

## Chromatic Harmonic Indices and Chromatic Harmonic Polynomials of Certain Graphs

Johan Kok<sup>a</sup>, K.A. Germina<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Centre for Studies in Discrete Mathematics, Vidya Academy of Science & Technology, Thrissur, India.

<sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics, School of Physical Sciences, Central University of Kerala, Kasargod, India.

E-mail: kokkiek2@tshwane.gov.za

E-mail: germinaka@cukerala.ac.in

**ABSTRACT.** In the main this paper introduces the concept of chromatic harmonic polynomials denoted,  $H^x(G, x)$  and chromatic harmonic indices denoted,  $H^x(G)$  of a graph  $G$ . The new concept is then applied to finding explicit formula for the minimum (maximum) chromatic harmonic polynomials and the minimum (maximum) chromatic harmonic index of certain graphs. It is also applied to split graphs and certain derivative split graphs.

**Keywords:** Chromatic harmonic index, Chromatic harmonic polynomial, Split graph, Derivative split graph.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C15, 05C38, 05C75, 05C85.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

For general notation and concepts in graphs and digraphs see [1] [7]. Unless mentioned otherwise all graphs are simple, connected and undirected graphs. In this article a graph  $G$  will have order  $n \geq 2$  with vertex set  $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n\}$  and size  $p \geq 1$  with edge set  $E(G) = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots, e_p\}$ ,

---

\*Corresponding Author

denoted as  $\nu(G) = n$  and  $\varepsilon(G) = p$ . An edge  $e_i = v_i v_j$  means that the vertices  $v_i, v_j$  are adjacent. A multivariate polynomial over a field whose Laplacian is zero is termed as Harmonic polynomial. They form a vector subspace of the vector space of polynomials over the field.

In [8] Zhong introduced the harmonic index for graphs. Harmonic index is one of the most important indices in chemical and mathematical fields. It is a variant of the Randic index which is the most successful molecular descriptor in structure-property and structure activity relationship studies. Very recently in [2], Iranmanesh et. al introduced the concept of the harmonic polynomial of a graph  $G$  as

**Definition 1.1.** [2]  $H(G, x) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} 2x^{d_G(u)+d_G(v)-1}$ , where  
 $\int_0^1 H(G, x) = H(G)$ .

Researchers are interested in considering the relationship between the harmonic index and the eigenvalues of graphs, determining the minimum and maximum values of the harmonic index and, estimating the bounds for  $H(G)$ .

In [8] the authors established explicit formulas for the harmonic polynomial of several classes of graphs.

It is observed that most structural indices of kind, are defined in terms of the vertex degree in  $G$ . The variation we will consider is that of the colour of a vertex when applying what is known to be a minimum parameter chromatic colouring to  $G$  [4].

## 2. CHROMATIC HARMONIC POLYNOMIAL AND CHROMATIC HARMONIC INDEX

One may recall that if  $\mathcal{C} = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_\ell\}$  is a set of distinct colours, a proper vertex colouring of a graph  $G$  denoted  $\varphi : V(G) \mapsto \mathcal{C}$  is a vertex colouring such that no two distinct adjacent vertices have the same colour. The cardinality of a minimum set of colours which is a proper vertex colouring of  $G$  is called the chromatic number of  $G$  and is denoted  $\chi(G)$ . When a vertex colouring is considered with colours of minimum subscripts the colouring is called a *minimum parameter* colouring. Unless stated otherwise we consider minimum parameter colour sets throughout this paper. The number of times a colour  $c_i$  is allocated to vertices of a graph  $G$  is denoted by  $\theta(c_i)$  and  $\varphi : v_i \mapsto c_j$  is abbreviated,  $c(v_i) = c_j$ . Furthermore, we define an important derivative index that is, if  $c(v_i) = c_j$  then  $\iota(v_i) = j$ .

**Rainbow Neighborhood Convention:**[5] Unless mentioned otherwise we shall consider the colours  $\mathcal{C} = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_\ell\}$  and always colour vertices

with maximum  $c_1$ , followed by maximum  $c_2$  among the remaining uncoloured vertices, ..., followed by maximum  $c_\ell$  for the final remaining uncoloured vertices.

Note that the Rainbow Neighborhood Convention ensures a minimum valued chromatic harmonic polynomial and therefore a minimum chromatic harmonic index. The inverse to the convention ensures the maximum valued chromatic harmonic polynomial and the maximum chromatic harmonic index. The inverse colouring requires the mapping  $c_j \mapsto c_{\ell-(j-1)}$ . Corresponding to the inverse colouring we define the inverse index  $\iota'(v_i) = \ell - (j - 1)$  if  $c(v_i) = c_j$ . We shall colour a graph in accordance with the Rainbow Neighborhood Convention [5]. We are now ready to introduce the definitions of the chromatic harmonic polynomials and the chromatic harmonic indices.

