y, y * x \in I$ . Hence  $(x, y) \in \theta_I$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.11.** Let  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  be two different pseudo-valuations on a BCI-algebra  $X$  such that  $[0]_{v_1} = [0]_{v_2}$ . Then  $\theta_{v_1}$  and  $\theta_{v_2}$  coincide, thus  $X/v_1 = X/v_2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(x, y) \in \theta_{v_1}$ . Then  $(x * y, 0) = (x * y, y * y) \in \theta_{v_1}$ . It follows that  $x * y \in [0]_{v_1}$ . Similarly, we can show that  $y * x \in [0]_{v_1}$ . By assumption  $[0]_{v_1} = [0]_{v_2}$ , so we get that

$$[x]_{v_2} * [y]_{v_2} = [x * y]_{v_2} = [0]_{v_2} \quad \text{and} \quad [y]_{v_2} * [x]_{v_2} = [y * x]_{v_2} = [0]_{v_2}$$

Since  $X/v_2$  is a BCI-algebra, then  $[x]_{v_2} = [y]_{v_2}$ . Hence  $(x, y) \in \theta_{v_2}$  and then  $X/v_1 = X/v_2$ . It follows that  $X/v_2 = X/v_1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.12.** Let  $v$  be a pseudo-valuation on a BCI-algebra  $X$  and  $I$  be an ideal of  $X$  such that  $[0]_v \subseteq I$ . Denote  $I/v = \{[x]_v : x \in I\}$ . Then

- (1)  $x \in I$  if and only if  $[x]_v \in I/v$  for any  $x \in X$ ,
- (2)  $I/v$  is an ideal of  $X/v$ .

*Proof.* (1) Suppose that  $[x]_v \in I/v$ . Then there exists  $y \in I$  such that  $[x]_v = [y]_v$ . Hence  $(x, y) \in \theta_v$ . It follows that  $(x * y, 0) \in \theta_v$ . We get that  $x * y \in [0]_v$ . Since  $[0]_v \subseteq I$ , we have  $x * y, y \in I$ . Hence  $x \in I$ . The converse is trivial.

(2) Since  $0 \in I$ , then  $[0]_v \in I/v$  by part (1). Let  $[x]_v * [y]_v, [y]_v \in I/v$ . By Definition 4.3,  $[x]_v * [y]_v = [x * y]_v$ . We have  $x * y, y \in I$  by part (1). Since  $I$  is an ideal,  $x \in I$ . We get that  $[x]_v \in I/v$ . Therefore  $I/v$  is an ideal of  $X/v$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.13.** Let  $v$  be a pseudo-valuation on a BCI-algebra  $X$  and  $J$  be an ideal of  $X/v$ . Then  $I = \{x \in X : [x]_v \in J\}$  is an ideal of  $X$  such that  $[0]_v \subseteq I$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that  $0 \in [0]_v \subseteq I$ . Suppose that  $x * y, y \in I$ . Then  $[y]_v, [x * y]_v = [x]_v * [y]_v \in J$ . Since  $J$  is an ideal of  $X/v$ , then  $[x]_v \in J$ . By definition  $I$ , we obtain  $x \in I$ . Hence  $I$  is an ideal of  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.14.** Let  $v$  be a pseudo-valuation on a BCI-algebra  $X$ ,  $I(X, v)$  the collection of all ideals of  $X$  containing  $[0]_v$ , and  $I(X/v)$  the collection of all ideals of  $X/v$ . Then  $\varphi : I(X, v) \rightarrow I(X/v)$ ,  $I \rightarrow I/v$ , is a bijection.

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 4.12 and Lemma 4.13.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.15.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be BCI-algebras,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  a homomorphism and  $v$  a pseudo-valuation on  $Y$ . Then  $v \circ f : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$  defined by  $v \circ f(x) = v(f(x))$  for all  $x \in X$  is a pseudo-valuation on  $X$ .

*Proof.* The proof is straightforward.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.16.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be BCI-algebras,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  an epimorphism and  $v$  a pseudo-valuation on  $Y$ . Then  $X/v \circ f \cong Y/v$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.15 and Theorem 4.4, we have  $X/v \circ f$  and  $Y/v$  are BCI-algebras. Define  $\psi : X/v \circ f \rightarrow Y/v$  by  $\psi([x]_{v \circ f}) = [f(x)]_v$  for all  $x \in X$ .

(1) Suppose that  $[x]_{v \circ f} = [y]_{v \circ f}$ . Then  $(v \circ f)(x * y) + (v \circ f)(y * x) = 0$ . Since  $f$  is a homomorphism, then  $v(f(x) * f(y)) + v(f(y) * f(x)) = 0$ . We obtain that  $[f(x)]_v = [f(y)]_v$ . We get that  $\psi([x]_{v \circ f}) = \psi([y]_{v \circ f})$ , that is  $\psi$  is well define.