**Definition 2.1.** For a graph  $G$  and the minimum parameter colour set  $\mathcal{C} = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_{\chi(G)}\}$  the minimum (or maximum) chromatic harmonic polynomial ( $CHP^-$  or  $CHP^+$ ) and the minimum (or maximum) chromatic harmonic index ( $CHI^-$  or  $CHI^+$ ) are defined as

$$H^{\chi^-}(G, x) = \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} 2x^{\iota(v_i) + \iota(v_j)}, \text{ and } H^{\chi^-}(G) = \int_0^1 H^{\chi^-}(G, x)$$

and,

$$H^{\chi^+}(G, x) = \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} 2x^{\iota'(v_i) + \iota'(v_j)}, \text{ and } H^{\chi^+}(G) = \int_0^1 H^{\chi^+}(G, x)$$

**Proposition 2.2.** For a complete graph  $K_n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ,

(1) If  $n$  is even, then

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\chi^-}(K_n, x) = H^{\chi^+}(K_n, x) &= 2 \cdot [x^{2n-1} + x^{2n-2} + 2(x^{2n-3} + x^{2n-4}) + 3(x^{2n-5} + \\ & x^{2n-6}) + \dots + \frac{n}{2}(x^{n+2} + x^{n+1}) + \\ & (\frac{n}{2} - 1)(x^n + x^{n-1}) + (\frac{n}{2} - 2)(x^{n-2} + x^{n-3}) + \dots + 2(x^6 + x^5) + x^4 + x^3], \end{aligned}$$

(2) If  $n$  is odd, then

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\chi^-}(K_n, x) = H^{\chi^+}(K_n, x) &= 2 \cdot [x^{2n-1} + x^{2n-2} + 2(x^{2n-3} + x^{2n-4}) + 3(x^{2n-5} + \\ & x^{2n-6}) + \dots + \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor (x^{n+3} + x^{n+2} + x^{n+1}) + \\ & (\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1)(x^n + x^{n-1}) + (\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 2)(x^{n-2} + x^{n-3}) + \dots + 2(x^6 + x^5) + x^4 + x^3]. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* For a complete graph  $K_n$ ,  $n \geq 2$  we have that  $\theta(c_i) = 1$ ,  $\forall c_i \in \{c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_n\}$ . It is known that for the integers  $a < b$  there exist exactly  $t = (b - a) - 1$  integers which all hence, anyone say  $x$ , satisfies  $a < x < b$ . It implies that there are  $\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor$  pairs of such inbetween integers with sum equal to  $a + b$ . Also, for  $t$  even we have that  $\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{t+1}{2} \rfloor$ . Clearly as a result of completeness the principle of symmetry in summation applies and both the results follow from Definition 2.1 and through immediate induction.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.3.** For a cycle  $C_n$ ,  $n \geq 3$

(1) When  $n$  is even,

$$H^{\chi^-}(C_n, x) = H^{\chi^+}(C_n, x) = 2nx^3, \text{ and } H^{\chi^-}(C_n) = H^{\chi^+}(C_n) = \frac{n}{2},$$

(2) When  $n$  is odd,

$$H^{\chi^-}(C_n, x) = 2(n-2)x^3 + 2x^4 + 2x^5, \quad H^{\chi^+}(C_n, x) = 2(n-2)x^5 + 2x^4 + 2x^3,$$

and

$$H^{\chi^-}(C_n) = \frac{n}{2} - \frac{4}{15}, \quad H^{\chi^+}(C_n) = \frac{n-2}{3} + \frac{9}{10}.$$

*Proof.* (1) For  $n$  is even,  $C_n$  is bipartite hence, the chromatic number equals 2. Further, because  $|E(C_n)| = n$  the results follow easily.

(2) For odd  $n$ , the chromatic number of  $C_n$ ,  $\chi(C_n) = 3$ . For minimum colour sums for the edges the minimum parameter colour set  $\{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$ , allows exactly one vertex say,  $v_n$  with colour  $c_3$ . It follows that  $v_n$  is adjacent to vertices with colours  $c_1, c_2$  respectively. Therefore the colour sum terms  $2x^4$  and  $2x^5$  follow. For all the other  $n-2$  edges the colour sum term  $2x^3$  applies.

For maximum colour sums for the edges the colour rotation mapping  $c_i \mapsto c_{\chi-(i-1)}$  applies and the result follows along the same reasoning.  $\square$

Proposition 2.4 discuss  $H^{\chi^-}$  and  $H^{\chi^+}$  of the certain classes of graphs such as  $\Pi_n$ ,  $K_{m,n}$ ,  $S_n = K_{1,n-1}$ ,  $P_n$ , and  $Q_n$ .

**Proposition 2.4.**

1. For a prism  $\Pi_n$ , formed by the two cycle  $C_n$ ,  $n \geq 3$  and  $n$  is odd,  
 $H^{\chi^-}(\Pi_n, x) = 6(n-2)x^3 + 6x^4 + 6x^5 = \frac{3n}{2} - \frac{4}{5}$  and,  
 $H^{\chi^+}(\Pi_n, x) = 6(n-2)x^5 + 6x^4 + 6x^3 = n + \frac{7}{10}$ , and

For  $n$  is even,

$$H^{\chi^-}(\Pi_n, x) = H^{\chi^+}(\Pi_n, x) = 6nx^3.$$

2. For complete bipartite graph  $K_{m,n}$ , where  $m, n \geq 2$ ,

$$H^{\chi^-}(K_{m,n}, x) = H^{\chi^+}(K_{m,n}, x) = 2mnx^3,$$

$$H^{\chi^-}(K_{m,n}) = H^{\chi^+}(K_{m,n}) = \frac{mn}{2}.$$

3. For  $n \geq 3$ , and  $S_n = K_{1,n-1}$ ,

$$H^{\chi^-}(S_n, x) = H^{\chi^+}(S_n, x) = 2(n-1)x^3,$$

$$H^{\chi^-}(S_n) = H^{\chi^+}(S_n) = \frac{n-1}{2}.$$

4. For Path  $P_n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ ,

$$H^{\chi^-}(P_n, x) = H^{\chi^+}(P_n, x) = 2(n-1)x^3$$

$$H^{\chi^-}(P_n) = H^{\chi^+}(P_n) = \frac{n-1}{2}.$$

5. For  $Q_n = K_2 \times Q_{n-1}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$H^{\chi^-}(Q_n, x) = H^{\chi^+}(Q_n, x) = n2^n x^3 \text{ and } H^{\chi^-}(Q_n) = H^{\chi^+}(Q_n) = n2^{n-2}.$$

*Proof.* Consider the prism formed by the two cycle  $C_n$ ,  $n \geq 3$  and  $n$  is odd. Label the vertices of the respective cycles as  $v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n$  and  $u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_n$  such that we have the edges,  $v_i u_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Colour the vertices as  $c(v_1) = c_1, c(v_2) = c_2, c(v_3) = c_1, \dots, c(v_{n-1}) = c_2, c(v_n) = c_3$  and  $c(u_n) = c_1, c(u_1) = 2, c(u_2) = c_1, \dots, c(u_{n-1}) = c_3$ . Clearly, this vertex colouring ensures minimum colour sums for all edges and the result follows. For maximum colour sums for the edges the colour rotation mapping  $c_i \mapsto c_{\chi-(i-1)}$  applies and the result follows along the same reasoning. Since all graphs  $K_{m,n}$ ,  $S_n = K_{1,n-1}$ ,  $P_n$ , and  $Q_n$  are bipartite, the respective chromatic number equals 2. Further, because  $|E(C_n)| = n, |E(\Pi_n)| = 3n, |E(K_{m,n})| = mn, |E(S_n)| = n-1, |E(P_n)| = n-1$  and  $|E(Q_n)| = n2^{(n-1)}$ , one may easily check that the results follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5.** Any graph  $G$  of size  $\varepsilon(G) = p$  and  $\chi(G) = 2$ , has  $H^{\chi^-}(G, x) = H^{\chi^+}(G, x) = 2px^3$  and  $H^{\chi^-}(G) = H^{\chi^+}(G) = \frac{p}{2}$ .

*Proof.* Clearly each edge  $e \in E(G)$  is incident with vertices coloured  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , respectively. Hence, the result.  $\square$

A wide variety of remarkable graphs have chromatic number equal to 2. Invoking Corollary 2.5 to some important 2-chromatic graphs are tabled below. Table 1.