(2) We show that  $\psi$  is a homomorphism. Since  $f$  is a homomorphism,

$$(i) \psi([0]_{v \circ f}) = [f(0)]_v = [0]_v,$$

$$(ii) \psi([x]_{v \circ f} * [y]_{v \circ f}) = \psi([x * y]_{v \circ f}) = [f(x * y)]_v = [f(x) * f(y)]_v = [f(x)]_v * [f(y)]_v = \psi([x]_{v \circ f}) * \psi([y]_{v \circ f}).$$

(3) Let  $[y]_v \in Y/v$  be arbitrary. Since  $f$  is surjective, there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $f(x) = y$ . Hence  $\psi([x]_{v \circ f}) = [f(x)]_v = [y]_v$  and  $\psi$  is surjective.

(4) We prove that  $\psi$  is one to one. Suppose that  $\psi([x]_{v \circ f}) = \psi([y]_{v \circ f})$ . Then  $[f(x)]_v = [f(y)]_v$ . We get that  $v(f(x) * f(y)) + v(f(y) * f(x)) = 0$ . Since  $f$  is a homomorphism, then  $(v \circ f)(x * y) + (v \circ f)(y * x) = 0$ . We obtain  $[x]_{v \circ f} = [y]_{v \circ f}$ . Hence  $X/v \circ f \cong Y/v$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.17.** Let  $v$  be a pseudo-valuation on a BCI-algebra  $X$  and  $X/v$  be the corresponding quotient algebra. Then the map  $\pi : X \rightarrow X/v$  defined by  $\pi(x) = [x]_v$  for all  $x \in X$  is an epimorphism.

**Corollary 4.18.** Let  $v$  be a pseudo-valuation on a BCI-algebra  $X$  and  $X/v$  the corresponding quotient algebra. For each pseudo-valuation  $\bar{v}_1$  on a BCI-algebra  $X/v$ , there exists a pseudo-valuation  $v_1$  on a BCI-algebra  $X$ , such that  $v_1 = \bar{v}_1 \circ \pi$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 4.15 and Lemma 4.17.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.19.** Let  $v$  be a pseudo-valuation on a BCI-algebra  $X$  such that  $v(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then  $\bar{v} : X/v \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$  define by  $\bar{v}([x]_v) = v(x)$  is a pseudo-valuation on  $X/v$ .

*Proof.* It is enough to show that  $\bar{v}$  is well defined. Let  $[x]_v = [y]_v$ . Since  $v(x) \geq 0$ , then  $v(x * y) = v(y * x) = 0$ . We have  $x * (x * y) \leq y$ . By Theorem 3.3 part (1),  $v(x * (x * y)) \leq v(y)$ . It follows that  $v(x * (x * y)) + v(x * y) \leq v(y) + v(x * y)$ . Therefore  $v(x) \leq v(x * (x * y)) + v(x * y) \leq v(y)$ . Similarly, we can show that  $v(y) \leq v(x)$ . Therefore  $v(y) = v(x)$  and then  $\bar{v}$  is well defined.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** I am grateful to the referees for their valuable suggestions, which have improved this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Borumand Saeid, Redefined fuzzy subalgebra (with thresholds) of BCK/BCI-algebras, *Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics*, **4** (2) (2009), 9–24.
- [2] C. Busneag, On extensions of pseudo-valuations on Hilbert algebras, *Discrete Mathematics*, **263** (2003), 11–24.
- [3] C. Busneag, Valuations on residuated lattices, *Annals of University of Craiova, Math. Comp. Sci. Ser.*, **34** (2007), 21–28.
- [4] M. Daoji, BCI-algebras and Abelian groups, *Math. Japon.*, **32** (5)(1987), 693–696.
- [5] M. I. Doh and M. S. Kang, BCK/BCI-algebras with pseudo valations, *Honam Math. J.*, **32** (2) (2010), 217–226.
- [6] M. Golmohammadian and M. M. Zahedi, BCK-Algebras and Hyper BCK-Algebras Induced by a Deterministic Finite Automaton, *Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics*, **4** (1) (2009), 79–98.
- [7] Y. Imai and K. Iseki, On axiom systems of propositional calculi XIV, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **42**(1966), 19–22.
- [8] K. Iseki, An algebra related with a propositional calculus, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **42** (1966), 26–29.
- [9] Y. B. Jun, S. Z. Song and C. Lele, Foldness of Quasi-associative Ideals in BCI-algebras, *Scientiae Mathematicae*, **6** (2002), 227-231.
- [10] J. Meng and Y. B. Jun, *BCK-Algebras*, Kyung Moon Sa Co., Seoul, Korea, 1994.
- [11] Y.S. Huang, *BCI-algebra*, Science Press, China, 2006.
- [12] T. Roudabri and L. Torkezadeh, A topology on BCK-algebras via left and right stabilizers, *Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics*, **4** (2) (2009), 1–8.
- [13] C. Xi, on a class of BCI-algebras, *Math Japonica* **35**, **1**(1990), 13–17.