Graph $G$	$\nu(G)$	$\varepsilon(G)$	Degree regularity	$H^{\chi^-}(G, x) = H^{\chi^+}(G, x)$	$H^{\chi^-}(G) = H^{\chi^+}(G)$
Iofinova-Ivanov	110	165	3	$330x^3$	$\frac{165}{2}$
Balaban 10-cage	70	105	3	$210x^3$	$\frac{105}{2}$
Cubicle	8	12	3	$24x^3$	6
Dyck	32	48	3	$96x^3$	24
Ellingham-Horton	54(78)	81(167)	3	$162x^3(334x^3)$	$\frac{81}{2}(\frac{167}{2})$
$F_26A$	26	39	3	$78x^3$	$\frac{39}{2}$
Folkman	20	40	4	$80x^3$	20
Foster	90	135	3	$270x^3$	$\frac{135}{2}$
Franklin	12	18	3	$38x^3$	9
Gray	54	81	3	$162x^3$	$\frac{81}{2}$
Harries	70	105	3	$210x^3$	$\frac{105}{2}$
Heawood	14	21	3	$42x^3$	$\frac{21}{2}$
Hoffman	16	32	4	$64x^3$	16
Horton	96	144	3	$288x^3$	72
Ljubljana	112	168	3	$236x^3$	84
Naura	24	36	3	$72x^3$	18
Pappus	18	27	3	$54x^3$	$\frac{27}{2}$
Tutte-Coxeter	30	45	3	$90x^3$	$\frac{45}{2}$

**2.1. Application in mathematical chemistry.** Figure 1 depicts the molecular structure of  $TUC_4C_8[m, n]$  carbon nanotubes together with the graphical representation where vertices represent carbon atoms and edges represent bondings. Also see [3].




FIGURE 1. Molecular structure of  $TUC_4C_8[m, n]$  carbon nanotubes.

Considering Figure 1 it is straightforward to verify that  $TUC_4C_8[m, n]$ ,  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  has  $\chi(TUC_4C_8[m, n]) = 2$  and  $\varepsilon(TUC_4C_8[m, n]) = 4(m + 3mn)$ . Therefore,  $H^{\chi^-}(TUC_4C_8[m, n]) = H^{\chi^+}(TUC_4C_8[m, n]) = 8(m + 3mn)x^3$ . Also see [3].

Figure 2 depicts the molecular structure of  $TUC_4[m, n]$  carbon nanotubes. Also see [3].




FIGURE 2. Molecular structure of  $TUC_4[m, n]$  carbon nanotubes.

Considering Figure 2 it is straightforward to verify that the molecular graph of  $TUC_4[m, n]$ ,  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  nanotube has  $2m(n + 1)$  vertices and  $2m(2n + 1)$  edges. Also  $\chi(TUC_4[m, n]) = 2$  therefore,  $H^{\chi^-}(TUC_4[m, n]) = H^{\chi^+}(TUC_4[m, n]) = 4m(2n + 1)x^3$ .

*Remark 2.6.* For more generalised applications of vertex colouring such as locating certain technology at vertices the minimum parameter colour set could be the set  $\mathcal{C} = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_\ell; \ell \geq \chi(G)\}$ . It implies that different chromatic colourings in accordance with the Rainbow Neighborhood Convention are possible. Thus, for a particular chromatic colouring, a minimum (or maximum) chromatic harmonic polynomial and a minimum (or maximum) chromatic harmonic index can be derived.

Denote these general cases by  $H^{\chi^-}(G, x)$ ,  $H^{\chi^+}(G, x)$  and  $H^{\chi^-}(G)$ ,  $H^{\chi^+}(G)$ , respectively.

Hence, we have

**Theorem 2.7.**

1. For cycle  $C_n$ 
  - a. For  $n \geq 3$ , and  $n$  is even,  

$$2nx^3 \leq H^{\chi_c^-}(C_n, x) = H^{\chi_c^+}(C_n, x) \leq 2nx^{2\ell-1},$$

$$\frac{n}{2} \leq H^{\chi_c^-}(C_n) = H^{\chi_c^+}(C_n) \leq \frac{n}{\ell}.$$
  - b. For  $n \geq 3$ , and  $n$  is odd,  

$$2(n-2)x^3 + 2x^4 + 2x^5 \leq H^{\chi_c^-}(C_n, x) \leq 2(n-2)x^{2\ell-3} + 2x^{2\ell-2} + 2x^{2\ell-1},$$

$$2(n-2)x^5 + 2x^4 + 2x^3 \leq H^{\chi_c^+}(C_n, x) \leq 2(n-2)x^{2\ell-5} + 2x^{2\ell-6} + 2x^{2\ell-7},$$

and

$$\frac{n}{2} - \frac{4}{15} \leq H^{\chi_c^-}(C_n) \leq \frac{n-2}{\ell-1} + \frac{4\ell-1}{\ell(2\ell-1)},$$

$$\frac{n-2}{3} + \frac{9}{10} \leq H^{\chi_c^+}(C_n) = \frac{n-2}{\ell-2} + \frac{4\ell-11}{(2\ell-5)(\ell-3)}.$$
2. For a prism  $\Pi_n$ ,
  - a. For  $n \geq 3$ , and  $n$  is even,  

$$6nx^3 \leq H^{\chi_c^-}(\Pi_n, x) = H^{\chi_c^+}(\Pi_n, x) \leq 6nx^{2\ell-3}, \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{3n}{2} \leq H^{\chi_c^-}(\Pi_n) = H^{\chi_c^+}(\Pi_n) \leq \frac{3n}{\ell-1}.$$
  - b. For  $n \geq 3$ , and  $n$  is odd,  

$$6(n-2)x^3 + 6x^4 + 6x^5 \leq H^{\chi_c^-}(\Pi_n, x) \leq 6(n-2)x^{2\ell-3} + 6x^{2\ell-2} + 6x^{2\ell-1},$$

$$6(n-2)x^5 + 6x^4 + 6x^3 \leq H^{\chi_c^+}(\Pi_n, x) \leq 6(n-2)x^{2\ell-5} + 6x^{2\ell-6} + 6x^{2\ell-7},$$

$$\frac{3n}{2} - \frac{4}{5} \leq H^{\chi_c^-}(\Pi_n) \leq \frac{3}{\ell-1} \left( n - \frac{2\ell-1}{\ell} \right),$$

$$n + \frac{7}{10} \leq H^{\chi_c^+}(\Pi_n) \leq \frac{3(n-2)}{\ell-2} + \frac{3(4\ell-11)}{(2\ell-5)(\ell-3)}.$$
3. For complete graph  $K_{m,n}$ ,  $m, n \geq 2$ ,  

$$2mnx^3 \leq H^{\chi_c^-}(K_{m,n}, x) \leq H^{\chi_c^+}(K_{m,n}, x) \leq 2mnx^{2\ell-1},$$

$$\frac{mn}{2} \leq H^{\chi_c^-}(K_{m,n}) = H^{\chi_c^+}(K_{m,n}) \leq \frac{mn}{\ell}.$$
4. For  $S_n = K_{1,n-1}$ ,  $n \geq 3$ ,  

$$2(n-1)x^3 \leq H^{\chi_c^-}(S_n, x) = H^{\chi_c^+}(S_n, x) \leq 2(n-1)x^{2\ell-1},$$

$$\frac{n-1}{2} \leq H^{\chi_c^-}(S_n) = H^{\chi_c^+}(S_n) \leq \frac{n-1}{\ell}.$$
5. For path  $P_n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ ,  

$$2(n-1)x^3 \leq H^{\chi_c^-}(P_n, x) = H^{\chi_c^+}(P_n, x) \leq 2(n-1)x^{2\ell-1},$$

$$\frac{n-1}{2} \leq H^{\chi_c^-}(P_n) = H^{\chi_c^+}(P_n) \leq \frac{n-1}{\ell}.$$
6. For  $Q_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,  

$$n2^n x^3 \leq H^{\chi_c^-}(Q_n, x) = H^{\chi_c^+}(Q_n, x) \leq n2^n x^{2\ell-1},$$

$$n2^{n-2} \leq H^{\chi_c^-}(Q_n) = H^{\chi_c^+}(Q_n) \leq \frac{n2^{n-1}}{\ell}.$$

*Remark 2.8.* It is important to note that in Theorem 2.7, we applied the  $\min\{\min\}$ , the  $\max\{\min\}$ , the  $\min\{\max\}$  and the  $\max\{\max\}$  principles. Hence for a graph  $G$  there is no relation between  $\max(H^{\chi_c}(G, x))$  and  $\min(H^{\chi_c^+}(G, x))$  or  $\max(H^{\chi_c}(G))$  and  $\min(H^{\chi_c^+}(G))$ .

**2.2. Results for split graphs.** It follows that a connected graph is 1-critical in respect of its CHP and CHI in that the addition (or deletion) of a vertex (or vertices) or the addition (or deletion) of an edge (or edges) changes the outcome thereof. Numerous well-defined graph structural derivatives have been studied. For example, inserting a vertex into a single edge of certain graphs can change the chromatic number. For example, inserting a vertex into a single edge of a cycle  $C_n$ ,  $n$  is even to obtain a cycle  $C_{n+1}$ ,  $n+1$  is odd and vice versa. In a graph where the chromatic number remains the same, an additional polynomial term results.

We further our analysis by considering a split graph. Recall that a split graph is a graph  $G$  for which the vertex set  $V(G)$  can be partitioned into two sets say  $V_1, V_2$  such that the induced graph  $\langle V_1 \rangle$  is a clique and  $V_2$  is an independent set. Furthermore a *maximum split graph embodiment* of  $G$  has  $|V_2|$  a maximum. The aforesaid means that all vertices in a clique of a split graph that are not adjacent to a vertex in the independent set  $V_2$ , must be an element of  $V_2$ . It also implies minimum clique order (or clique size). A general split graph embodiment  $G^s$  of a graph  $G$  is the graph for which the vertex set  $G$  has been partitioned into two sets  $V_1, V_2$ , and  $|V_2|$  a maximum such that  $V_2$  is an independent set. Any connected bipartite graph  $B_{m,n}$  is a general split graph embodiment.

**Theorem 2.9.** *For a maximum split graph embodiment of  $G$  of order  $n \geq 2$  and clique  $K_t$  and  $\mathcal{C} = \{c_2, c_3, c_4, \dots, c_{t+1}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}' = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_t\}$ , we have that:*

$$(1) H^{\chi^-}(G, x) = H^{\chi_c^-}(K_t, x) + \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G) \text{ and } v_i \in V_1, v_j \in V_2} 2x^{t(v_i)+1} \text{ and,}$$

$$(2) H^{\chi^+}(G, x) = H^{\chi_{\mathcal{C}'}^+}(K_t, x) + \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G) \text{ and } v_i \in V_1, v_j \in V_2} 2x^{t'(v_i)+t+1}.$$

*Proof.* The proof follows from Proposition 2.2 and from the fact that  $t'(v_i) = (t+1) - (j-1)$  if  $c(v_i) = c_j$  and the observation that in  $K_t$  all colour sum terms increase by exactly 2. Also in (1) all  $v_j \in V_2$  are coloured  $c_1$ . In (2) all  $v_j \in V_2$  are coloured  $c_{t+1}$ .  $\square$

**2.3. Derivative split graphs.** We derive a derivative split graph from a graph  $G$  by defining the insertion of vertices into some edges of  $G$ . Note that the inserted vertices forms an independent set. Therefore a derivative split graph



results in a general split graph embodiment.

Construct the derivative split graph denoted,  $G^\bullet$  in respect of  $G$  of order  $n$  and  $v_i \in V(G)$  by inserting a vertex  $u_i \in U$  into edges  $e_i \in E(G)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq \varepsilon(G)$ . Since  $\varepsilon(G) \geq n - 1$  we will consider two cases. By convention, if  $\varepsilon(G) = n - 1$  we will write that  $G^s = K_{\varepsilon(G),n}$  and if  $\varepsilon(G) > n - 1$  we will write  $G^s = K_{n,\varepsilon(G)}$ .

**Theorem 2.10.** *For a graph  $G$ , of order  $n \geq 2$  we have that:*

$$(1) \text{ If } \varepsilon(G) = n - 1 \text{ then } H^{x^-}(G^\bullet, x) = H^{x^+}(G^\bullet, x) = 2(2n-1)x^3,$$

$$(2) \text{ If } \varepsilon(G) > n - 1 \text{ then } H^{x^-}(G^\bullet, x) = H^{x^+}(G^\bullet, x) = 2\varepsilon(G)x^3.$$

*Proof.* (1) If  $\varepsilon(G) = n - 1$  then  $G$  is a path  $P_n$  or a star  $S_{n-1}$  and in both cases,  $G^\bullet = P_{2n-1}$ . Hence, the result follows from Theorem 2.7

(2) If  $\varepsilon(G) > n - 1$  then  $G^\bullet$  is a path  $P_{n+\varepsilon(G)}$ . Hence, the result follows from Proposition Theorem 2.7.  $\square$

Construct the derivative split graph denoted,  $G_1 +^\bullet G_2$  in respect of  $G_1 + G_2$ ,  $G_1$  of order  $n_1$  and  $G_2$  of order  $n_2$  and  $v_i \in V(G_1)$ ,  $w_i \in V(G_2)$  by inserting a vertex  $u_i \in U$  into edges  $v_i w_j$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq \varepsilon(G_1)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq \varepsilon(G_2)$ .

**Theorem 2.11.** *For graph  $G_1$  of order  $n_1$ ,  $\chi(G_1) = t_1$  and graph  $G_2$  of order  $n_2$ ,  $\chi(G_2) = t_2$  and  $t_1 \geq t_2$  and  $\mathcal{C}_1 = \{c_2, c_3, c_4, \dots, c_{t_1+1}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}'_1 = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_{t_1}\}$ , and  $\mathcal{C}_2 = \{c_2, c_3, c_4, \dots, c_{t_2+1}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}'_2 = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_{t_2}\}$ , we have that:*

$$(1) H^{x^-}(G_1 +^\bullet G_2, x) = H^{x_{\mathcal{C}_1}^-}(G_1, x) + H^{x_{\mathcal{C}_2}^-}(G_2, x) + \sum_{v_i u_j \in E(G_1 +^\bullet G_2), v_i \in V(G_1)} 2x^{t(v_i)+1} + \sum_{w_i u_j \in E(G_1 +^\bullet G_2), w_i \in V(G_2)} 2x^{t(w_i)+1},$$

$$(2) H^{x^+}(G_1 +^\bullet G_2, x) = H^{x_{\mathcal{C}'_1}^+}(G_1, x) + H^{x_{\mathcal{C}'_2}^+}(G_2, x) + \sum_{v_i u_j \in E(G_1 +^\bullet G_2), v_i \in V(G_1)} 2x^{t'(v_i)+t_1+1} + \sum_{w_i u_j \in E(G_1 +^\bullet G_2), w_i \in V(G_2)} 2x^{t'(w_i)+t_1+1}.$$

*Proof.* (1). Note that  $d(u_i) = 2$ ,  $\forall i$  in such a way that each vertex  $u_i$  is adjacent to one vertex  $v_j \in V(G_1)$  and to one vertex  $w_k \in V(G_2)$ . Denote these edges  $E(U)$ . Hence,  $E(U)$  can be partitioned into two edge sets  $E_1(U)$ ,  $E_2(U)$  of equal cardinality,  $n_1 \cdot n_2$ . Without loss of generality assume  $E_1(U)$  has the edges incident with vertices in  $V(G_1)$  and  $E_2(U)$  has the edges incident with

vertices in  $V(G_2)$ . Furthermore  $U$  is the maximum independent set in  $G_1 + \bullet G_2$ . Therefore to ensure minimum colour sums all  $u_i \in U$  have colour  $c_1$ . It implies that the last two summation terms follow from Definition 2.1.

Furthermore, since no edge exists between a vertex  $v_i \in V(G_1)$  and  $w_j \in V(G_2)$  and  $t_1 \geq t_2$  the colour set  $\mathcal{C} = \{c_2, c_3, c_4, \dots, c_{t_1+1}\}$  will allow a chromatic colouring of both  $G_1, G_2$  in accordance with the Rainbow Neighborhood Convention. The aforesaid together with Definition 2.1 imply the first two terms. Hence, the result.

(2). Similar reasoning as in (1) provides the result.  $\square$

Note that each  $v_i \in V(G_1)$  is adjacent to exactly  $n_2$  vertices in  $U$  and each  $w_j \in V(G_2)$  is adjacent to exactly  $n_1$  vertices in  $U$ . Theorem 2.7 has an immediate consequence for the corona graph,  $G_1 \circ G_2$  with similar vertex insertion.

**Corollary 2.12.** *For graph  $G_1$  of order  $n_1$ ,  $\chi(G_1) = t_1$  and graph  $G_2$  of order  $n_2$ ,  $\chi(G_2) = t_2$  and  $t_1 \geq t_2$  and  $\mathcal{C}_1 = \{c_2, c_3, c_4, \dots, c_{t_1+1}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}'_1 = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_{t_1}\}$ , and  $\mathcal{C}_2 = \{c_2, c_3, c_4, \dots, c_{t_2+1}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}'_2 = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_{t_2}\}$ , we have that:*

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad H^{\chi^-}(G_1 \circ \bullet G_2, x) &= H^{\chi_{\mathcal{C}_1}^-}(G_1, x) + n_1 \cdot H^{\chi_{\mathcal{C}_2}^-}(G_2, x) + \\ &\quad \sum_{v_i u_j \in E(G_1 \circ \bullet G_2), v_i \in V(G_1)} 2x^{t(v_i)+1} + \\ &\quad \sum_{w_i u_j \in E(G_1 \circ \bullet G_2), w_i \in V(G_2)} 2n_1 x^{t(w_i)+1}, \\ (2) \quad H^{\chi^+}(G_1 \circ \bullet G_2, x) &= H^{\chi_{\mathcal{C}'_1}^+}(G_1, x) + n_1 \cdot H^{\chi_{\mathcal{C}'_2}^+}(G_2, x) + \\ &\quad \sum_{v_i u_j \in E(G_1 \circ \bullet G_2), v_i \in V(G_1)} 2x^{t'(v_i)+t_1+1} + \\ &\quad \sum_{w_i u_j \in E(G_1 \circ \bullet G_2), w_i \in V(G_2)} 2n_1 x^{t'(w_i)+t_1+1}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Since for each vertex  $v_i \in V(G_1)$  there exists an induced subgraph  $v_i + G_2$  we have  $v_i + \bullet G_2$  after the defined vertex insertion. Hence, independent from  $G_1$ ,  $n_1$  such induced subgraphs exist in  $G_1 \circ \bullet G_2$ . Invoking Theorem 2.11 the result follows.  $\square$

Corollary 2.12 gives way to a new concept called the *cluster corona* of graphs  $G_1, G_2$ . In the corona  $G_1 \circ G_2$  as we know it we say,  $G_2$  has been *corona'ed* to  $G_1$ .

**Definition 2.13.** For the graph  $G_1$  of order  $n_1$  and  $k \geq 1$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  take  $n_1 k$  copies of  $G_2$ . The cluster corona denoted,  $G_1(\circ^k)G_2$  is the graph obtained by corona'ing  $k$  copies of  $G_2$  to each vertex  $v_i \in V(G_1)$ .

Our next result follows directly from Corollary 2.12

**Corollary 2.14.** For graph  $G_1$  of order  $n_1$ ,  $\chi(G_1) = t_1$  and for  $k \geq 1$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  copies of graph  $G_2$  of order  $n_2$ ,  $\chi(G_2) = t_2$  and  $t_1 \geq t_2$  and  $\mathcal{C}_1 = \{c_2, c_3, c_4, \dots, c_{t_1+1}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}'_1 = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_{t_1}\}$ , and  $\mathcal{C}_2 = \{c_2, c_3, c_4, \dots, c_{t_2+1}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}'_2 = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_{t_2}\}$ , we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad H^{\chi^-}(G_1(\circ^k) \bullet G_2, x) &= H^{\chi_{\mathcal{C}_1}^-}(G_1, x) + kn_1 \cdot H^{\chi_{\mathcal{C}_2}^-}(G_2, x) + \\
 &\quad \sum_{v_i u_j \in E(G_1(\circ^k) \bullet G_2), v_i \in V(G_1)} 2kx^{\iota(v_i)+1} + \\
 &\quad \sum_{w_i u_j \in E(G_1(\circ^k) \bullet G_2), w_i \in V(G_2)} 2kn_1 x^{\iota(w_i)+1}, \\
 (2) \quad H^{\chi^+}(G_1(\circ^k) \bullet G_2, x) &= H^{\chi_{\mathcal{C}'_1}^+}(G_1, x) + kn_1 \cdot H^{\chi_{\mathcal{C}'_2}^+}(G_2, x) + \\
 &\quad \sum_{v_i u_j \in E(G_1(\circ^k) \bullet G_2), v_i \in V(G_1)} 2kx^{\iota'(v_i)+t_1+1} + \\
 &\quad \sum_{w_i u_j \in E(G_1(\circ^k) \bullet G_2), w_i \in V(G_2)} 2kn_1 x^{\iota'(w_i)+t_1+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Perhaps the applied value of the cluster corona lies in finding various edge-defined indices and other edge-defined invariants for the recursive corona which was introduced by Vernold Vivin and Kaliraj in [6]. The recursive corona is defined as  $G_1 \circ^l G_2 = (G_1 \circ^{l-1} G_2) \circ G_2$ ,  $l \geq 1$ . Now clearly after finite number of iterations say  $k$ , there exists an *core* subgraph  $G_1(\circ^k)G_2$ . Thereafter a *layer* of bridges (sets of cut edges) follows to be enumerated in the edge-defined index or invariant. Following on that a well-defined number say,  $c$  of cluster corona graphs  $G_2(\circ^c)G_2$  follow, and so on. We shall not report on the recursive method in further detail in this paper. Describing algorithms and analysing complexity remain open.

### 3. CONCLUSION

It is clear that a wide field of further applications are available from for example, just the small graphs. The aim of this paper is indeed to only serve as an introduction to the concept of chromatic harmonic polynomials and chromatic harmonic indices. It is almost certain that this new concept will find applications in other research streams of graph theory and mathematical chemistry.

**Open access:** This paper is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors express their sincere gratitude to the referee for a very careful reading of the paper and for all the comments and valuable suggestions to make this a worthy paper.

## REFERENCES

1. F. Harary, *Graph Theory*, Addison-Wesley, Reading MA, 1969.
2. M. A. Iranmanesh, M. Saheli, On the harmonic index and harmonic polynomial of caterpillars with diameter four, *Iranian Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, **5**(2), (2014) 35-43.
3. M.K. Jamil, J. Kok, The Harmonic index and Harmonic polynomial of Some Carbon Nanotubes, Communicated.
4. J. Kok, N.K. Sudev, K.P. Chithra, General colouring sums of graphs, *Cogent Mathematics*, **3**, (2016), 1140002.
5. J. Kok, N.K. Sudev, M.K. Jamil, Rainbow Neighborhoods of Graphs, communicated.
6. J. Vernold, K. Kaliraj, On equitable coloring of corona of wheels, *Electronic Journal of Graph Theory and Applications*, **4**(2), (2016), 206-222.
7. B. West, *Introduction to Graph Theory*, Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, (1996).
8. L. Zhong, The harmonic index for graphs, *Applied Mathematics Letters*, **25**, (2012), 561-566.